### CONFIDENTIAL

1	STATE OF MINNESOTA DISTRICT COURT
2	COUNTY OF RAMSEY SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT
3	
4	The State of Minnesota,
5	by Hubert H. Humphrey, III,
6	its attorney general,
7	and
8	Blue Cross and Blue Shield
9	of Minnesota,
10	Plaintiffs,
11	vs. File No. C1-94-8565
12	Philip Morris Incorporated, R.J.
13	Reynolds Tobacco Company, Brown
14	& Williamson Tobacco Corporation,
15	B.A.T. Industries P.L.C., Lorillard
16	Tobacco Company, The American
17	Tobacco Company, Liggett Group, Inc.,
18	The Council for Tobacco Research-U.S.A.,
19	Inc., and The Tobacco Institute, Inc.,
20	Defendants.
21	
22	DEPOSITION OF SAMUEL D. CHILCOTE
23	Volume I, Pages 1 - 266
24	
25	
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES

P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953

http://legacy.library.ucsf.@du/tid/dwm95/a00/pdfidustrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/lnxd0001

(The following is the deposition of SAMUE)
D. CHILCOTE, taken pursuant to Notice of Taking
Deposition, at the offices of Robins, Kaplan, Miller
& Ciresi, 1801 K Street N.W., Washington, D.C.,
commencing at approximately 9:01 o'clock a.m.,
September 18, 1997.)
APPEARANCES:
On Behalf of the Plaintiffs:
Martha K. Wivell
Robins, Kaplan, Miller & Ciresi LLP
Attorneys at Law
2800 LaSalle Plaza
800 LaSalle Avenue
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
On Behalf of Philip Morris Incorporated:
James D. Layden
Arnold & Porter
Attorneys at Law
777 South Figueroa
Los Angeles, California 90071

1	On Behalf of the Tobacco Institute:
2	George S. Flynn
3	Cosgrove, Flynn & Gaskins P.L.L.P.
4	2900 Metropolitan Centre
5	333 South Seventh Street
6	Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402
7	
8	Patrick S. Davies and James A. Goold
9	Covington & Burling
10	Attorneys at Law
11	1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
12	Washington, D.C. 20044-7566
13	On Behalf of Lorillard Tobacco Company:
14	Keith Carpenter
15	Doherty Rumble & Butler
16	2800 Minnesota World Trade Center
17	30 East Seventh Street
18	St. Paul, Minnesota 55101-4999
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

### CONFIDENTIAL

4

1			INDEX	
2	EXHIBITS		DESCRIPTION	PAGE MARKED
3	Plfs' Ex	749	Handwritten document dated	
4			4/21/78, Bates 01346204-5	35
5		750	Letter dated February 22,	
6			1974, Smith to Sloat, Bates	
7			LG0273331-2	46
8		751	Letter dated December 11,	
9			1968, Austern to list, Bates	5
10			1001880732-3	52
11		752	"The Tobacco Institute	
12			Communications Task Force	
13			Preliminary Discussion Paper	c "
14			dated February 25, 1983, Bat	ces
15			04330468-725	59
16		753	"Cigarette Industry	
17			Advertising Standards," Bate	es
18			1005105164-5	114
19		754	"Smoking and Young People -	
20			Where the Tobacco Industry	
21			Stands," Bates TMN0133916-22	2 126
22		755	"SECONDARY SOURCE DIGEST,	
23			MARKETING PLANNING APPROACH	, "
24			Bates 680096095-110	155
25				

### CONFIDENTIAL

		5

1	756	Memo dated October 6, 1982,	
2		Burrows to Hall, Bates	
3		501988810-8	168
4	757	Memo dated August 30, 1978,	
5		Achey to Judge, Bates	
6		03537131-2	196
7	758	"ON YOUNG SMOKING, TOBACCO	
8		INDUSTRY INITIATIVES," Bates	
9		2025862354-5	199
10	759	Memo dated February 4, 1965,	
11		Welch to TI membership, Bates	
12		502052361-6	210
13	760	"1988 MINNESOTA LEGISLATIVE	
14		PLAN, MINNESOTA 1987 LEGISLAT	EVE
15		OVERVIEW," Bates TIMN283315-9	222
16	761	Memo dated April 26, 1989,	
17		Nelson to Enrick, Bates	
18		TIMN457974-5	226
19	762	"TEENAGE SMOKING," dated July	
20		30, 1982, Bates	
21		TIMN0237382-402	230
	763	Letter dated April 27, 1983,	
22		<u> </u>	
22 23		Chilcote to Horrigan, Bates	
			235
23		Chilcote to Horrigan, Bates	235

1 76	54	Agenda for TI representatives	
2		meeting August 5, 1992, Bates	
3		514502202B	238
4 76	55	Memo dated November 27, 1991,	
5		Chilcote to Management Committ	ee
6		members, Bates TIMN0049121-2	240
7 76	6	"LETTER FOR MINNESOTA," Bates	
8		TIMN218446	244
9 76	57	Letter dated December 11, 1990	),
10		Chilcote to Wellstone, Bates	
11		TIMN360259	248
12 76	8	"CAMEL Y&R ORIENTATION," Bates	3
13		507241613-838	250
14 76	59	"U.S. CIGARETTE MARKET IN THE	
15		1990's" dated June 21, 1990,	
16		Bates 507798137-230	257
17 77	0	Fax cover sheet dated	
18		26-Jan-94, Barton to Sandefur,	
19		Bates B1546463-9	261
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
		STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES	

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(Witness sworn.)
3	MR. FLYNN: Before we begin, let me make a
4	record regarding another continuing problem with the
5	designation of exhibits.
6	On September 11, plaintiffs served us a
7	designation consisting of a listing of six things or
8	collections and then a seven-page list of documents.
9	In the six collective descriptions they said any
10	exhibit marked in any TI, B&W, B.A.T., BATCO, Philip
11	Morris, R. J. Reynolds or CTR deposition. We
12	objected and said that's way too voluminous. And if
13	I'm clear, Mr. Moccio on behalf of Robins then told
14	us it would be amended to read any exhibit marked in
15	any TI or CTR deposition, which we then proceeded to
16	accumulate.
17	On September 15th we received another letter
18	from Ms. Wivell of the Robins office amending the
19	exhibit list and adding any deposition exhibit
20	generated in B&W, B.A.T. or BATCO depositions. We
21	advised counsel the next day that that was
22	unacceptable; the volume and quantity of documents
23	encompassed with that was hundreds of documents. We
24	did not have copies of them.
25	We object to the use of those deposition
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953

- 1 exhibits at this late hour as both excessive and not
- 2 in the spirit or letter of the rule allowing
- 3 designation of supplemental depositions two days in
- 4 advance of the actual deposition. So we will object
- 5 to any deposition exhibit not listed or set forth on
- 6 the September 11th original listing or included as an
- 7 exhibit to the TI or CTR previously-taken
- 8 depositions.
- 9 MS. WIVELL: Well for the record, Mr.
- 10 Flynn, I would like to note, as I had told you
- 11 earlier in this week, on September 11th I was in
- 12 London taking depositions, and at the conclusion of
- 13 those depositions I was told my mother had had a
- 14 stroke. My mother was hospitalized. I returned here
- 15 to the United States. And because I had depositions
- 16 earlier this week scheduled, we prevailed upon Brown
- 17 & Williamson to take that deposition off in order
- 18 that I might be able to deal with my mother's serious
- 19 illness.
- In the meantime I was told that you had objected
- 21 to what I believe to be a proper designation, but
- 22 when I returned to the office earlier this week I did
- 23 limit the number of deposition exhibits, cut down the
- 24 list substantially, and sent you the letter that was,
- 25 I believe, delivered to your office in Minneapolis

- 1 two days before the deposition was due, along with
- 2 all of the exhibits that I myself personally had put
- 3 together that are listed on the supplemental list
- 4 which had not been previously designated. I made the
- 5 copies myself, put them in the envelope, and sent
- 6 them off with the messenger.
- 7 I don't believe it's going to be a problem. If
- 8 it is, we can deal with the judge. But I believe we
- 9 have properly designated the exhibits that we're
- 10 going to be using in this deposition, and I just
- 11 suggest that we go forward and see if it's a problem,
- 12 and if it is, that we deal with it when and if it
- 13 arises.
- MR. FLYNN: Okay. Just so the record's
- 15 clear of our position. We're not stopping the
- 16 deposition, so go ahead. I just wanted the record
- 17 made. As and when they come up, we'll deal with
- 18 them. So go ahead.
- 19 SAMUEL D. CHILCOTE
- 20 called as a witness, being first duly
- 21 sworn, was examined and testified as
- 22 follows:
- 23 ADVERSE EXAMNATION
- 24 BY MS. WIVELL:
- 25 Q. Sir, would you please tell the ladies and

- 1 gentlemen of the jury your name.
- 2 A. Sam Chilcote.
- 3 Q. Now how do you spell that, sir?
- 4 A. C-h-i-l-c-o-t-e.
- 5 Q. And Mr. Chilcote, by whom are you employed?
- 6 A. Tobacco Institute.
- 7 Q. And how long have you been employed by The
- 8 Tobacco Institute?
- 9 A. Since 1981.
- 10 Q. What is your current position at The Tobacco
- 11 Institute?
- 12 A. President.
- 13 Q. What are your duties as president of The Tobacco
- 14 Institute?
- 15 A. I'm the chief administrative officer.
- 16 Q. Well what do you do as chief administrative
- 17 officer?
- 18 A. Handle the administration of the affairs of The
- 19 Tobacco Institute.
- 20 (Discussion off the stenographic record.)
- 21 Q. Now sir, you understand that The Tobacco
- 22 Institute is a defendant in the lawsuit that's been
- 23 brought by the state of Minnesota and Blue Cross Blue
- 24 Shield.
- 25 A. Yes, ma'am.

- 1 Q. And you understand that I represent Minnesota
- 2 Blue Cross Blue Shield and -- and the state; right?
- 3 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 4 Q. Now sir, let's talk a little bit about what The
- 5 Tobacco Institute is. It's a trade association;
- 6 isn't it, sir?
- 7 A. Yes, it is.
- 8 Q. It's not a publicly open organization that, for
- 9 example, a person on the street might join; is it?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. In other words, it's an organization that is
- 12 made up of members and that membership is essentially
- 13 closed; right?
- 14 A. It's -- it's a membership of people engaged in
- 15 the manufacture of tobacco.
- 16 Q. And it includes other defendants in this
- 17 lawsuit; doesn't it?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And Philip Morris is a member?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Brown & Williamson is a member?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. R. J. Reynolds is a member?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. American Tobacco Company was a member before it

- 1 was merged with Brown & Williamson; right?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. From time to time Lorillard has been a member?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Have I missed any of the major cigarette
- 6 manufacturers in the United States who are currently
- 7 members of The Tobacco Institute?
- 8 A. No, ma'am.
- 9 Q. All right. Now there are other members, but
- 10 they just don't make cigarettes; right?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. Now sir, The Tobacco Institute is funded by its
- 13 members; isn't it?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. In other words, the members contribute the money
- 16 that runs The Tobacco Institute.
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. There is no sub -- fund -- pardon me.
- 19 There is no publicly funded money in the Tobacco
- 20 Institute; is there?
- 21 A. No, ma'am.
- 22 Q. Now sir, The Tobacco Institute has an Executive
- 23 Committee; doesn't it?
- 24 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 25 Q. Who are the members of the Executive Committee

- 1 currently?
- 2 A. The current members are the representatives from
- 3 the companies that you just named.
- 4 Q. In other words, there is one person from Philip
- 5 Morris --
- 6 A. There's two, two people from each company.
- 7 Q. Now sir, has the Executive -- strike that.
- 8 There has been an Executive Committee of The
- 9 Tobacco Institute since the Institute was formed;
- 10 hasn't there?
- 11 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 12 Q. The Institute was formed when, sir?
- 13 A. 1958.
- 14 Q. Would it be fair to say that since 1958 there
- 15 has been an Executive Committee which oversees the
- 16 activities of The Tobacco Institute which has been
- 17 made up of representatives from the various cigarette
- 18 manufacturing companies that are members?
- 19 A. I can only speak since 1981. I -- I wasn't
- 20 there and would have no reason to go back and see who
- 21 was on the Executive Committee or what members were
- 22 for that time.
- 23 Q. Well you -- I'm sorry.
- 24 You understood when you joined The Tobacco
- 25 Institute that there was an Executive Committee;

- 1 right?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. And at that time it was made up of
- 4 representatives from the various cigarette
- 5 manufacturing companies who are members of The
- 6 Tobacco Institute.
- 7 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 8 Q. And in fact, since you joined The Tobacco
- 9 Institute, there has been an Executive Committee
- 10 that's had representatives on it from the various
- 11 tobacco manufacturers.
- 12 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 13 Q. That hasn't changed; has it?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. Okay. Now there are also other members of
- 16 the -- I'm sorry, strike that.
- 17 There are also other committees of The Tobacco
- 18 Institute; aren't there, sir?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. All right. One of those committees is the
- 21 Counsel Committee or Committee of Counsel; right?
- 22 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 23 Q. What is that, sir?
- 24 A. It's representatives from the member companies,
- 25 one representative from each -- each of the member

- 1 companies.
- 2 Q. Well these aren't just any representatives.
- 3 These are lawyer representatives; aren't they?
- 4 A. Yes. There is usually the general counsel for
- 5 the cigarette division of the company.
- 6 Q. And when you joined The Tobacco Institute, there
- 7 was a Committee of Counsel; wasn't there?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. By the way, how do you refer to it within The
- 10 Tobacco Institute?
- 11 A. Committee of Counsel.
- 12 Q. It's sometimes referred to as Counsel Committee,
- 13 though; isn't it?
- 14 A. No. It's always been Committee of Counsel.
- 15 Q. So if we see references in various Tobacco
- 16 Institute documents that refer to the Committee of
- 17 Counsel, they would be referring to this group of
- 18 general counsel from various cigarette manufacturers
- 19 who are members of The Tobacco Institute; right?
- 20 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 21 Q. Now sir, what is the purpose of the Committee of
- 22 Counsel?
- 23 A. To provide legal advice on any matter that we
- 24 would bring before it or they as member companies
- 25 would bring before it.

- 1 Q. Now sir, how often does the Committee of Counsel
- 2 meet?
- 3 A. Oh, about four times a year, every other month.
- 4 I'm not sure the exact number.
- 5 Q. When you joined The Tobacco Institute in 1991 --
- 6 sorry, strike that.
- 7 A. '81.
- 8 Q. When you joined The Tobacco Institute in 1981,
- 9 there was a Committee of Counsel?
- 10 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 11 Q. You began attending Committee of Counsel
- 12 meetings; didn't you, sir?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And you have attended Committee of Counsel
- 15 meetings up to today; haven't you?
- 16 A. Yes. When I can.
- 17 Q. And you regularly try to attend those meetings;
- 18 don't you?
- 19 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 20 Q. Now sir, you also attend Executive Committee
- 21 meetings of The Tobacco Institute; don't you?
- 22 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 23 Q. And that committee has met regularly since you
- 24 joined The Tobacco Institute in 1991 -- '81.
- 25 A. Yes, ma'am.

- 1 That's all right.
- 2 Q. Let me rephrase the question.
- 3 That committee has met regularly since you
- 4 joined The Tobacco Institute in 1981; right?
- 5 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 6 Q. And you understand that the Executive Committee
- 7 had met regularly before you joined The Tobacco
- 8 Institute.
- 9 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 10 Q. And you understand that the Committee of Counsel
- 11 had met regularly before you joined The Tobacco
- 12 Institute.
- 13 A. That's what my understanding is.
- 14 Q. I have to tell you, I have a little bit of
- 15 hearing problem --
- 16 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 17 Q. -- and so could I get you to speak up? You're
- 18 being quite soft. All right?
- 19 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 20 Q. Now sir, you understand that the Committee of
- 21 Counsel has met regularly since The Tobacco Institute
- 22 was formed.
- 23 A. I would assume so. I wouldn't know, you know.
- 24 Q. You have reason to believe that they met
- 25 regularly before you joined The Tobacco Institute;

- 1 don't you?
- 2 A. I would assume so.
- 3 Q. And in fact, minutes are kept of the Counsel
- 4 of -- I'm -- the Committee of Counsel meetings;
- 5 aren't they?
- 6 A. Yes, they have since -- since I've been involved
- 7 with it. I don't know --
- 8 Q. Now sir, you understand that in this litigation
- 9 The Tobacco Institute has been asked by the
- 10 plaintiffs to turn over documents; right?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Now you also understand that with regard to the
- 13 minutes of the Committee of Counsel meeting, those
- 14 minutes have not been turned over to us; have they?
- 15 A. I don't know.
- 16 Q. Do you understand that a claim of privilege has
- 17 been made for those documents by The Tobacco
- 18 Institute?
- 19 A. No, I've not been informed of that.
- 20 Q. But just so we're clear, minutes of those
- 21 meetings are kept regularly; right?
- 22 A. I don't know. I don't --
- I don't think there's any minutes, the more I
- 24 think about it. I think that -- I don't know. I
- 25 don't think there are any minutes.

- 1 Q. Well you --
- 2 A. I've not seen any minutes.
- 3 Q. You understand that there are memos that go to
- 4 the Committee of Counsel for which a claim of
- 5 privilege has been made; right?
- 6 MR. FLYNN: I think he's answered that.
- 7 A. Would you repeat that question, please?
- 8 Q. Certainly.
- 9 You understand that there are memos that go to
- 10 the Committee of Counsel for which -- which haven't
- 11 been turned over to the plaintiffs because they've
- 12 been claimed to be privileged.
- 13 A. No, I don't know about that.
- 14 Q. Well do you understand that there are memos that
- 15 come from the Committee of Counsel that have not been
- 16 turned over to the plaintiffs because of a claim of
- 17 privilege?
- 18 A. As I said, I don't know anything about what's
- 19 transpired in that area.
- 20 Q. Well sir, you know that there are memos that do
- 21 go to the Committee of Counsel; right?
- 22 A. I'm not sure what type memo, what memos you're
- 23 referring to.
- 24 Q. Well sir, you've attended the meetings. You've
- 25 seen memos that had been sent to the committee in

- 1 preparation for those meetings; right?
- 2 A. No, I haven't.
- 3 Q. Okay. Well you've seen memos that come from the
- 4 Committee of Counsel after their meetings; haven't
- 5 you?
- 6 A. I really can't recall.
- 7 Q. But you would agree that, generally every other
- 8 month or four times a year, lawyers representing the
- 9 various cigarette manufacturers get together and talk
- 10 about issues that have common interest to their
- 11 companies when the Committee of Counsel meets;
- 12 right?
- MR. FLYNN: You're getting awfully close to
- 14 privilege, but I'll let him answer. What they talked
- 15 about substantively is obviously privileged.
- 16 Go ahead, you can answer that, if you know.
- 17 A. Just whatever has been on the agenda as it
- 18 relates to legal matters that the industry should be
- 19 concerned about.
- 20 Q. Well sir, more than just legal matters are
- 21 discussed at the Committee of Counsel meetings; isn't
- 22 that true?
- MR. FLYNN: Well now you're getting into
- 24 privilege and I'm going to instruct him not to
- 25 answer. Just don't answer the question.

- 1 Q. Well let me see if there's some way we can't
- 2 work this question out because I'm not asking for the
- 3 substance, but just the subject in general.
- 4 Sir, isn't it true that there are things other
- 5 than just legal matters which are discussed at the
- 6 Committee of Counsel meetings?
- 7 MR. FLYNN: Well it's the same question,
- 8 but go ahead, if we can move this along.
- 9 A. I can't recall anything other than legal
- 10 matters. My primary -- or The Tobacco Institute in
- 11 terms of what we're concerned about is legislation,
- 12 and often that is legal -- legal matters also.
- 13 Q. Well sir, isn't it true that public relations
- 14 matters are also discussed at the Committee of
- 15 Counsel meetings?
- 16 A. I can't recall of any public relations-type
- 17 matters, no, ma'am.
- 18 Q. Well sir, isn't it true that scientific matters
- 19 are discussed at the Committee of Counsel meetings?
- 20 A. No, I don't recall scientific matters being
- 21 discussed.
- 22 Q. Sir, isn't it true that strategy concerning
- 23 public statements are discussed at the Committee of
- 24 Counsel meetings?
- 25 MR. FLYNN: Again you're right on the

- 1 edge. If you can answer this without disclosing the
- 2 attorney discussions, you can answer it.
- 3 A. The only strategy that -- that I can recall was,
- 4 as it relates to legislative strategy, this is what
- 5 we feel should be done and we'd like to have their
- 6 input and their interpretation based upon whatever
- 7 laws are being proposed, or regulation.
- 8 Q. All right. Well let's turn to the Executive
- 9 Committee for a second. I'm not sure that I recall
- 10 if I asked you this, and please forgive me if I have.
- 11 How many times does the Executive Committee meet a
- 12 year?
- 13 A. Four times a year.
- 14 Q. Does it meet at the same time the Committee of
- 15 Counsel meets?
- 16 A. Not always. I think, for just economy, if --
- 17 you know, Committee of Counsel may have a meeting a
- 18 day before or a day after at the location.
- 19 Q. Now sir, are you saying that typically what
- 20 happens is when you have an Executive Committee
- 21 meeting, either the day before or after there is also
- 22 a Committee of Counsel meeting?
- 23 A. Sometimes.
- 24 Committee of Counsel, as you can see, would meet
- 25 more regularly than our Executive Committee.

- 1 Q. But you would agree, sir, that when the
- 2 Executive Committee meets, members of the industry
- 3 get together to talk about issues that are of common
- 4 interest to them; right?
- 5 A. Members of the Executive Committee, they
- 6 would --
- 7 That's the purpose of the meetings.
- 8 Q. Now sir, let's talk about what the purpose of
- 9 The Tobacco Institute is. Now when you joined the
- 10 Institute, how did you learn about what it did?
- 11 A. Well I -- I, like anyone, I guess, before you
- 12 join an organization, you try and find out as much as
- 13 you can about the organization, its structure.
- 14 It was not that big of a leap because most trade
- 15 associations operate the same way, and I had a trade
- 16 association background, so I was pretty familiar with
- 17 the way in which the Cigarette Manufacturers
- 18 Association also worked.
- 19 Q. You say you had a trade association background.
- 20 What do you mean, sir?
- 21 A. I used to be president of another trade
- 22 association.
- 23 Q. Which association was that?
- 24 A. The Distilled Spirits Council of the United
- 25 States.

- 1 Q. By "distilled spirits," do you mean liquor
- 2 industry?
- 3 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 4 Q. And how long did you hold that position?
- 5 A. I -- I was with the -- the liquor industry in
- 6 various capacities for 15 years.
- 7 Q. And you've been continuously employed by the
- 8 tobacco industry since 1981; right?
- 9 MR. FLYNN: Institute, The Tobacco
- 10 Institute.
- 11 A. Tobacco Institute. Yes, my wife's very happy
- 12 that I've been continually employed.
- 13 Q. Well sir, just so we're clear here, The Tobacco
- 14 Institute is funded by the tobacco industry; isn't
- 15 it?
- 16 A. Yes, The Tobacco Institute is funded by the
- 17 tobacco industry.
- 18 Q. So in a way you have been continuously employed
- 19 by the tobacco industry since 1981; right?
- 20 MR. FLYNN: I object to that, it's
- 21 argumentative.
- 22 A. Yes, I have been continuously employed.
- 23 Q. And basically your salary has been paid from
- 24 money that's been contributed by cigarette
- 25 manufacturers throughout the United States; right?

- 1 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 2 Q. Now you don't have any medical training.
- 3 A. None whatsoever.
- 4 Q. And do you have a Ph.D.?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. Are you trained as a scientist?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. What's your training and background, sir,
- 9 briefly?
- 10 A. I'm just a hick from the sticks from Wyoming.
- 11 Q. Well, what kind of educational background did
- 12 you get?
- 13 A. I've got a B.A. degree in business.
- 14 Q. Now we were talking about how you learned about
- 15 The Tobacco Institute before you went to work for
- 16 them.
- 17 Have you told us everything you did to try
- 18 and -- and learn about it?
- 19 A. Yes. That's, I think, everything.
- 20 Q. All right.
- 21 A. I knew it had a fine reputation and was
- 22 interesting.
- 23 Q. What was interesting about it?
- 24 A. Well I was like any, I guess, young executive,
- 25 you like to run different things or be involved in

- 1 different industries, and I saw it as an opportunity
- 2 rather than spending the next 20, 30 years with the
- 3 same group that I had represented before, the
- 4 alcoholic beverage industry.
- 5 Q. So you went to work for The Tobacco Institute in
- 6 1981. How did you learn about -- strike that.
- 7 You went to work for The Tobacco Institute in
- 8 1981. Did you do anything when you became employed
- 9 by The Tobacco Institute to learn about what it did?
- 10 A. You mean before or --
- 11 Q. After.
- 12 A. Oh, after?
- 13 Q. Yeah.
- 14 A. Well obviously you try to get up to speed on
- 15 their issues, learn their organization, find out
- 16 where the bathroom is.
- 17 Q. Sir, did you learn when you became -- by the
- 18 way -- strike that.
- 19 What position did you have when you started with
- 20 The Tobacco Institute?
- 21 A. I was president.
- 22 Q. So you started as president and you've stayed
- 23 president all these years; right?
- 24 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 25 MS. WIVELL: Excuse me. Can we go off the

- 1 record.
- THE REPORTER: Off the record, please.
- 3 (Discussion off the record.)
- 4 BY MS. WIVELL:
- 5 Q. Sir, when you were learning about The Tobacco
- 6 Institute, did you understand that it was or acted as
- 7 the voice of the tobacco industry?
- 8 A. Well I understood that was one of the roles with
- 9 the industry on any matter that I wanted to have --
- 10 make a statement. Obviously they would -- like any
- 11 other trade association, also the companies would
- 12 make their own statements.
- 13 Q. And sir, since 1981 when you joined The Tobacco
- 14 Institute, the Institute has continued to be one of
- 15 the voices for the tobacco industry; hasn't it?
- 16 A. Yes, one of the voices.
- 17 Q. The companies have their own voice, too, though;
- 18 don't they?
- 19 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 20 Q. But you would agree that up to and including
- 21 today, when The Tobacco Institute speaks, it speaks
- 22 on behalf of the tobacco industry; doesn't it?
- 23 A. Oh, just on --
- 24 There's many facets of the tobacco industry. We
- 25 only speak for the cigarette manufacturers.

- 1 Q. All right. Well with that caveat in mind, let
- 2 me ask the question this way: You would agree that
- 3 up to and including today, when The Tobacco Institute
- 4 speaks, it speaks on behalf of the cigarette
- 5 manufacturers; doesn't it?
- 6 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 7 Q. Now sir, when you were getting up to speed and
- 8 learning about the industry's issues, did you learn
- 9 that for, by that time, 30 years, the industry had
- 10 employed a strategy to defend itself in litigation,
- 11 politics, and in the public opinion arena?
- 12 A. No.
- MS. WIVELL: One moment, please.
- 14 THE REPORTER: Let's go off the record a
- 15 moment, please.
- 16 (Discussion off the record.)
- 17 BY MS. WIVELL:
- 18 Q. Sir, showing you what's previously been marked
- 19 as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 405, this is a memo that was
- 20 written from -- by Fred Panzer to Horace Kornegay
- 21 dated May 1st, 1972; right?
- 22 A. Yes, it would appear so. Yeah.
- 23 Q. Now sir, for the record, this document begins
- 24 with the Bates number 87657703; right?
- 25 A. I'm sorry, I don't see that.

- 1 MR. FLYNN: Down here.
- THE WITNESS: Oh, down here?
- 3 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 4 Q. Now sir, at the time this memo was written, Fred
- 5 Panzer was a vice-president of The Tobacco Institute;
- 6 wasn't he?
- 7 A. I -- I think so. I don't know what his job was
- 8 at that time.
- 9 Q. Now at the time the memo was written, what was
- 10 Horace Kornegay's position?
- 11 A. He was president.
- 12 Q. Of The Tobacco Institute?
- 13 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 14 Q. In fact he was your predecessor at The Tobacco
- 15 Institute; wasn't he?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. Now if you take a look at this document, it says
- 18 in the second paragraph, "For nearly twenty years,
- 19 this industry has employed a single strategy to
- 20 defend itself on three major fronts -- litigation,
- 21 politics, and public opinion." Did I read that
- 22 correctly?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Now sir, did you learn when you joined The
- 25 Tobacco Institute that the industry had employed a

- 1 single strategy to defend itself on those three
- 2 fronts, litigation, politics, and public opinion?
- 3 A. No, ma'am.
- 4 Q. Well sir, isn't it true that even today the
- 5 industry employs a single strategy to defend itself
- 6 on these three fronts, litigation, politics, and
- 7 public opinion?
- 8 A. No. I don't -- I don't know what a single
- 9 strategy would -- would be, so I -- I -- I guess
- 10 I'd disagree with that.
- 11 Q. Well sir, if The Tobacco Institute speaks for
- 12 the tobacco industry, it's part of the strategy to
- 13 speak for the industry with one voice; isn't it?
- 14 A. Well we would hope that we would have one voice
- 15 on any matters relating to The Tobacco Institute.
- 16 Q. And sir, on the matters that The Tobacco
- 17 Institute speaks for the cigarette industry, one of
- 18 the purposes of having The Tobacco Institute is so
- 19 that the industry will speak with one voice.
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. Sir, showing you what's previously been marked
- 22 as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 466, this is a document
- 23 entitled "REPORT ON POLICY ASPECTS OF THE SMOKING AND
- 24 HEALTH SITUATION IN THE U.S.A., " and it's dated
- 25 October 1964; right?

- 1 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 2 Q. For the record, the Bates number is 1003119099
- 3 as its first number; right?
- 4 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 5 Q. Would you turn to the portion of the document on
- 6 page 31 that refers to The Tobacco Institute.
- 7 Sir, have you read this portion of this document
- 8 before?
- 9 A. No, ma'am.
- 10 Q. Why don't you take a moment and read it.
- 11 You've now -- you've now read it?
- 12 A. Yes, I have.
- 13 Q. At the --
- 14 In the beginning of the first paragraph of page
- 15 31 there is the statement, "There is a need for a
- 16 voice to speak on behalf of the industry on all
- 17 matters not merely those of health -- and T.I. is
- 18 that voice, but its activities are minimal." Do you
- 19 see that, sir?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. You would agree that the cigarette industry
- 22 feels there is a need for a voice to speak on behalf
- 23 of that industry and that the tobacco industry is
- 24 that voice; right?
- 25 A. Would you ask the question again?

- 1 Q. Certainly.
- 2 You would agree that the cigarette industry
- 3 feels there is a need for a voice to speak on its
- 4 behalf, and that the tobacco industry is that voice.
- 5 A. I think the -- the industry sees a need if --
- 6 for -- to give the industry's perspective on -- on
- 7 matters.
- 8 Q. And it does so through the tobacco industry --
- 9 or the tobacco -- strike that.
- 10 It does so through The Tobacco Institute;
- 11 doesn't it?
- 12 A. Tobacco Institute, or they have their own --
- 13 The Tobacco Institute, or if they have their own
- 14 views, why obviously they -- they give their own
- 15 views.
- 16 Q. Well keeping in mind that the companies often
- 17 speak on their own behalf, too, you would agree
- 18 essentially with the statement made in the first
- 19 sentence on page 31 of Exhibit 466; right?
- 20 MR. FLYNN: Read it again. Just have it --
- 21 I object. It's just inconsistent with what
- 22 you've been asking him. But go ahead, answer it if
- 23 you can.
- 24 A. I need --
- 25 Are you saying that I agree with this entire

- 1 first paragraph?
- 2 Q. No, no. I'm reflecting just on the first
- 3 sentence, sir.
- 4 Keeping in mind that the cigarette companies can
- 5 also speak individually, you would agree essentially
- 6 with the first sentence of this first paragraph;
- 7 right?
- 8 A. I guess -- I guess so. I don't know the context
- 9 of this.
- 10 Q. Now the next sentence says, "The impression that
- 11 we obtained is that T.I. is largely a voice at the
- 12 end of a telephone line from the lawyers, and speaks
- 13 only when and as directed."
- Now sir, before we go on and talk about that
- 15 sentence, TI is what you sometimes refer to The
- 16 Tobacco Institute in shorthand; isn't it?
- 17 A. It is The Tobacco Institute.
- 18 Q. In other words, if you and I were to talk about
- 19 TI, you'd know that -- or you'd agree we're talking
- 20 about The Tobacco Institute; right?
- 21 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 22 Q. And in fact within The Tobacco Institute it is
- 23 sometimes, in a shorthand way, referred to as  ${\tt TI}$ ;
- 24 isn't it?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Can we agree that in this deposition if we use
- 2 the word -- or the -- the shorthand phrase "TI," that
- 3 we're really talking about The Tobacco Institute?
- 4 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 5 Q. Okay. Now sir, going back to the sentence that
- 6 says, "The impression that we obtained is that T.I.
- 7 is largely a voice at the end of a telephone line
- 8 from lawyers, and speaks only when and as directed,"
- 9 now isn't it true that TI is largely directed by the
- 10 Committee of Counsel?
- 11 A. No, ma'am.
- 12 Q. So you take exception to that; don't you?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Well sir, you'd agree that the Committee of
- 15 Counsel meets more often than the Executive Committee
- 16 of The Tobacco Institute; right?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And sir, isn't it a fact that the -- the
- 19 Committee of Counsel has for years run The Tobacco --
- 20 the activities of The Tobacco Institute?
- MR. FLYNN: Objection.
- 22 A. No, I -- I wouldn't agree with that. As I -- as
- 23 I said, I answer to the Executive Committee.
- 24 Q. Well sir, you may answer to the Executive
- 25 Committee, but isn't it true that essentially The

- 1 Tobacco Institute is run by the Committee of
- 2 Counsel --
- 3 MR. FLYNN: Just --
- 4 Q. -- and the Executive Committee answers to it?
- 5 MR. FLYNN: You're repetitive. He just
- 6 answered that question. You're just arguing with
- 7 him.
- 8 Answer it once more and then we'll move on.
- 9 A. What was the question again?
- 10 Q. Isn't it true that essentially The Tobacco
- 11 Institute is run by the Committee of Counsel and that
- 12 the Executive Committee answers to it?
- 13 A. No. As I -- as I said, I'm answerable to the
- 14 Executive Committee.
- 15 Q. And sir, isn't the Executive Committee
- 16 answerable to the Committee of Counsel?
- 17 A. No. I don't --
- 18 Q. Well sir, isn't it true that since the early
- 19 '60s that the Committee of Counsel has run the
- 20 public policy activities of The Tobacco Institute?
- 21 A. Well Ms. Wivell, as I -- I said, I joined in
- 22 '81. I don't know about anything in the past.
- 23 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 749 was marked
- for identification.)
- 25 BY MS. WIVELL:

- 1 Q. Sir, showing you what's been marked as
- 2 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 749, this is a document that
- 3 bears the Bates number on the first page 01346204;
- 4 right?
- 5 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 6 Q. And it refers to "Scientific Research Liaison
- 7 Committee, " and is dated 4-21-78; right?
- 8 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 9 MR. FLYNN: Appears to be a Lorillard
- 10 document.
- 11 Q. Sir, have you ever seen this document before?
- 12 A. No, ma'am.
- 13 Q. Would you take a moment and please read it.
- 14 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 15 Q. You've had the opportunity to read Exhibit 749?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Now sir, this document concerns the reconvening
- 18 of a Scientific Research Liaison Committee; right?
- 19 MR. FLYNN: Objection, it speaks for
- 20 itself. If you want to read him something, you can
- 21 read it to him. It concerns a bunch of stuff.
- 22 A. I see the heading "Scientific Research Liaison
- 23 Committee."
- 24 Q. And it says we "Should re-convene because," and
- 25 then there's a colon and several reasons are listed;

- 1 right?
- 2 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 3 Q. The first reason is, "We have again 'abdicated'
- 4 the scientific research directional management" --
- 5 A. That --
- 6 Q. I'm sorry.
- 7 A. I don't know if that's "directional" or not.
- 8 Q. Well let me begin again.
- 9 A. Yeah.
- 10 Q. It says, "We have again 'abdicated' the
- 11 scientific research directional management of the
- 12 Industry to the 'Lawyers' with virtually no
- 13 involvement on the part of scientific or business
- 14 management side of the business; " right?
- 15 A. That's what the document says.
- 16 Q. Now sir, it goes on to talk about the Committee
- 17 of Counsel; doesn't it?
- 18 MR. FLYNN: Again I object to the
- 19 paraphrasing. That word is in the next paragraph.
- 20 A. It talks about the --
- 21 Yes, it refers to the Committee of Counsel, and
- 22 then there's other -- other things listed in that
- 23 paragraph.
- 24 Q. It says, "Lorillard's management is opposed to
- 25 the total Industry future being in the hands of the

- 1 Committee of Counsel -- it's reminiscent of -- of
- 2 late 1960's when Ramm's group ran the TI, CTR and
- 3 everything else involved with the Industry's public
- 4 posture." Right?
- 5 A. That's what the document says.
- 6 Q. Now sir, just so we're clear here, Ramm is the
- 7 name of one of the people who was a member of the
- 8 Committee of Counsel back in the '50s and '60s;
- 9 right?
- 10 A. I don't know.
- 11 Q. You have no knowledge of --
- 12 A. I've never heard that name before.
- 13 Q. Sir, in the tobacco industry, is there more than
- 14 one Committee of Counsel?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. Now you would expect or --
- 17 Based on your experience with The Tobacco
- 18 Institute, you understand that that is a reference to
- 19 the Committee of Counsel that we were talking about
- 20 in this deposition; don't you?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And sir, isn't it -- strike that.
- 23 Because this predates you, you have no
- 24 information to the contrary to refute what's said in
- 25 this paragraph; do you?

- 1 MR. FLYNN: That's argumentative. He has
- 2 no information either way, I'm sure.
- 3 A. Well I -- I really don't have --
- I don't know anything about this, frankly.
- 5 Never seen it before.
- 6 Q. Did anyone ever share the contents of this
- 7 document with you before this deposition today?
- 8 A. No, ma'am.
- 9 Q. Now sir, Lorillard withdrew from The Tobacco
- 10 Institute; didn't it?
- 11 A. Not since I have been president. I don't
- 12 know --
- 13 Q. Have they been a member continuously --
- 14 A. Since '81, yes.
- 15 Q. And sir, when it says here that -- makes
- 16 reference to "Ramm's group running TI, CTR and
- 17 everything else involved with the Industry's public
- 18 posture," this is a statement that's news to you; is
- 19 that what you're telling us?
- 20 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 21 Q. Now if you turn to the last page of the
- 22 document, it says in the second-to-the-last
- 23 paragraph, "The committee will Not," underlined,
- 24 "report to the Committee of Counsel but to own
- 25 company's CEO's;" right?

- 1 A. That's what the document says.
- 2 Q. Sir, was the Committee -- or the Scientific
- 3 Research Liaison Committee reconstituted? Was it
- 4 reconvened as this memo suggests that Lorillard's
- 5 management thinks it should be?
- 6 A. I'm sorry I can't be more helpful, but I've
- 7 never heard of the Scientific Research Liaison
- 8 Committee, so I don't know.
- 9 Q. Well sir, are there committees within The
- 10 Tobacco Institute that report -- I'm sorry, strike
- 11 that.
- 12 Are there committees within the tobacco industry
- 13 that report to the Committee of Counsel?
- 14 A. Well we have very limited committees. There's
- 15 no other committees that would --
- No, there's no committees that report to the
- 17 Committee of Counsel.
- 18 Q. Well in your last answer you were thinking about
- 19 within The Tobacco Institute; weren't you?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. All right. Well my question was a little
- 22 broader than that. Within the tobacco industry are
- 23 there committees that report to the Committee of
- 24 Counsel?
- 25 A. Not to my knowledge, no. I don't think so.

- 1 Q. Now the Committee of Counsel approves funding
- 2 for lawyers' special projects; doesn't it?
- 3 A. The Committee of Counsel, to my knowledge, be it
- 4 any special legislation or -- or legal matters/
- 5 legislation --
- 6 We hire attorneys in some states for advice on
- 7 regulation or laws. Is that the sense of your
- 8 question?
- 9 Q. Well sir, no, it's not as a matter of fact.
- 10 Let me ask it this way: Are you familiar with
- 11 the term "lawyers' special projects?"
- 12 A. No, ma'am.
- 13 Q. You've never heard of that before.
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. You've never heard of a fund that was set up
- 16 specially that was a secret fund to pay scientists in
- 17 order to develop research to defend the industry in
- 18 tobacco lawsuits?
- 19 MR. FLYNN: Object to the argumentative
- 20 description of it. But if you --
- 21 A. No, I -- I have no knowledge of that.
- 22 Q. None at all.
- 23 A. No, ma'am.
- 24 Q. Have you ever heard of Special Fund 4?
- 25 A. I've heard special fund for, let's say, a lot of

- 1 different things. You know, got a special fund for
- 2 the coffee fund, or a special fund for -- for -- you
- 3 know, that's a term that's used for, you know, a lot
- 4 of activities I guess.
- 5 Q. Well sir, you've heard of Special Fund --
- 6 A. Any fund --
- 7 MR. FLYNN: You mean f-o-u-r as opposed to
- 8 f-o-r?
- 9 MS. WIVELL: F-o-u-r.
- 10 Q. Sir, you've heard Congressman Waxman talk about
- 11 Special Fund 4; haven't you?
- 12 A. No, I haven't.
- F-o-u-r?
- 14 Q. F-o-u-r.
- 15 A. No, I haven't.
- 16 Q. That's just a term that you're completely
- 17 unfamiliar with.
- 18 A. I thought it was f-o-r, excuse me, not f-o-u-r.
- 19 I've never heard of f-o-u-r.
- 20 Q. Sir, your testimony today is that you've never
- 21 heard of the lawyers' special project; is that right?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 Q. You've never heard of Special Fund 4.
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Four, f-o-u-r.

- 1 Q. Yes.
- 2 A. Right.
- 3 Q. You've never heard of that.
- 4 A. No, ma'am.
- 5 Q. Have you ever heard of CTR special projects?
- 6 A. I've heard of CTR special projects.
- 7 Q. And sir, did you understand that CTR special
- 8 projects was a secret, special part of CTR devoted to
- 9 funding research that could be used by the tobacco
- 10 industry to defend itself in lawsuits?
- 11 MR. FLYNN: Objection, it's multiple
- 12 questions. You have about six adjectives in there.
- 13 If you break them out, there's no problem.
- 14 A. Would you repeat the question? There was a lot
- 15 of -- lot of different questions I thought.
- 16 Q. Sir, did you understand that CTR special
- 17 projects was a secret part of CTR devoted to funding
- 18 research that would be used by the tobacco industry
- 19 to defend itself in lawsuits?
- 20 MR. FLYNN: Same objection. The problem is
- 21 the word "secret." If you take it out of there --
- 22 Then you can ask him a separate question if you
- 23 want, if it is secret.
- 24 A. I've heard of CTR special projects, but
- 25 that's -- that's just --

- 1 I don't know anything about it. Just heard that
- 2 there are special projects for research.
- 3 Q. Did you understand that these were, up until a
- 4 few years ago, secret projects?
- 5 A. No, I didn't -- never heard the word "secret."
- 6 I've never -- I'm not familiar with that.
- 7 Q. How about "confidential," did you hear
- 8 "confidential" used with regard to lawyer -- or CTR
- 9 special projects?
- 10 A. No. I'm not that -- that aware of CTR, frankly.
- 11 Q. When I'm asking you these questions -- strike
- 12 that.
- 13 Sir, did you understand that CTR special
- 14 projects were funded in order so that The Tobacco
- 15 Institute would be able to have research to defend
- 16 itself in lawsuits?
- 17 A. No, ma'am. I thought the CTR was funded for the
- 18 purposes of tobacco research. It wasn't funded for
- 19 purposes of The Tobacco Institute.
- 20 Q. So if there was a lawyers' special project
- 21 within CTR that was aimed at funding law -- or
- 22 funding research devoted to defending The Tobacco
- 23 Institute, that would be a surprise to you?
- 24 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 25 Q. Do you know, going back to Exhibit 749, why the

- 1 author said, "We have again, quote, 'abdicated' the
- 2 scientific research directional management of the
- 3 Industry to the 'Lawyers' with virtually no
- 4 involvement on the part of the scientific or business
- 5 management side of the business?"
- 6 A. I really don't know what it -- what it means.
- 7 MR. FLYNN: She just wanted to read it into
- 8 the record again.
- 9 Q. Now sir, do you understand that The Tobacco
- 10 Institute set up an ad hoc committee to review the
- 11 industry's support of medical research?
- 12 A. I don't recall that, no, ma'am.
- 13 Q. Well when you were getting up to speed when you
- 14 became president of The Tobacco Institute, did you go
- 15 back through and review your predecessor's files?
- 16 A. No, ma'am.
- 17 Q. Did you talk to your predecessor, Mr. Kornegay,
- 18 when you became president of The Tobacco Institute?
- 19 A. Yes, ma'am. He was still there. He was made
- 20 chairman when I came in.
- 21 Q. So he was available as a resource for you to go
- 22 back and ask questions to; right?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. All right. Have you ever heard of the ad hoc
- 25 committee?

- 1 A. Of the ad hoc --
- We have ad hoc committees. I have one right
- 3 now, an ad hoc committee in a particular state, you
- 4 know, so you're looking into legislation. I've heard
- 5 of that word, "ad hoc" and an "ad hoc committee."
- 6 I've participated in a lot of ad hoc committees --
- 7 O. Okay. Did --
- 8 A. -- in and outside the industry.
- 9 Q. I'm sorry, I thought you were done.
- 10 Well did you learn about an ad hoc committee
- 11 that was established to review the industry's support
- 12 of medical research?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 750 was marked
- for identification.)
- 16 BY MS. WIVELL:
- 17 Q. Sir, showing you what's been marked as
- 18 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 750, this is a document that
- 19 begins with the Bates number 0273331; right?
- 20 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 21 Q. This is a memo from William S. Smith to Arthur
- 22 E. Sloat of Liggett & Myers; right?
- MR. FLYNN: Or a letter I'd say, but
- 24 whatever.
- 25 Q. Well let me rephrase the question.

- 1 MR. FLYNN: To Liggett & Myers.
- 2 Q. Exhibit 750 is a letter from William Smith of
- 3 RJR to Arthur Sloat of Liggett & Myers; right?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Would you take a moment and review it.
- 6 MR. FLYNN: While he's doing that, let the
- 7 record reflect this is dated February 22, '74.
- 8 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 9 Q. You've now had the opportunity to read Exhibit
- 10 750; right?
- 11 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 12 Q. Now at the time this document was written, R. J.
- 13 Reynolds was a member of The Tobacco Institute.
- 14 A. I don't know, but I would imagine they were.
- 15 Q. And at the time this was written, Liggett &
- 16 Myers was a member of The Tobacco Institute; wasn't
- 17 it?
- 18 A. I don't -- I do not --
- I imagine they were, but I do not know.
- 20 Q. Now it refers in the first sentence to a
- 21 consensus that a committee be established to review
- 22 the industry's support of medical research; doesn't
- 23 it?
- MR. FLYNN: Again it speaks for itself.
- 25 A. Yeah, that's what it says, the first part of

- 1 that.
- 2 Q. All right. And it also speaks to the fact that
- 3 this committee would make recommendations as to the
- 4 future course our support should take; right?
- 5 A. That's what it says.
- 6 Q. Now sir, it also refers to an Executive
- 7 Committee, and you understand that that refers to the
- 8 Executive Committee meeting of The Tobacco Institute;
- 9 right?
- 10 MR. FLYNN: Take the time to read the
- 11 sentence if you want.
- 12 A. It says the Executive Committee. I -- I have to
- 13 assume that that would be a -- I don't --
- 14 I don't know.
- 15 Q. Well you would assume it's the Executive
- 16 Committee of The Tobacco Institute because you know
- 17 of no other Executive Committee that's existed within
- 18 the industry; right?
- 19 A. Well they all have their own executive
- 20 committees within their own companies, but --
- 21 Q. Sir, was the committee that is referred to here
- 22 in the first paragraph established to review the
- 23 industry's support of medical research?
- MR. FLYNN: Objection, there's no
- 25 foundation.

- 1 A. What was the question?
- 2 Q. Was the committee that is referred to here in
- 3 the first paragraph of Exhibit 750 established?
- 4 A. You mean was the Executive Committee
- 5 established?
- 6 Q. I'm sorry. Let me be more clear.
- 7 Was the committee that's referred to in the
- 8 first sentence established to review the industry's
- 9 support of medical research?
- 10 A. I don't know. I -- I have no way of knowing. I
- 11 don't know.
- 12 Q. Would you turn to the last page of Exhibit 750,
- 13 please.
- 14 A. Last page.
- 15 Q. On that page it makes reference to the
- 16 committee's composition; doesn't it?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Talks about two members of the Executive
- 19 Committee, two members of the Committee of Counsel;
- 20 right?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Now the Committee of Counsel is, again,
- 23 reference to The Tobacco Institute's Committee of
- 24 Counsel; isn't it?
- 25 A. I would think so.

- 1 Q. And you would think that because, to your
- 2 knowledge, there's never been another Committee of
- 3 Counsel within any of the industry organizations;
- 4 right?
- 5 A. Not to my knowledge, no.
- 6 Q. Now sir, it mentions two scientific directors.
- 7 Each company within the Institute that manufactures
- 8 cigarettes has a scientific director; doesn't it?
- 9 A. I do not know. I don't know.
- 10 Q. Another person who was recommended to be on the
- 11 committee was Horace Kornegay; right?
- 12 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 13 Q. That's your successor; right?
- 14 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 15 Q. Another person was Dave Hardy; right?
- 16 MR. FLYNN: You are selectively reading
- 17 them. Okay.
- 18 A. You mean Dr. Gardner -- oh, I see, Dave --
- 19 There's Dr. Gardner and Dave Hardy, yes.
- 20 Q. All right. Now who is Dave Hardy, or who was
- 21 Dave Hardy?
- 22 A. I think he was with the law firm -- I don't
- 23 know. I've --
- I would assume he's with the law firm of Shook
- 25 Hardy.

- 1 Q. And Bacon in --
- 2 A. And Bacon, yes.
- 3 Q. And they're from Kansas City?
- 4 A. Kansas, yes.
- 5 Q. And they represent whom, sir?
- 6 A. They -- I --
- 7 I think they represent some member companies.
- 8 Q. Of The Tobacco Institute; right?
- 9 A. Of The Tobacco Institute. I don't know who all
- 10 they represent, frankly.
- 11 Q. Do you know who the Dr. Gardner is who's listed
- 12 there?
- 13 A. No, I don't.
- 14 Q. Now another person who's listed as being a
- 15 member of this committee is Bill Kloepfer; right?
- 16 A. Yes, Bill Kloepfer.
- 17 Q. Am I pronouncing his name right?
- 18 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 19 Q. He was vice-president for public relations of
- 20 The Tobacco Institute; wasn't he?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. Another person who's listed is Leonard Zahn.
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Now who is Leonard Zahn?
- 25 A. I don't know.

- 1 Q. Now sir, and --
- Is it your testimony, then, that you don't know
- 3 whether the committee that's referred to was ever set
- 4 up or operated?
- 5 A. This committee?
- 6 Q. Yes, sir.
- 7 A. No, I do not know.
- 8 Q. Now sir, isn't it true that at the various
- 9 Committee of Counsel meetings, from time to time
- 10 scientists from the companies would come to the
- 11 meetings and make presentations?
- 12 A. I can't recall scientists coming to the
- 13 meetings, no, ma'am.
- 14 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 751 was marked
- for identification.)
- 16 BY MS. WIVELL:
- 17 Q. Sir, showing you what's been marked as
- 18 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 751, this is a letter to Messrs.
- 19 Grant, Ramm, Smith and Yeaman regarding a survey of
- 20 smoking habits written by H. T. Autern; right? Or is
- 21 it Austern?
- 22 A. Austern.
- 23 Q. For the record, it begins with Bates number
- 24 1001880732; right?
- MR. FLYNN: Dated December 11th, '68.

- 1 A. No. I have 733.
- 2 MR. FLYNN: That's the second page.
- 3 THE WITNESS: Oh, that's the second page.
- 4 Okay.
- 5 Q. Let's turn back to the first page.
- 6 A. Okay. Sorry.
- 7 Q. For the record, --
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. -- the first page of Exhibit 751 is Bates
- 10 numbered 1001880732; right?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Now this letter predates your involvement with
- 13 the Tobacco Institute; doesn't it?
- 14 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 15 Q. Have you ever seen this letter before, sir?
- 16 A. No, ma'am.
- 17 Q. Would you take a moment and read it, please.
- 18 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 19 Q. Now sir, you've read Exhibit 751?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. It refers to a Committee of Counsel meeting;
- 22 doesn't it?
- 23 MR. FLYNN: I object, it speaks for
- 24 itself. Read to the witness what you're talking
- 25 about.

- 1 I don't see it in there. If you see the phrase
- 2 in there, you can tell her where you see it; but if
- 3 you don't, tell her that.
- 4 A. No, I --
- Well that's right, I -- I -- I don't see
- 6 "Committee of Counsel," no.
- 7 Q. Well let's back up a second.
- 8 Mr. Austern was a partner at Covington &
- 9 Burling; right?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Covington & Burling represented The Tobacco
- 12 Institute in 1968; right?
- 13 A. I -- I believe so. I don't know.
- 14 Q. All right. Well Covington & Burling represents
- 15 The Tobacco Institute today; doesn't it?
- 16 A. Today, that's correct.
- 17 Q. I have to warn you, sir, we're going to have to
- 18 make sure that we don't speak over one another.
- 19 A. Okay. I apologize. I apologize to you.
- 20 Q. I'll try real hard to wait for you to be done if
- 21 you try real hard for me to wait to be done too.
- 22 Covington & Burling represents The Tobacco
- 23 Institute today; doesn't it?
- 24 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 25 Q. As a matter of fact, there is a partner here

- 1 from Covington & Burling helping defend you in this
- 2 deposition; isn't that true?
- 3 A. That's correct.
- 4 Q. And in fact that is the very same law firm that
- 5 this letter came from back in 1968; right?
- 6 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 7 Q. Now it refers or --
- 8 The letter was sent to Messrs. Grant, Ramm,
- 9 Smith and Yeaman.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. They were all members of the Committee of
- 12 Counsel in 1968; weren't they?
- 13 A. I don't know. I don't recognize those names.
- 14 Q. Well you under -- understand that Addison Yeaman
- 15 was general counsel of Brown & Williamson?
- 16 A. I do not know. I -- I've never heard of that
- 17 name. I -- I don't know if he was or was not.
- 18 Q. All right. You just don't know as you sit here
- 19 today whether or not the people who are listed in
- 20 this letter refer to -- are or -- are the members
- 21 of --
- 22 A. No, ma'am. I've never met any of these people
- 23 or heard their names before, frankly.
- 24 Q. Now sir, it suggests that a meeting was going to
- 25 be held on January 27th at The Tobacco Institute;

- 1 right?
- 2 A. That's what the letter says.
- 3 Q. And one of the reasons for the meeting was that
- 4 Dr. Dunn of Philip Morris was going to present
- 5 information on smoking habits; right?
- 6 MR. FLYNN: It speaks for itself. It says
- 7 "may present the results of the study." Again, I
- 8 object to your paraphrasing of the document. The
- 9 witness has never seen it, knows nothing about it.
- 10 It speaks for itself.
- 11 Read the part about the meeting.
- 12 THE WITNESS: Let's see, it says --
- 13 where --
- 14 Where is that?
- 15 A. It says, "Dr. Dunn of Philip Morris Research
- 16 Center has reported that the survey of smoking is
- 17 proceeding fully on schedule."
- 18 Q. "...smoking habits is proceeding fully on
- 19 schedule; "right?
- 20 A. Therefore, suggested a meeting 10:00 o'clock,
- 21 January 27th at The Tobacco Institute in order that
- 22 Dr. Dunn and his staff may present the results of the
- 23 study, yes.
- 24 Q. I'm not sure it's real clear there, sir. Let me
- 25 try again.

- 1 One of the purposes of this meeting was so that
- 2 Dr. Dunn could present the results of a study he had
- 3 done on smoking habits; right?
- 4 A. Ms. Wivell, I -- I don't know what -- other than
- 5 what's here. I've seen this for the first time. I
- 6 wasn't there at the time. It says what it says, so I
- 7 don't know what else I can add.
- 8 Q. I understand. I'm just --
- 9 Because you and I had a couple of interchanges
- 10 where I asked a question and I don't think it was
- 11 answered, I'm just going to go back and try and ask
- 12 it again so the record will be clear.
- 13 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 14 Q. One of the purposes of the meeting that was
- 15 scheduled for January 27th at The Tobacco Institute
- 16 was that Dr. Dunn was going to be able to present the
- 17 results of his study.
- 18 A. That's what the letter says.
- 19 Q. All right. Now sir, there have been while you
- 20 were at The Tobacco Institute meetings like this one
- 21 that's referred to in Exhibit 751 where scientists
- 22 from one company would come in and present
- 23 information to other cigarette manufacturer members
- 24 of The Tobacco Institute; haven't there?
- 25 MR. FLYNN: I object to the question as

- 1 asking the witness to assume a whole set of facts
- 2 that he's said he can't. Forget the meetings like
- 3 this one. You want to ask him a question --
- 4 He had no idea if this meeting ever took place,
- 5 much less what it was, quote, like. As phrased, it's
- 6 just -- the witness can't answer it.
- 7 MS. WIVELL: Let me try and rephrase the
- 8 question.
- 9 MR. FLYNN: Fine.
- 10 MS. WIVELL: And maybe I can make it
- 11 better.
- 12 Q. While you have been at The Tobacco Institute,
- 13 there have been meetings where scientists from one
- 14 company would come in and present information to the
- 15 other cigarette manufacturer members of The Tobacco
- 16 Institute; isn't that true?
- 17 A. I cannot recall any meetings like that, no.
- 18 Q. So there may have been, but you just can't
- 19 recall?
- 20 A. I cannot recall any meetings, no, I can't.
- 21 Q. And do you have any information that suggests
- 22 that the -- that the meeting that's referred to in
- 23 Exhibit 751 did not take place?
- 24 A. I never heard of the meeting, and I have -- I --
- 25 I just don't know.

- 1 No. I don't know.
- 2 Q. You -- you just don't know one way or the other;
- 3 do you?
- 4 A. One way or the other, no, ma'am.
- 5 MS. WIVELL: All right. Why don't we take
- 6 a brief break.
- 7 MR. FLYNN: Okay.
- 8 THE REPORTER: Off the record, please.
- 9 (Recess taken.)
- 10 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 752 was marked
- for identification.)
- 12 BY MS. WIVELL:
- 13 Q. Sir, while we were off the record, the court
- 14 reporter marked Plaintiffs' Exhibit 752. This is a
- 15 document that bears the Bates number 04330468; right?
- 16 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 17 Q. And it's a document that you're familiar with;
- 18 isn't it?
- MR. FLYNN: For the record, it's also
- 20 through 072 -- 0726. It's a hundred-and-some-page
- 21 document.
- 22 A. I can't recall this document, no.
- 23 Q. All right. Would you take a few minutes and --
- 24 or just a few moments and flip through it. I'm not
- 25 asking you to read every page, but ask if looking at

- 1 it refreshes your recollection that you participated
- 2 in preparing parts of this document.
- 3 A. I know I didn't participate in preparing parts
- 4 of the document. I don't see where it just -- this
- 5 is --
- 6 Is this part of the document? Okay. All
- 7 right.
- 8 Is there anything you particularly want me to
- 9 look at?
- 10 Q. Well sir, there are letters contained in this
- 11 document that you've written; right?
- 12 A. I don't know. Where are they? Can you --
- I don't see --
- 14 MR. FLYNN: She'll find them. It's a
- 15 couple hundred pages, so --
- 16 Q. Well let me put it this way. Sir, there are
- 17 memos in here that you have received from other staff
- 18 members at The Tobacco Institute; right?
- 19 A. I -- I'm sorry, --
- 20 MR. FLYNN: Just focus him what page we're
- 21 after.
- 22 A. -- what page are you --
- 23 Q. For example, can you turn to the page that ends
- 24 with 625.
- MR. FLYNN: The Bates number.

- 1 THE WITNESS: What was that now?
- 2 MR. FLYNN: 625.
- 3 THE WITNESS: Six -- I don't see the
- 4 numbering sequence.
- 5 MR. FLYNN: Down here on this Bates stamp
- 6 thing.
- 7 THE WITNESS: Oh. 625. 625. 63 -- okay.
- 8 625.
- 9 A. Yes, that's a memo to me, it would appear.
- 10 Q. You have no reason to doubt you didn't get that
- 11 memo.
- 12 A. No, I do not.
- 13 Q. Sir, could you turn to the portion of the
- 14 document that ends with Bates number 582.
- 15 A. 582. 582. Should I keep my finger on this
- 16 other here?
- 17 Q. No. That's fine. Why don't you just turn to
- 18 the page that ends with Bates number 582.
- 19 A. 582.
- 20 Q. And there is --
- 21 A. Mine's blank.
- 22 Q. Well it says Appendix 5-1-B; right?
- 23 A. Yes, uh-huh.
- 24 Q. If we turn to the next page, at the top of the
- 25 page we see "APPENDIX 5-1-B;" right?

- 1 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 2 Q. Down at the bottom it says "Clearance
- 3 Procedures." Could you read that section to
- 4 yourself.
- 5 A. I've read it.
- 6 Q. I'm sorry, I think the section continues on to
- 7 the next page. Have you read that, too?
- 8 MR. FLYNN: How much do you want him to
- 9 read? The whole next page or --
- 10 MS. WIVELL: That would be fine.
- 11 MR. FLYNN: So all of page six.
- 12 A. Read the whole page, Ms. Wivell?
- 13 Q. Yes, please.
- 14 A. Okay. I've finished.
- 15 Q. Now sir, this particular section of Exhibit 5 --
- 16 752 concerns procedures for release of public
- 17 statements by The Tobacco Institute; doesn't it?
- 18 MR. FLYNN: Again, it speaks for itself,
- 19 but --
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Now sir, are the procedures that are described
- 22 here procedures that are still in place at The
- 23 Tobacco Institute?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. What procedures are different today than is --

- 1 than what is described here?
- 2 A. Well I have to go back. I have to take a
- 3 moment, I have to go back -- back through. Okay?
- 4 I don't have clearance required for member
- 5 companies, on the first -- first page, in sufficient
- 6 detail to show who's responsible and the names and
- 7 titles of contacts with each member company. That's
- 8 not something that we're doing.
- 9 The Institute's expected to clear all news
- 10 releases and other major pronouncements with Mr.
- 11 Chilcote. There's news releases that are made
- 12 that --
- 13 Is there some problem? Is there something --
- 14 Q. I think it's counsel and his cell phone that's
- 15 making that noise, sir.
- MR. GOOLD: I'm sorry.
- 17 A. Let's see.
- 18 Q. I'm not sure you completed your last answer.
- 19 Are there news releases that are made today
- 20 without --
- 21 A. There are some news releases that go out that --
- 22 that -- I don't clear every news release that goes
- 23 out.
- 24 Legal counsel does clear it.
- We don't have -- litigation counsel clearance is

- 1 not part of it.
- 2 Most of these people have since retired.
- 3 There's a lot of these people have retired, some of
- 4 these.
- 5 MR. FLYNN: Talking about the names in
- 6 paragraph two.
- 7 A. The names Bill Shinn, Don Hoel, Robert
- 8 Northrip. I think some of them retired. I don't
- 9 know. Maybe they have retired or gone to other law
- 10 firms. Bernie O'Neill, Pat Sirridge.
- 11 The Tobacco Institute no longer has a
- 12 newsletter.
- We no longer have a Tobacco Observer article,
- 14 Ms. Wivell.
- We don't have as many -- we don't have -- I'm
- 16 not sure -- standing committees. We don't have
- 17 standing committees, which is another --
- 18 That's a few of them, just off the top of my
- 19 head, that -- so it's without really going -- going
- 20 through it.
- 21 Q. All right. Let me see if I understand. You
- 22 correct me if I'm wrong.
- The clearance procedures that you have been
- 24 reviewing on the page that ends with Bates number 583
- 25 of Exhibit 752 were procedures that were in place in

- 1 1982; is that right?
- 2 A. I'm not sure if this was ever adopted or not.
- 3 I'd have to go back. I can't recall. This
- 4 "Preliminary Discussion Paper" is the way it's
- 5 headed here. I'm not sure it was adopted or --
- 6 Q. But it would be --
- 7 A. -- not.
- 8 Q. -- fair to say that when you started with The
- 9 Tobacco Institute, there were procedures in place for
- 10 approval of public statements before they went out;
- 11 right?
- 12 A. Would you repeat that question, --
- 13 Q. Yes, sir.
- 14 A. -- please?
- 15 Q. When you joined The Tobacco Institute in 1981,
- 16 there were procedures in place for approval or
- 17 clearance of public statements made by the Institute
- 18 before they went out.
- 19 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 20 Q. All right. Now they may not be the procedures
- 21 that are outlined here in Exhibit 752; right?
- 22 A. Yeah. Well I don't know what the -- the other
- 23 procedures were, but there were --
- When I joined in '81, well there were procedures
- 25 in place for clearance, yes.

- 1 Q. All right. And would it be fair to say that
- 2 when you joined the Institute in 1981, all major
- 3 press releases were to be cleared by you?
- 4 A. All major press releases to be cleared by me?
- 5 Yes.
- 6 Q. All right. And in fact all ads that were placed
- 7 by The Tobacco Institute had to be cleared by you;
- 8 right?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. All major ads relating to smoking-and-health
- 11 issues.
- 12 A. Well I knew of them, but they -- obviously I'm
- 13 not an expert in ads, so they -- you know, they would
- 14 say --
- This is what they'd recommend. I'd say fine,
- 16 you know.
- 17 Q. Okay. And as a matter of fact, today the major
- 18 pronouncements that The Tobacco Institute makes have
- 19 to be cleared by you.
- 20 A. No. They -- it's pretty much standard operating
- 21 procedure almost, most things we would make an
- 22 announcement about.
- 23 Q. All right. But you would agree that when you
- 24 started with The Tobacco Institute, all public
- 25 statements on smoking-and-health-related issues had

- 1 to be cleared by attorneys representing either --
- 2 representing The Tobacco Institute; right?
- 3 A. I don't know if all work were or were not.
- 4 There was a clearance procedure where you'd check
- 5 with your counsel, obviously, before you'd release.
- 6 Like any corporation or trade association.
- 7 Q. In other words, what you're saying is that when
- 8 you joined The Tobacco Institute in 1981, major press
- 9 releases, for example, relating to smoking and health
- 10 had to be run by outside counsel representing The
- 11 Tobacco Institute.
- 12 A. I -- I don't know who the releases would be run
- 13 by, but I would hope that completed staff work had
- 14 been done, and I -- that assumed that clearance from
- 15 our counsel had been checked off with the people
- 16 preparing the releases.
- 17 Q. Now sir, you say you assume. You believe that
- 18 that was the procedure that was in place when you
- 19 joined the Institute; right?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And in fact that procedure is still in place
- 22 today. Major press releases having to do with
- 23 smoking-and-health issues had to be approved before
- 24 they were made by outside counsel representing The
- 25 Tobacco Institute.

- 1 A. Well our counsel being Covington & Burling, with
- 2 which -- we'd run a press release by them. Yes, we
- 3 would do that.
- 4 Q. Now --
- 5 A. A major press release.
- 6 Q. Press releases relating to smoking and health;
- 7 right?
- 8 A. Really don't make that many press releases
- 9 concerning smoking and health. I can't think of any.
- 10 Q. But they --
- If there were press releases that were made,
- 12 they would have been run by outside counsel before
- 13 they were released; right?
- 14 A. You say "outside counsel." You mean Covington &
- 15 Burling?
- 16 Q. Well sir, Covington & Burling was one of the
- 17 outside counsel for The Tobacco Institute; right?
- 18 A. It -- it is our outside counsel, singular.
- 19 Q. All right. Well just so we're clear here, press
- 20 releases concerning smoking and health would have
- 21 been run by Covington & Burling before they were
- 22 released to the press; right?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. And in fact that's the practice today; isn't it?
- 25 A. Yes. Major.

- 1 Q. Now, sir, --
- 2 A. Major press releases.
- 3 Q. -- there's also reference in the second
- 4 paragraph on the page that ends with Bates number 584
- 5 to approval by Shook, Hardy & Bacon in Kansas City;
- 6 isn't there, sir?
- 7 MR. FLYNN: Again it speaks for itself,
- 8 but --
- 9 No, I object. The document says either/or,
- 10 but -- the first paragraph says either the Institute
- 11 or litigation counsel.
- 12 A. What was your question now?
- 13 Q. Sir, there's also reference in the second
- 14 paragraph on the page that ends with Bates number 584
- 15 to approval by Shook, Hardy & Bacon in Kansas City;
- 16 isn't there, sir?
- 17 MR. FLYNN: Objection, it speaks for
- 18 itself. And it doesn't say that. Read the thing to
- 19 her and then we can move on.
- 20 A. It says clearances are handled with Covington &
- 21 Burling, counsel of the Institute. Designates Mr.
- 22 Rupp, Mr. Temko. Litigation counsel to the members
- 23 is Shook, Hardy & Bacon. Clearances are handled with
- 24 the senior partner or people designated by him.
- 25 Q. And sir, the people designated by him that are

- 1 listed there were partners at Shook, Hardy & Bacon;
- 2 weren't they?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And sir, isn't it true that counsel -- I'm
- 5 sorry, strike that.
- 6 Isn't it true that Shook, Hardy & Bacon was
- 7 counsel for The Tobacco Institute?
- 8 A. I don't believe -- believe so. They were legal
- 9 advisors. Our counsel has always been Covington &
- 10 Burling.
- 11 Q. Well what do you or --
- 12 How do you distinguish between counsel and legal
- 13 advisors?
- 14 A. Well I'm sure that Shook, Hardy & Bacon, as
- 15 we -- as we mentioned earlier, worked for some of the
- 16 member companies. They may or may not have worked
- 17 for us at that time. I do not recall.
- 18 Q. So are you saying that because Shook, Hardy &
- 19 Bacon was litigation counsel to some of the member
- 20 companies, that major releases were approved by them
- 21 before they were made by The Tobacco Institute?
- 22 A. In the beginning, back at that date --
- MR. FLYNN: The early '80s?
- 24 A. -- in the early '80s, Mr. Kloepfer would have
- 25 gotten clearance from Covington & Burling, and I

- 1 would assume Shook, Hardy & Bacon.
- 2 Q. Now sir, did major press releases having to do
- 3 with cigarette smoking also have to be approved by
- 4 the member companies --
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. -- of The Tobacco Institute?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. Now you mentioned that --
- 9 A. Could I clarify something that -- that --
- 10 I don't know if all releases were cleared by
- 11 these two companies. I start thinking about, let's
- 12 say, a release on -- on employment in the tobacco
- 13 industry in the state of North Carolina, or what's
- 14 the tax burden or something like that. I don't know
- 15 the extent of these clearances.
- 16 Q. All right. Well let's put it this way: Would
- 17 you agree that press releases relating to whether
- 18 smoking causes disease were either run by Covington &
- 19 Burling or Shook, Hardy & Bacon?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Are press releases having to do today with
- 22 whether smoking causes disease run by and approved by
- 23 Covington & Burling or Shook, Hardy & Bacon?
- 24 MR. FLYNN: It assumes that there are such
- 25 releases.

- 1 A. We really don't make numbers of releases on
- 2 smoking and health. And you're correct that it would
- 3 be cleared by Covington & Burling. We do not send
- 4 them to Shook, Hardy & Bacon.
- 5 Q. When did the procedure change so that press
- 6 releases were no longer sent to Shook, Hardy & Bacon?
- 7 A. I can't remember.
- 8 Q. Now sir, you mentioned that The Tobacco
- 9 Institute no longer has The Tobacco Institute
- 10 Newsletter.
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. What was The Tobacco Institute Newsletter?
- 13 A. I think it was just news on anything that came
- 14 out or most things that came out concerning tobacco.
- 15 Just sort of like, oh, the top items. Would be sort
- 16 of like USA Today, I guess, or a digest of what was
- 17 out there. Just --
- 18 Q. Sort of the USA Today of The Tobacco Institute?
- 19 A. Just, you know, reporting on whatever.
- 20 Q. I have to warn you again, we cannot talk, both
- 21 of us, at the same time.
- 22 A. Okay. Were you talking over me or was I talking
- 23 over you?
- 24 Q. I don't know, but --
- 25 A. Well --

- 1 Q. -- we got to stop it.
- 2 A. Okay. All right.
- 3 Q. Now sir, when did The Tobacco Institute stop
- 4 sending out The Tobacco Institute Newsletter?
- 5 A. I can't recall.
- 6 Q. Approximately when?
- 7 A. In the late '80s, I -- that's a guess. I -- I
- 8 can't remember. It was no big deal.
- 9 Q. What do you mean it was no big deal?
- 10 A. I mean it was just --
- 11 You know, some functions you continue and some
- 12 you -- you don't continue.
- 13 Q. All right. Who --
- 14 To whom was the Tobacco Institute Newsletter
- 15 sent?
- 16 A. Sent to anybody that had an interest in -- in --
- 17 in tobacco, people -- growers and farm groups and
- 18 people in -- distributors, retailers. I don't know.
- 19 We had a -- a mailing list. But that would be the
- 20 kind of people, I'd think, who would be of interest.
- 21 Q. Congressmen?
- 22 A. I don't know if there were any members of
- 23 Congress or not. If they had an interest, we would
- 24 have sent it to them, so --
- 25 Q. State legislators?

- 1 A. I -- I don't know. If you are asking if we had
- 2 a -- every state legislator received a copy of the
- 3 Tobacco Newsletter, I think I'm on safe ground by
- 4 saying no.
- 5 Q. Was it sent to major news outlets?
- 6 A. I don't know.
- 7 Q. Now there also is a reference here to the
- 8 Tobacco Observer, and you said that the Tobacco
- 9 Observer is no longer published.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. What was the Tobacco Observer?
- 12 A. It was --
- In fact I think it was a lot -- pretty
- 14 duplicative of the newsletter. I'm not sure if --
- 15 if -- if it came out at the same time or not, my
- 16 memory doesn't serve me. But it was again, you know,
- 17 the same thing pertaining to a whole range of issues
- 18 or concerns that would affect the tobacco industry.
- 19 Q. And it was sent to members of Congress?
- 20 A. I don't know. I think it probably would be just
- 21 about the same as -- as those that we were talking
- 22 about earlier.
- 23 Q. And the Tobacco Observer and The Tobacco
- 24 Institute Newsletter were official publications of
- 25 The Tobacco Institute; wasn't it?

- 1 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 2 Q. All right. Why don't we put this document
- 3 aside.
- 4 A. Okay.
- 5 Q. Sir, I know it was before your time, but have
- 6 you become familiar with a document entitled "A Frank
- 7 Statement to Cigarette Smokers?"
- 8 A. No, ma'am.
- 9 Q. You've never seen it?
- 10 A. No, ma'am.
- 11 Q. Let me show you what's previously been marked --
- 12 A. I don't know if I've ever -- I --
- I don't know until I see it if I have or
- 14 haven't. I don't know.
- 15 Q. All right. Let me show you what's previously
- 16 been marked in this litigation, it is entitled "A
- 17 Frank Statement to Cigarette Smokers; " right?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Why don't you take a moment and read it.
- 20 A. I've read it.
- 21 Q. Now sir, you've now read the Frank Statement to
- 22 cigarette smokers?
- 23 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 24 Q. Now sir, this particular exhibit says at the
- 25 bottom, "Tobacco Industry Research Committee."

- 1 Right?
- 2 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 3 Q. Do you understand that the Tobacco Industry
- 4 Research Committee was the predecessor to the CTR?
- 5 A. I don't know. If you say it is, fine. I
- 6 don't -- I don't know.
- 7 Q. Well, it says underneath the Tobacco Industry
- 8 Research Committee sponsors; right?
- 9 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 10 Q. And it lists several sponsors of the Frank
- 11 Statement; doesn't it?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And included among those sponsors are several
- 14 members of what is now The Tobacco Institute; right?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And among the sponsors were included Brown &
- 17 Williamson Tobacco Corporation?
- 18 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 19 Q. And they were a founding member of The Tobacco
- 20 Institute; weren't they?
- 21 A. I believe so.
- 22 Q. It lists Lorillard as a sponsor; doesn't it,
- 23 sir?
- 24 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 25 Q. They were a founding member of The Tobacco

- 1 Institute; weren't they?
- 2 A. I think so.
- 3 Q. It lists Philip Morris as a sponsor of the Frank
- 4 Statement; doesn't it, sir?
- 5 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 6 Q. And Philip Morris was a founding member of The
- 7 Tobacco Institute; wasn't it?
- 8 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 9 Q. Lists R. J. Reynolds Tobacco Company as a
- 10 sponsor of the Frank Statement; doesn't it, sir?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And RJR was a founding member of The Tobacco
- 13 Institute; wasn't it?
- 14 A. I believe so.
- 15 Q. It also lists The American Tobacco Company;
- 16 right?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And The American Tobacco Company was a founding
- 19 member of The Tobacco Institute; wasn't it?
- 20 A. I believe so.
- 21 Q. Now sir, if we assume that this document was
- 22 written in 1950 -- or was published in the 1950s, it
- 23 would predate The Tobacco Institute's formation;
- 24 wouldn't it?
- 25 A. Yes, ma'am.

- 1 Q. And that's because The Tobacco Institute was
- 2 formed -- formed in 1958.
- 3 A. I think, yes. Yes.
- 4 When is this document now?
- 5 Q. I believe it's 1954, sir.
- 6 A. This is '54. Okay. '54 document.
- 7 Q. And it's --
- 8 If indeed it was published in 1954, the Frank
- 9 Statement to Cigarette Smokers would predate the
- 10 formation of The Tobacco Institute; wouldn't it?
- 11 A. Yes, it would.
- 12 Q. Now sir, in this Frank Statement the sponsors
- 13 make a solemn promise to smokers to let them know
- 14 what they find out about cigarette smoking and
- 15 health; don't they?
- MR. FLYNN: Objection, it speaks for
- 17 itself. If you want to read it, that's fine. I
- 18 don't know of any solemn promises in there. It's all
- 19 paraphrasing.
- 20 A. I'm sorry, I lost -- lost your -- your train --
- 21  $\,$  my -- my train of thought on your question.
- 22 Q. All right. Well sir, having read the Frank
- 23 Statement, you would agree that the sponsors make a
- 24 promise to cigarette smokers to let them know what
- 25 the companies find out about cigarette smoking and

- 1 health.
- 2 MR. FLYNN: Again, --
- 3 Q. Isn't that true?
- 4 MR. FLYNN: -- the document speaks for
- 5 itself. If the word "promise" is in there, it's in
- 6 there.
- 7 A. I don't see the word, but --
- 8 I'd have to reread it to find that. Do you want
- 9 to -- I mean what it says is what it says.
- 10 Q. All right. Well sir it says at point one, "We
- 11 are pledging aid and assistance...; " right?
- 12 A. I'm sorry, I've lost --
- Oh, I see. Okay. Yes, it says -- this document
- 14 says "We are pledging aid and assistance...." Yes,
- 15 ma'am.
- 16 Q. And pledging means the same thing as promise;
- 17 right?
- 18 A. Well I don't -- I don't think --
- 19 I don't think so.
- 20 Q. All right. Well it says at the bottom, "This
- 21 statement is being issued because we believe that
- 22 people are entitled to know where we stand on this
- 23 matter and what we intend to do about it." Right?
- 24 A. Well it says --
- The document says, "This statement is being

- 1 issued because we believe that people are entitled to
- 2 know where we stand on this matter and what we intend
- 3 to do about it." That's the complete statement.
- 4 Q. All right. Among the things that are -- is said
- 5 here is, "We believe the products we make are not
- 6 injurious to health." Right?
- 7 MR. FLYNN: She's on the other column now.
- 8 Right there.
- 9 A. That's what the doc -- let's see. That's what
- 10 the document says, yes.
- 11 Q. And then up above it also says there is no proof
- 12 that cigarette smoking is one of the causes.
- 13 MR. FLYNN: Again objection. You're
- 14 paraphrasing.
- 15 Q. Referring to lung cancer; correct?
- 16 A. No, that isn't what it says. It says, "There is
- 17 no proof that cigarette smoking is one of the causes
- 18 of" --
- 19 Q. Now sir, --
- 20 A. Let's see here.
- 21 Q. -- are you a smoker?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. How long have you smoked?
- 24 A. Since I was about 35 years old.
- 25 Q. And how old are you now, sir?

- 1 A. Do I got to tell you?
- 2 Sixty.
- 3 Q. So you've smoked for approximately 25 years?
- 4 A. Right.
- 5 Q. You were not a smoker back in 1954 then?
- 6 A. No, ma'am.
- 7 Q. Sir, reading this document today, you would
- 8 expect that the statements made by the sponsor
- 9 members in this document would be correct; wouldn't
- 10 you?
- 11 A. If I --
- 12 Would you repeat that, please?
- 13 Q. Yes. Reading this document today, you would
- 14 expect that the statements made in the Frank
- 15 Statement would be correct; wouldn't you?
- 16 MR. FLYNN: Again objection, vague and
- 17 ambiguous. If you can answer it. "Reading this
- 18 document today" --
- 19 A. I -- I don't know what would be my --
- 20 I don't know what I'd think, frankly, by reading
- 21 it then or reading it today. I'm not sure I
- 22 understand the question.
- 23 Q. All right. Well sir, reading it today as you
- 24 sit here, reading the statement, quote, "There is no
- 25 proof that cigarette smoking is one of the causes,"

- 1 you would expect that at the time that this document
- 2 was written, that was an accurate statement; wouldn't
- 3 you?
- 4 A. I would sus --
- 5 Yes.
- 6 Q. And that's because you would agree that when
- 7 someone, whether it be an individual or company,
- 8 makes a public statement about a product that it
- 9 makes, it's got to be truthful in what it says.
- 10 A. You would hope so.
- 11 Q. Well you would expect that, sir; wouldn't you?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And sir, isn't it true that as the person
- 14 responsible for approving many of the major press
- 15 releases relating to smoking and health, you wanted
- 16 those press releases to be as accurate as possible;
- 17 right?
- 18 MR. FLYNN: Objection in the sense that it
- 19 implies he had anything to do with this document.
- 20 But this is separate from the document.
- 21 A. Well any -- any statement I make, or as
- 22 president of the association, anyhow, I would hope
- 23 would be as truthful as possible.
- 24 Q. Because you don't want to make deceptive
- 25 statements; right?

- 1 A. That's correct.
- 2 Q. And The Tobacco Institute does not want to make
- 3 deceptive statements; does it?
- 4 A. That is correct.
- 5 Q. And if The Tobacco Institute made deceptive
- 6 statements to the public, it would be a breach of
- 7 their duty; wouldn't it, sir?
- 8 A. Well like anyone, you try and correct it. I'd
- 9 try to correct it if I thought that anything was said
- 10 that was not factual.
- 11 Q. All right. Well sir, if you found out something
- 12 was said that was not factually correct, you would
- 13 want to correct it because it would be a breach of
- 14 The Tobacco Institute's duty to speak honestly to the
- 15 public; wouldn't it?
- MR. FLYNN: I object, it's vague,
- 17 ambiguous, calls for total speculation. What
- 18 statement? What time? About what subject?
- 19 A. Well anything we release, we try to have it
- 20 factual.
- 21 Q. Well the reason you try and have it factual is
- 22 because you recognize you have a duty to speak
- 23 accurately to the public; right?
- 24 A. We have a duty to --
- 25 Any information we send out for -- to anyone we

- 1 want to be as factual as possible.
- 2 Q. You don't want it to be misleading; do you?
- 3 A. No, I do not want our statements to be
- 4 misleading.
- 5 Q. And it would be --
- 6 You would recognize that it would be a breach of
- 7 The Tobacco Institute's duty to send out a statement
- 8 that was misleading; right?
- 9 A. As I've said before, we do not -- we try to be
- 10 factual and we -- we do want to send out correct
- 11 information.
- 12 Q. I understand that, sir. And one of the reasons
- 13 you do that is because you recognize it would be a
- 14 breach of your duty --
- 15 A. I'm not sure what you mean by the term "breach
- 16 of -- of my duty."
- 17 Q. All right. Well sir, you understand that The
- 18 Tobacco Institute, when it speaks on subjects
- 19 relating to smoking and health, must speak
- 20 accurately; right?
- 21 MR. FLYNN: You've asked this five times
- 22 now. It's just getting repetitive. He's not going
- 23 to keep answering it.
- 24 A. I -- I do want to be factual on any information
- 25 that we release at The Tobacco Institute.

- 1 Q. And the reason you want to be factual is because
- 2 when The Tobacco Institute speaks on a subject
- 3 relating -- relating to smoking and health, it
- 4 recognizes it has a duty to the public not to
- 5 misrepresent what it says.
- 6 MR. FLYNN: Objection, he's already said he
- 7 doesn't understand what your "duty" reference refers
- 8 to. But if you can answer it, go ahead.
- 9 A. I think we have a duty to -- to people which we
- 10 represent to say things correctly, and also to the
- 11 people that receive it.
- 12 Q. All right. And this duty that -- that goes to
- 13 the people who receive it, that includes -- strike
- 14 that.
- 15 Concerning this duty to the people who receive
- 16 the information you send out, you would agree that it
- 17 would be improper to mislead those people in any way;
- 18 right?
- 19 MR. FLYNN: I object, it's vague and
- 20 ambiguous. But --
- 21 A. We do want to send out factual information as we
- 22 know it, and I certainly do not want to mislead
- 23 people. Yes, ma'am.
- 24 Q. And you would agree, too, that withholding
- 25 important information from the public would also be a

- 1 breach of that duty to the people who receive
- 2 information; right?
- 3 MR. FLYNN: Objection, that's a whole
- 4 different subject. That's so vague and ambiguous.
- 5 A. Bothers me a little bit because I don't know --
- 6 What might be important to you or to me may not
- 7 be important to other people. So I don't -- I -- I
- 8 don't know how I could answer that.
- 9 Q. Well sir, if you're talking about
- 10 smoking-and-health-related issues, for example --
- 11 strike that.
- 12 If The Tobacco Institute is making public
- 13 statements about smoking-and-health-related issues,
- 14 it would be important not to withhold information
- 15 from the public when it makes those statements; isn't
- 16 that true?
- 17 MR. FLYNN: I object, it's so
- 18 argumentative, vague and ambiguous that it -- as
- 19 phrased it's just totally unfair to this witness.
- 20 A. I would not want to withhold any -- any
- 21 information.
- 22 Q. That would be a breach of The Tobacco
- 23 Institute's duty to the people who are receiving the
- 24 information; right?
- MR. FLYNN: Same objection.

- 1 A. I don't -- I don't --
- 2 MR. FLYNN: It's vague and ambiguous.
- 3 A. I just get --
- 4 I'm just not really sure what you mean by a
- 5 breach of my -- my duty. Withholding --
- I said that I would not withhold information if
- 7 somebody wanted the information or wanted The Tobacco
- 8 Institute's perspective. And when we send out that
- 9 perspective, I'd like to have it as factual and
- 10 truthful.
- 11 Q. You don't want it to be deceptive; do you, sir?
- 12 A. No, ma'am.
- 13 Q. Because that would be wrong to send out
- 14 something that was deceptive; isn't that true?
- 15 A. Yes, I think it would be wrong.
- 16 Q. You would agree that it would be important for
- 17 The Tobacco Institute to tell the public the same
- 18 thing that its member cigarette companies were
- 19 telling their employees; right?
- 20 MR. FLYNN: Objection, that's so vague and
- 21 ambiguous it's impossible to answer. What is the
- 22 company telling their employees? How does he know
- 23 that?
- 24 A. I --
- Would you repeat that question again?

- 1 Q. Certainly.
- 2 It would be important for The Tobacco Institute
- 3 to tell the public the same thing the companies were
- 4 telling their employees internally; right?
- 5 A. I have no way of knowing what the -- what the
- 6 companies told their employees, so I just don't know
- 7 how I could answer that. But I have no way of -- of
- 8 knowing; they got hundreds of thousands of employees.
- 9 Q. Well let me put it this way: Would you agree
- 10 that it would be improper for The Tobacco Institute
- 11 members to say one thing through The Tobacco
- 12 Institute and say the contrary thing internally?
- 13 MR. FLYNN: Again I object, it's so vague
- 14 and ambiguous and speculative it just defies answer.
- 15 A. See, I -- I have trouble because I -- I don't
- 16 know what we're talking about or what -- what they
- 17 may be telling their employees. I don't know the
- 18 subject matter. I just -- it's so far-ranging
- 19 that --
- 20 Q. Well sir, have you ever heard the phrase
- 21 "speaking out of both sides of your mouth?"
- 22 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 23 Q. And you understand --
- 24 A. It's a Western phrase.
- 25 Q. Pardon me?

- 1 A. It's a Western phrase; isn't it?
- 2 Q. Okay. What do you understand that Western
- 3 phrase to mean?
- 4 A. On the one hand or the other hand; right?
- 5 Q. Is that what you understand it to mean? Is that
- 6 right?
- 7 A. I guess so. There's a lot of different
- 8 interpretations.
- 9 Q. All right. Using that interpretation that you
- 10 just gave us, it would be improper for the tobacco
- 11 manufacturers to say one thing through The Tobacco
- 12 Institute on one hand and say another thing
- 13 internally; isn't it?
- MR. FLYNN: I have the same objection.
- 15 This is so vague and ambiguous and so incredibly
- 16 broad, I don't think anybody -- and how does --
- 17 He can answer it.
- 18 A. I --
- 19 Again, I don't think I could improve upon my
- 20 answer. I'll try if you want to rephrase it another
- 21 way, but I just --
- 22 Q. Well sir, --
- 23 A. -- don't know what you're asking.
- 24 Q. -- let me ask you this: Would it be right for
- 25 the cigarette manufacturers to speak out of both

- 1 sides of their mouths when it came to the -- what
- 2 they were saying publicly about cigarette smoking and
- 3 health?
- 4 MR. FLYNN: Again it's so vague and
- 5 ambiguous.
- 6 A. I don't --
- 7 MR. FLYNN: I have no idea what you're
- 8 talking about with "both sides of their mouths."
- 9 A. I don't think the cigarette manufacturers would
- 10 speak out of both sides of their mouth. I -- I don't
- 11 know the subject matter you're talking about, so it's
- 12 hard for me to respond.
- 13 Q. Okay. Well keeping in mind that you don't think
- 14 that they would, it would be wrong for them to speak
- 15 out of both sides of their mouths; wouldn't it?
- MR. FLYNN: The same objection, vague and
- 17 ambiguous and unclear. It's speculative.
- 18 A. I think it would be wrong for anyone to speak
- 19 out of both sides of their mouth.
- 20 Q. And going back to this Frank Statement to
- 21 Cigarette Smokers, it would be wrong for them to
- 22 speak out of both sides of their mouth after they've
- 23 told the people we believe the people are entitled to
- 24 know where we stand on this matter and what we intend
- 25 to do about it.

- 1 A. I don't know if anybody would be speaking out of
- 2 both sides of their mouth or -- or what this -- this
- 3 refers to. This -- I don't see that in this
- 4 document, frankly.
- 5 Q. I -- I understand you don't see it there, sir.
- 6 But you would agree that it would be improper for the
- 7 tobacco manufacturers who sponsored this Frank
- 8 Statement to say one thing to the public and then say
- 9 something entirely different on the issue of
- 10 cigarette smoking and health internally; wouldn't
- 11 you, sir?
- MR. FLYNN: Again, I have the same
- 13 objection, it's so vague and ambiguous and broad.
- 14 A. I don't know what the --
- I wouldn't know what the subject is. I wouldn't
- 16 know if it's within the same timeframe, the same
- 17 context. I think if I was to speak to you about some
- 18 issue, events have changed or something. Maybe you
- 19 would -- I don't -- I don't know. I don't know how
- 20 to answer the question, I guess.
- 21 Q. Well sir, let's say, for example, that if the
- 22 cigarette manufacturers had proof that cigarette
- 23 smoking was one of the causes of lung cancer, it
- 24 would be improper for them to say, "Well, there's no
- 25 proof," like they did here in this Frank Statement,

- 1 and then cover up the fact that they had such
- 2 evidence; right?
- 3 MR. FLYNN: It's a multiple question, asks
- 4 for three different set of facts to be affirmed in
- 5 the answer. Also, it's the height of speculation.
- 6 A. I lost track of the question. Would you repeat
- 7 it?
- 8 Q. Sure.
- 9 For example, if the cigarette manufacturers had
- 10 proof that cigarette smoking was one of the causes of
- 11 lung cancer, it would be improper for them to say,
- 12 like they did here in the Frank Statement, there is
- 13 no proof that cigarette smoking is one of the causes
- 14 of lung cancer; right?
- 15 A. I don't think the cigarette manufacturers would
- 16 make a false statement.
- 17 Q. Well sir, keeping in mind that you don't think
- 18 that they would, let's see if I can go back and
- 19 re-ask the question again so I can get an answer.
- 20 If the cigarette manufacturers had proof that
- 21 cigarette smoking was one of the causes of lung
- 22 cancer, it would be improper for them to say, like
- 23 they did here in the Frank Statement, that there is
- 24 no proof that cigarette smoking is one of the causes;
- 25 isn't that true?

- 1 A. If they -- if they had proof, they should say
- 2 that they had proof.
- 3 Q. All right. And sir, this Frank Statement --
- 4 strike that.
- 5 And sir, if they claimed they had no proof when
- 6 they had proof, it would be a violation of their duty
- 7 to the people who received this Frank Statement to
- 8 claim to the contrary; wouldn't it?
- 9 MR. LAYDEN: Objection, legal conclusion.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. I'm sorry, I didn't hear your answer.
- MR. FLYNN: He said yes.
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Now sir, this Frank Statement to Cigarette
- 15 Smokers starts out by claiming, "Recent reports on
- 16 experiments with mice have given wide publicity to a
- 17 theory that cigarette smoking is in some way linked
- 18 with lung cancer in human beings." Right?
- 19 A. Yes, that's what the document says.
- 20 Q. And it goes on to say, "Although conducted by
- 21 doctors of professional standing, these experiments
- 22 are not regarded as conclusive in the field of cancer
- 23 research." Right?
- 24 A. That's what the document says.
- 25 Q. Now sir, if the cigarette manufacturers who

- 1 sponsored this Frank Statement had evidence that
- 2 experiments with mice did support the theory that
- 3 cigarette smoking was in some way linked with lung
- 4 cancer in human beings, it would be a violation of
- 5 their duty to say to the contrary; wouldn't it, sir?
- 6 MR. FLYNN: Objection. I don't know how in
- 7 the world this man can express judgments on those
- 8 issues. It's also so vague and ambiguous what you
- 9 mean they had knowledge of or whatever that it's so
- 10 unclear I don't think it can be answered.
- 11 A. I think that anyone should tell the truth.
- 12 Q. And sir, the reason that it's important for
- 13 someone who is speaking to the public to tell the
- 14 truth is because the public reads and believes
- 15 what -- what's written; right?
- 16 A. No, I wouldn't say that. I think people get a
- 17 lot of information that they read, hear. It's up to
- 18 them to make the decision what they believe.
- 19 Q. But they have a right to act with information on
- 20 the subject of smoking and health; don't they?
- 21 A. They have a right to act to information on any
- 22 subject, I would think.
- 23 Q. And if someone misrepresents the state of their
- 24 knowledge, for example, with regard to cigarette
- 25 smoking and health, it would be a violation of their

- 1 duty to people who are reading the -- the ad, for
- 2 example; right?
- 3 MR. FLYNN: I object, it asks him to judge
- 4 something that he's got no foundation to judge.
- 5 MS. WIVELL: I'll withdraw the question.
- 6 Q. If the cigarette manufacturers had in this Frank
- 7 Statement to Cigarette Smoking -- Cigarette Smokers
- 8 misstated the state of their knowledge, it would be a
- 9 violation of their duty to the people who read this
- 10 ad; wouldn't it, sir?
- 11 MR. FLYNN: Again, you're asking him to
- 12 speculate on conduct and judgments that he has no
- 13 knowledge of or information about. Pure speculation
- 14 and conjecture.
- 15 A. As I said, I think everyone ought to try and
- 16 tell the truth.
- 17 THE REPORTER: We have to change tape. Off
- 18 the record, please.
- 19 (Discussion off the record.)
- 20 BY MS. WIVELL:
- 21 Q. Sir, going back to this Frank Statement for a
- 22 moment, do you believe that people who read it had
- 23 a -- a reasonable right to rely on what the sponsors
- 24 were saying here to be accurate?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Sir, you've never seen any ad by any of the
- 2 sponsor members saying anything in this Frank
- 3 Statement wasn't accurate.
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Now sir, are you aware that The Tobacco
- 6 Institute issued a statement about tobacco and
- 7 health?
- 8 A. I can't -- no, I don't -- I --
- 9 I can't recall.
- 10 Q. All right. Showing you what's previously been
- 11 marked as Exhibit 3504, it is a statement about
- 12 tobacco and health that shows The Tobacco Institute
- 13 as one of the groups that placed this statement;
- 14 right?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And the other group that placed this statement
- 17 or issued this statement was the Tobacco Industry
- 18 Research Committee; right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Have you seen this document before, sir?
- 21 A. No.
- When was this document? I have not seen it.
- 23 Q. All right. Why don't you take a moment and read
- 24 it. But for the record, first, I think that the
- 25 Bates number on the front is 513334548.

- 1 MR. FLYNN: It appears un -- it appears
- 2 undated, so I --
- 3 That seems to be the witness's question, but --
- 4 A. Yeah. Is there any date? I mean --
- 5 There's no date to this. You asked if I had
- 6 seen it or anything. I don't see a date.
- 7 Q. All right. Well why don't you read it, sir.
- 8 A. Okay. You don't know what the date was.
- 9 Q. Well according to the information that we were
- 10 given by R. J. Reynolds, who produced it to us in
- 11 this litigation, I believe it's 1963.
- 12 A. '63, okay.
- 13 Yes, ma'am, I've read it.
- 14 Q. Now sir, this document says, "We recognize that
- 15 we have a special responsibility to the public...;"
- 16 doesn't it?
- 17 MR. FLYNN: That's part of a sentence. I
- 18 ask you to read the whole sentence. As phrased, it's
- 19 just a phrase, not the sentence. You can affirm it
- 20 says those words.
- 21 A. It says -- it --
- 22 It says those words -- words, yes. That's what
- 23 it says.
- 24 Q. All right. Just so we're clear here, this
- 25 document is titled "A Statement About Tobacco and

- 1 Health." Right?
- 2 A. Yes, it is.
- 3 Q. And it starts out noting that "Serious charges
- 4 have been made about tobacco use."
- 5 A. That's what the document says.
- 6 Q. And it also says "The tobacco industry has taken
- 7 these charges seriously;" right?
- 8 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 9 Q. And when you were learning about The Tobacco
- 10 Institute before you became its president, did you
- 11 understand that the tobacco industry was taking the
- 12 charges about smoking and the relationship to
- 13 cigarette smoking and health seriously?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And sir, you understand since you've been the
- 16 president of The Tobacco Institute that the cigarette
- 17 industry has taken those charges seriously; right?
- 18 A. Yes, we take charges seriously.
- 19 Q. And that the charges that we're referring to
- 20 here is the charges that cigarette smoking causes
- 21 disease; right?
- 22 A. I don't see where it says that. It says "A
- 23 Statement About Tobacco and Health.
- 24 "Serious charges have been made about tobacco
- 25 use" is what it is. "The tobacco industry has taken

- 1 these charges seriously."
- 2 Q. Well, and "these charges," that you understand
- 3 to be charges that cigarette smoking causes disease;
- 4 right?
- 5 A. I don't see where it says causes disease.
- 6 MR. FLYNN: Affects health it says.
- 7 THE WITNESS: What?
- 8 MR. FLYNN: It says, "Throughout the world,
- 9 scientists are investigating many factors that may
- 10 affect health."
- 11 THE WITNESS: Okay, all right.
- 12 Q. Well sir, you understand that this statement is
- 13 about whether cigarette smoking causes health
- 14 problems; right?
- MR. FLYNN: Objection, it speaks for itself
- 16 and it doesn't say that. The way you say it isn't
- 17 what it says.
- 18 A. This article says what it says. I mean what --
- 19 what -- what do you want --
- 20 Q. Well, sir, --
- 21 A. I'm not sure what the point is.
- 22 Q. -- I'm not trying to be cute here, but what this
- 23 particular advertisement is talking about is smoking
- 24 and health; right?
- 25 A. Of tobacco and health, right, that's what it

- 1 says.
- 2 Q. You would agree --
- 3 A. "A Statement About Tobacco and Health."
- 4 Q. All right.
- 5 A. I don't want to be argumentative with you,
- 6 but --
- 7 Q. Okay. And would you agree from 1981 to the
- 8 present, that the cigarette manufacturer members of
- 9 The Tobacco Institute have recognized that they have
- 10 a special responsibility to the public to determine
- 11 whether certain diseases have been associated with
- 12 tobacco use?
- 13 A. Oh, I think there's a lot of other groups
- 14 besides just the tobacco companies that are concerned
- 15 with causes of various diseases.
- 16 Q. Well I understand that, sir, but I'm focusing on
- 17 the companies that The Tobacco Institute is made up
- 18 of.
- 19 You would agree that since 1981 and up to the
- 20 present, these cigarette manufacturer members of The
- 21 Tobacco Institute have recognized that they have a
- 22 special responsibility to the public to determine
- 23 whether certain diseases have been associated with
- 24 tobacco use; right?
- 25 MR. FLYNN: Again I object, it's vague and

- 1 ambiguous.
- 2 A. I can't speak for member companies, but --
- I don't know. I'm not sure I understand the
- 4 question yet.
- 5 Q. Well sir, The Tobacco Institute said in this ad,
- 6 "We recognize that we have a special responsibility
- 7 to the public to help scientists determine the facts
- 8 about tobacco and health and about certain diseases
- 9 that have been associated with tobacco use; " right?
- 10 A. That's what they said at that time back in the
- 11 '60s. You've made a jump now to --
- 12 I'm not sure --
- 13 Q. I'm asking --
- 14 A. -- about the leap, but it says -- I don't know
- 15 what was their thought back in 19 --
- 16 You said it was the '50s or '60s when they made
- 17 this?
- 18 Q. Well sir, let me ask you this: Isn't it true
- 19 that The Tobacco Institute, from the time you became
- 20 its president, recognized that it had a special
- 21 responsibility to the public to help scientists
- 22 determine the facts about tobacco and health and
- 23 about certain diseases that have been associated with
- 24 tobacco use?
- 25 MR. FLYNN: Object, it's vague and

- 1 ambiguous. What do you mean by "special
- 2 responsibility?"
- 3 If you can answer --
- 4 A. I don't know what -- what you're trying to get
- 5 at. I -- I -- I don't know what the --
- 6 I'm really not sure what you're trying to get
- 7 at.
- 8 Q. Okay. Well this ad was taken out by the Tobacco
- 9 Industry Research Committee and The Tobacco
- 10 Institute; right?
- 11 A. That was back in 1960s or '50s.
- 12 Q. All right.
- 13 A. This -- right?
- 14 Q. Had --
- 15 A. I don't know what they were thinking or what was
- 16 going on.
- 17 Excuse me for talking over. Go ahead and finish
- 18 your question.
- 19 Q. Well has The Tobacco Institute ever taken out an
- 20 ad from the time this one was published until today
- 21 that denied this special responsibility to the public
- 22 that they mention here in Exhibit 3504?
- 23 A. I don't know past -- I have no way of knowing up
- 24 to 19 -- I have not --
- I can't recall anything from 1981 on; I don't

- 1 know what ads were run before that time.
- 2 Q. Well when you were getting up to speed when you
- 3 became president of the tobacco industry, did you
- 4 learn anything that would be contrary to the
- 5 statement "We recognize that we have a special
- 6 responsibility to the public to help scientists
- 7 determine the facts about tobacco and health and
- 8 about certain diseases that have been associated with
- 9 tobacco use?"
- 10 MR. FLYNN: Objection, as phrased that's
- 11 just argumentative.
- 12 A. As -- as I was getting up to speed, as you put
- 13 it, it just never came up.
- 14 Q. You can't direct me as you sit here today to any
- 15 document that was published by The Tobacco Institute
- 16 that basically denies this special responsibility
- 17 that they mention here in Exhibit 3504.
- 18 A. I cannot recall any.
- 19 Q. Now sir, it goes on to say, "Scientific advisors
- 20 inform us that until much more is known about such
- 21 diseases as lung cancer, medical science probably
- 22 will not be able to determine, " and then it -- then a
- 23 little glitch there -- "the facts about tobacco and
- 24 health and about certain diseases that have been
- 25 associated with tobacco use." Right?

- 1 MR. FLYNN: I believe it says "whether
- 2 tobacco or any other single factor plays a causative
- 3 role or whether such a role, direct or indirect" --
- 4 A. I don't see where you're --
- 5 Q. Let me try it again.
- 6 A. -- Where you're all reading.
- 7 Q. I'll withdraw the question.
- 8 MR. FLYNN: This paragraph here.
- 9 A. Mine's not very clear.
- 10 Q. Mine's not very clear either, but maybe we can
- 11 puzzle it through together.
- 12 A. All right.
- 13 Q. Sir, does that paragraph in the second column
- 14 say something to the effect, "Scientific advisors
- 15 inform us that until much more is known about such
- 16 diseases as lung cancer, medical science will --
- 17 probably will not be able to determine whether
- 18 tobacco or any other single factor plays a causative
- 19 role and whether such a role might be direct or
- 20 indirect, incidental or important?"
- 21 A. That's what that paragraph says. You filled in
- 22 the blanks.
- 23 Q. Okay. But to the best of your knowledge, that's
- 24 what that paragraph says.
- 25 A. Yes, ma'am.

- 1 Q. All right. And it goes on to say, "We shall
- 2 continue all possible efforts to bring the facts to
- 3 light." Right?
- 4 A. Yes, that's what it says.
- 5 Q. And from the time that you became president of
- 6 The Tobacco Institute, did the Institute continue all
- 7 possible efforts to bring the facts to light?
- 8 A. All possible efforts. I -- you know, that's
- 9 pretty hard to judge what all efforts would be. We
- 10 did --
- 11 Any time anything came to light that we thought
- 12 we should have a perspective on, well we would put
- 13 that perspective out. But people get information a
- 14 lot of different places besides The Tobacco
- 15 Institute, obviously.
- 16 Q. Well sir, did the people who read this have a
- 17 right to rely on the fact that The Tobacco Institute
- 18 would continue all possible efforts to bring the
- 19 facts to light regarding tobacco and health?
- 20 A. Ma'am, it's hard for me to judge what people
- 21 were thinking back in the '50s. I don't know. I
- 22 really don't.
- 23 Q. Well, while you were president of The Tobacco
- 24 Institute, did you ever see anything that told the
- 25 public that you weren't going to continue all

- 1 possible efforts to bring the facts to light
- 2 regarding tobacco and health?
- 3 A. Would you rephrase it --
- 4 Would you ask that question again?
- 5 Q. Certainly.
- 6 Since you've been president of The Tobacco
- 7 Institute, did you ever see anything that told the
- 8 public that the Institute was not going to continue
- 9 all possible efforts to bring the facts to light
- 10 regarding tobacco smoking and health?
- 11 A. I've never seen anything, no.
- 12 Q. It says at the conclusion of this ad, "We plan
- 13 to discuss this in a message to be published
- 14 tomorrow." Do you know what message that refers to?
- 15 A. No, ma'am.
- 16 Q. It says in the paragraph immediately before
- 17 that, "Until then, it is important to keep questions
- 18 about tobacco use in the perspective warranted by the
- 19 known facts;" right?
- 20 A. Yes, ma'am, that's what it says.
- 21 Q. And you would agree that it is important for a
- 22 smoker to have all the facts in order to accurately
- 23 determine whether or not they should smoke; right?
- MR. FLYNN: Objection, it's vague and
- 25 ambiguous and overbroad. "All the facts."

- 1 A. I think -- (coughing) excuse me.
- 2 I think people should be aware of all the
- 3 information that's out there, as much as they can get
- 4 from as many different sources as -- as they can get
- 5 it from, and make their own decision.
- 6 Q. And sir, do you understand that in this
- 7 statement about tobacco and health, that The Tobacco
- 8 Institute made a promise to the public to get all the
- 9 facts out to those smokers?
- 10 MR. FLYNN: Again I object, it speaks for
- 11 itself. I don't see the word "promise" in there.
- 12 But a court or jury can construe it as it sees fit.
- 13 A. I'm not sure I'm -- I'm -- your reference of
- 14 "all the information" with the "promise." Is there
- 15 something specifically --
- I don't know where it says that in this article.
- 17 Q. Well sir, it may not use the word "promise," but
- 18 when The Tobacco Institute says "We shall continue
- 19 all possible efforts to bring the facts to light" in
- 20 the context of tobacco smoking and health, wouldn't
- 21 it be appropriate for someone reading that to believe
- 22 that The Tobacco Institute would do just that?
- 23 MR. FLYNN: Just pure speculation and
- 24 conjecture.
- 25 A. Whatever the debate was at that particular time,

- 1 I have no way of knowing what they were saying other
- 2 than what's -- or what people should be thinking
- 3 other than what's just said here.
- 4 Q. Well the "they" that you're referring to is The
- 5 Tobacco Institute that you're the president of today;
- 6 right?
- 7 A. No. I say -- well, the --
- 8 The "they" that I'm referring to, what do you
- 9 mean by that? I'm not sure.
- 10 Q. Well you said in your answer, "I have no way of
- 11 knowing what they were saying," and I'm saying the
- 12 "they" that you're referring to, in part, was the
- 13 Tobacco Institute that you're president of right now;
- 14 right?
- 15 A. The word "they" refers -- my usage was people on
- 16 both sides of the debate, anyone who had an opinion.
- 17 Q. Well the "we" in this sentence, "We shall
- 18 continue all possible efforts to bring the facts to
- 19 light," that refers to The Tobacco Institute Research
- 20 Committee and The Tobacco Institute; right?
- 21 A. Yes, ma'am. Right.
- 22 Q. And in fact, because The Tobacco Institute
- 23 speaks for its members, it would also include the
- 24 members who are -- I'm sorry, the members of The
- 25 Tobacco Institute; right?

- 1 MR. FLYNN: Objection, it speaks --
- 2 Ah, forget it. Go ahead.
- 3 A. This would be The Tobacco Institute, yes.
- 4 Q. Well, and its members; right?
- 5 A. Yes, and the members of the Institute.
- 6 Q. Now sir, you would agree that The Tobacco
- 7 Institute back in the '60s had no responsibility to
- 8 speak. It didn't have to say anything; did it?
- 9 MR. FLYNN: Objection, it's so vague and
- 10 ambiguous. About anything at any time in any area?
- MS. WIVELL: Let me rephrase the question.
- 12 Q. Back in the '60s when this exhibit, "A Statement
- 13 About Tobacco and Health, " was published, there was
- 14 no legal requirement that The Tobacco Institute take
- 15 out an ad about the subject of smoking and health;
- 16 was there?
- 17 A. No, there was not.
- 18 Q. And you understand that today, up to today,
- 19 there is no legal requirement for The Tobacco
- 20 Institute to say anything on the subject of smoking
- 21 and health to the public; right?
- 22 MR. FLYNN: Again, that asks for a legal
- 23 conclusion he's not capable of providing.
- 24 A. That information is provided on warning labels.
- 25 Q. Okay. Perhaps we're not communicating, sir.

- 1 Today, you understand there's nothing that makes
- 2 The Tobacco Institute issue a press release about
- 3 smoking and health.
- 4 A. Yes, that's true.
- 5 Q. There is nothing; is there?
- 6 A. There is nothing.
- 7 Q. And you understand that throughout the period
- 8 that you've been president of The Tobacco Institute,
- 9 there is no legal requirement that requires The
- 10 Tobacco Institute to take out ads about issues
- 11 relating to smoking; is there?
- 12 A. None that I'm aware.
- 13 Q. But The Tobacco Institute undertook through this
- 14 ad to make a statement about tobacco and health;
- 15 didn't it, sir?
- 16 MR. FLYNN: Objection, foundation.
- 17 A. You're asking about today, and this goes back to
- 18 1950, and I don't know what was going on back in the
- 19 1950s.
- 20 Q. Well sir, you have no reason to believe that
- 21 this is not an ad that was taken out by The Tobacco
- 22 Institute; do you?
- 23 A. I have no reason, no.
- 24 Q. And sir, you would agree that in taking out an
- 25 ad, The Tobacco Institute had the responsibility to

- 1 speak accurately; didn't it?
- 2 A. I think I've answered that before, that I think
- 3 companies should speak accurately.
- 4 Q. And sir, you would agree that if The Tobacco
- 5 Institute misrepresented anything in this ad, Exhibit
- 6 3504, that would be a breach of its duty; right?
- 7 MR. FLYNN: Again objection, it calls for a
- 8 legal conclusion. It's also repetitive; you asked it
- 9 five times, 10 times.
- 10 A. I keep saying I don't know what was going on at
- 11 that time and what was the motivation, so I'd have to
- 12 make a lot of assumptions here, and I -- I --
- 13 Q. Well --
- 14 I'm sorry, sir. Were you done?
- 15 A. Go ahead. Yes, I was done.
- 16 Q. Well sir, as the person who approves major press
- 17 releases for The Tobacco Institute, you would agree
- 18 that if any of the statements made in this statement
- 19 about tobacco and health, Exhibit 3504, were not
- 20 true, that would be improper; wouldn't it?
- 21 A. Yes, I've said that.
- 22 Q. And that would be because it would be wrong to
- 23 make a deceptive or misleading statement to the
- 24 public; wouldn't it?
- MR. LAYDEN: Objection, vague.

- 1 A. That's a -- how do you know something --
- 2 If you're saying something, as we were talking
- 3 about, at a particular time, that later on it might
- 4 turn out to be deceptive, that's making a lot of
- 5 assumptions. I -- I -- I'm not sure I can respond to
- 6 that. I don't know what they were thinking or what
- 7 they were saying at that time.
- 8 Q. Okay. But you told us earlier that in the
- 9 public statements that The Tobacco Institute issued
- 10 while you were president, you wanted them to be
- 11 accurate; right?
- 12 A. Based on the information I have, I'd like them
- 13 to be accurate, yes, ma'am.
- 14 Q. All right. And sir, it would be wrong for them
- 15 to be deceptive or misleading in any way; wouldn't
- 16 it?
- 17 MR. FLYNN: Now that's -- he's going to --
- 18 I'm going to tell him to stop answering this
- 19 because it's the 12th time, counsel. Even that rule
- 20 has got some limitation. It's the last time he's
- 21 going to say it's wrong to give erroneous
- 22 information.
- Go ahead, answer one more time, then I'm going
- 24 to stop it. And if the judge says I'm wrong, I guess
- 25 I'll live with it.

- 1 A. Yes, it is wrong, again, to give information.
- 2 You should tell the truth.
- 3 Q. Now sir, does The Tobacco Institute believe it
- 4 has a special responsibility to try and deter youth
- 5 from smoking?
- 6 A. Yes, we do.
- 7 Q. It recognizes it has that special
- 8 responsibility; right?
- 9 A. Well it has -- it has accepted the -- the
- 10 responsibility, but we -- we also know that other
- 11 people have the same responsibility: parents,
- 12 government.
- MR. FLYNN: I guess we're done with this
- 14 one.
- 15 Q. Sir, showing you what's been previously marked
- 16 in this litigation as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 412, this
- 17 is a Tobacco Institute press release; isn't it, sir?
- 18 A. Yes, it's --
- 19 It would appear that's it.
- 20 Q. For the record, Exhibit 412 is Bates numbered
- 21 500008079; right?
- 22 A. Yes, ma'am.
- MR. FLYNN: Dated January 12th, '65.
- 24 Q. Why don't you take a moment and read this
- 25 document, sir.

- 1 A. I have read it.
- 2 Q. Now it says there in the second paragraph, "The
- 3 cigarette industry's position has been, and is, that
- 4 of thoughtful, responsible consideration of all the
- 5 questions which have been raised regarding smoking
- 6 and health. The industry will continue forcefully
- 7 its support of responsible research efforts to
- 8 establish the true facts." Right?
- 9 A. That's what it says.
- 10 Q. Now sir, did the tobacco industry also believe
- 11 it was important to establish the true facts
- 12 concerning youth smoking?
- 13 A. I don't know what they were thinking back --
- When was this? '55?
- MR. FLYNN: Sixty-five. Down here.
- 16 A. Sixty -- oh, in '65.
- 17 I do not know.
- 18 Q. Well sir, have you reviewed any of the public
- 19 statements that have been made by The Tobacco
- 20 Institute concerning youth smoking back in the '60s?
- 21 A. No, ma'am.
- MR. FLYNN: Is this as legible as you got?
- 23 The second page is illegible.
- 24 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 753 was marked
- for identification.)

- 1 BY MS. WIVELL:
- 2 Q. Sir, showing you what's been marked as
- 3 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 753, this is a document that
- 4 begins with the Bates number 1005105164; right?
- 5 MR. FLYNN: As presented to the witness,
- 6 the second page particularly is really difficult to
- 7 read.
- 8 A. Yes, that's --
- 9 Yes, that's the correct number, yes.
- 10 Q. All right. Why don't you take a moment and read
- 11 the document, sir.
- 12 A. You got to be kidding.
- 13 Q. Give it your best shot. This is the best they
- 14 gave to us.
- 15 A. Okay.
- 16 Q. Why don't we go off the record.
- 17 A. Have you got the same copy I've got?
- MS. WIVELL: Why don't we go off the record
- 19 a moment.
- THE REPORTER: Off the record, please.
- 21 (Discussion off the record. )
- MR. FLYNN: You've just given the witness a
- 23 yellow-marked copy to facilitate reading the thing,
- 24 so he's now reading a copy marked with the yellow
- 25 highlight.

- 1 THE WITNESS: Okay. You want yours back?
- 2 MS. WIVELL: That's okay. You can keep
- 3 it. It might facilitate things.
- 4 BY MS. WIVELL:
- 5 Q. Sir, Exhibit 653 --
- 6 MR. FLYNN: 753.
- 7 MS. WIVELL: I'm sorry, thank you.
- 8 Q. Exhibit 753 ends on the second page with the
- 9 statement, "The Tobacco Institute, September, 1975;"
- 10 right?
- 11 MR. FLYNN: Well maybe. That's the only
- 12 part readable on the bottom half of the second page.
- 13 Whether it ends with that, who knows?
- 14 A. There's probably -- there's a lot --
- Does yours have all that other writing on the
- 16 bottom?
- 17 Q. It sure does.
- 18 A. Is that --
- 19 Q. I think what happened is this was probably a
- 20 carbon copy, and maybe the carbon bled through.
- 21 A. Okay.
- 22 Q. But let's go back to the question.
- 23 A. All right.
- 24 Q. Sir, on the second page, Exhibit 753 ends with
- 25 the statement "The Tobacco Institute, September,

- 1 1975," right?
- 2 MR. FLYNN: Again I object that it ends
- 3 with it. That's the last readable words on it.
- 4 A. Yes, it does. It seems to. I don't know.
- 5 Q. All right. And if we go back to the first page
- 6 of Exhibit 753, there is reference to the tobacco
- 7 industry policy with regard to smoking and young
- 8 people; right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And it says there, "The cigarette industry has
- 11 enunciated as early as 1963 its policy of neither
- 12 advertising nor promoting cigarettes to young
- 13 people." Right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Now sir, do you understand today that the policy
- 16 of the cigarette industry as enunciated is neither to
- 17 advertise nor promote cigarettes to young -- to young
- 18 folks?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Why is that?
- 21 A. As is stated here, they just feel that's -- they
- 22 feel that smoking is an adult decision.
- 23 Q. Why is smoking an adult decision, sir?
- 24 A. Because people should have all the information
- 25 relative to tobacco. There's a time in everyone's

- 1 life for making responsible decisions, and you should
- 2 do it --
- 3 And also by state law, many of the states have
- 4 laws that do not allow youth smoking. We encourage,
- 5 and have through our education programs, to try and
- 6 make people aware of that.
- 7 Q. Now sir, there is reference in the -- on the
- 8 first page of Exhibit 753 to a reference -- or to a
- 9 statement by Joseph F. Cullman, 3rd of The Tobacco
- 10 Institute Executive Committee; right?
- 11 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 12 Q. Now who was Joseph Cullman?
- 13 A. He was the chairman of Philip Morris, I believe,
- 14 at that time.
- 15 Q. All right. And do you understand to the best of
- 16 your knowledge that in July of 1969, he was the chair
- 17 of The Tobacco Institute Executive Committee?
- 18 A. I would suspect he was. I do not know.
- 19 Q. All right. But it says here that he testified
- 20 before the Senate Commerce Subcommittee; right?
- 21 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 22 Q. And the subject of his testimony that Mr.
- 23 Cullman gave was youth smoking; right?
- 24 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 25 Q. And he told the subcommittee, "It is the

- 1 intention of the cigarette manufacturers to continue
- 2 to avoid advertising directly to young persons; to
- 3 abstain from advertising in school and college
- 4 publications; not to distribute sample cigarettes or
- 5 engage in promotional efforts on school and college
- 6 campuses; not to use testimonials from athletes or
- 7 other celebrities who might have special appeal to
- 8 young people; to avoid advertising which represents
- 9 that cigarette smoking is essential to social
- 10 prominence, success or sexual attraction; and to
- 11 refrain from depicting smokers engaged in sports or
- 12 other activities requiring stamina or conditioning
- 13 beyond those required in normal recreation; " right?
- 14 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 15 Q. Now sir, to the best of your knowledge, since
- 16 you have been president of The Tobacco Institute, has
- 17 it been the intention of cigarette manufacturers to
- 18 not do those things that Mr. Cullman testified to the
- 19 Senate Commerce Subcommittee about?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. So to the best of your knowledge, none of the
- 22 cigarette manufacturer members of The Tobacco
- 23 Institute have ever promoted their cigarettes to
- 24 children in the manner that's described here by Mr.
- 25 Cullman.

1	MR. LAYDEN: Objection, foundation.
2	MR. FLYNN: It's also "ever." You've now
3	gone throughout all history I guess.
4	A. Well I haven't seen every every ad. I know
5	we have a code which sort of tracks. This is
6	probably when the Code of Good Practice was adopted
7	for our member companies, and I feel that they try t
8	live within that code.
9	MS. WIVELL: Why don't we take a break for
10	lunch.
11	THE REPORTER: Off the record, please.
12	(Luncheon recess taken at 11:58 o'clock
13	a.m.)
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES

1	AFTERNOON SESSION
2	(Deposition reconvened at 1:20 o'clock
3	p.m.)
4	MS. WIVELL: Before we go forward with the
5	questioning, I would like to correct a misstatement
6	that I have made in the record, apparently a couple
7	of times. Earlier in the deposition we talked about
8	Plaintiffs' Exhibit 446 entitled "REPORT ON POLICY
9	ASPECTS OF THE SMOKING AND HEALTH SITUATION IN
10	U.S.A., dated October 1964 and Bates numbered
11	1003119099 as its first Bates number, and I realize
12	now that apparently I have developed dyslexia as I
13	get older and I have been referring to Exhibit 446 as
14	Exhibit 466, and I'd just like the record to reflect
15	that it should be, correctly referenced, Exhibit 446.
16	BY MS. WIVELL:
17	Q. Sir, before we took a lunch break we were
18	talking about youth smoking. Going back to that
19	subject, does the tobacco industry believe it has an
20	affirmative duty to prevent youths from smoking?
21	A. Yes, ma'am.
22	Q. And sir, would you agree that the cigarette
23	manufacturers have tried everything possible to
24	discourage youth from smoking?
25	A. Yes, ma'am.

- 1 Q. Now sir, would you agree that the cigarette
- 2 manufacturers of America do not want youngsters to
- 3 smoke?
- 4 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 5 Q. As a matter of fact, representatives from The
- 6 Tobacco Institute have gone on 20/20 and said those
- 7 kinds of things.
- 8 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 9 Q. And that was Ann Browder who went on 20/20 and
- 10 talked about the cigarette manufacturers not wanting
- 11 youth smoking.
- 12 A. I was thinking more recently than that,
- 13 particularly after we started several youth smoking
- 14 programs in the '80s, then we've had about four
- 15 programs, and there's been spokespersons at the
- 16 Institute that appeared on programs based upon our
- 17 youth programs that we sponsored at The Tobacco
- 18 Institute.
- 19 Q. All right. And among those spokespersons, one
- 20 of them was Walker Merryman?
- 21 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 22 Q. And who were the other spokespeople who went on
- 23 TV and talked about wanting to keep youth from
- 24 smoking?
- 25 A. Ann Browder was a lady that -- I guess she

- 1 probably was employed about 10 years ago, and since
- 2 that time we've had -- I can't recall all of them,
- 3 but of course we've had Walker Merryman and Brennan
- 4 Dawson and Tom Lauria. I -- a couple others, but I
- 5 can't recall their names right now. So we've had
- 6 about, say, five to 10 different people as employees,
- 7 but they moved on to other -- other jobs.
- 8 Q. And these folks have all gone on TV and made
- 9 statements to the effect that the cigarette
- 10 manufacturers in this country don't want kids
- 11 smoking?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Now sir, isn't it --
- 14 Is it your opinion that the industry is
- 15 committed to a program of advertising and promotional
- 16 practices designed to ensure that smoking remains an
- 17 adult custom?
- 18 A. I feel that's what they -- they try to do. They
- 19 do.
- 20 Q. And sir, hasn't The Tobacco Institute actually
- 21 put out a booklet entitled "Smoking And Young
- 22 People -- Where The Tobacco Industry Stands" that
- 23 says that?
- 24 A. I cannot recall that publication.
- 25 Q. Well do you recall there came a period of time

- 1 after you became president of The Tobacco Institute
- 2 where the subject of youth smoking was taken up by
- 3 the Doonesbury cartoon?
- 4 A. Doonesbury cartoon has been very active in the
- 5 whole smoking -- on the smoking issue over a number
- 6 of years.
- 7 Q. And --
- 8 A. I can't recall all of it.
- 9 Q. -- keeping --
- 10 A. His name was Mr. Butts, you know, that goes --
- 11 follows presidents around and things like that, is a
- 12 result of the Doonesbury cartoon.
- 13 Q. And in the cartoon the presidents that are
- 14 followed around are presidents of tobacco companies;
- 15 right?
- 16 A. No. I'm talking about president -- or when Dole
- 17 was running for president, Mr. Butts would show up at
- 18 political rallies.
- 19 Q. Would it be correct to say that the author of
- 20 the Doonesbury cartoon felt that the claim that the
- 21 tobacco companies wanted to keep kids from smoking
- 22 wasn't accurate?
- MR. FLYNN: Objection, there's no
- 24 foundation. How he could ever know that, what
- 25 Trudeau or whatever that guy's name is thought?

- 1 A. I got to be honest with you, I really don't read
- 2 Doonesbury. I don't read comic strips that much. So
- 3 I'm not an authority on that column.
- 4 Q. Well sir, didn't The Tobacco Institute -- well
- 5 let's put it this way: The tobacco -- strike that.
- 6 Doonesbury published in the cartoon a coupon
- 7 where kids could write in to The Tobacco Institute
- 8 for free cigarettes; right?
- 9 A. I -- I think I recall one of them like --
- 10 like -- like that, yes. But --
- 11 Q. And The Tobacco Institute received thousands of
- 12 those coupons from children asking for free
- 13 cigarettes; didn't it?
- 14 A. Yes. We were concerned because we thought it
- 15 was really misleading. First off, we're not into
- 16 distribution of cigarettes, and -- and really the --
- 17 anything we would turn out would be to try and
- 18 acquaint children not to smoke, or any publications
- 19 to their parents. We thought at the time that
- 20 that -- that -- again going from recall, as I -- I
- 21 can't remember the specifics, but I -- I remember we
- 22 were inundated, I think, with coupons thinking that
- 23 we were going to give cigarettes out to people from
- 24 his column, and we thought that that was in fact
- 25 misleading.

- 1 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 754 was marked
- 2 for identification.)
- 3 BY MS. WIVELL:
- 4 Q. Sir, showing you what's been marked as
- 5 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 754, this is a document that
- 6 begins with the Bates number TIMN0133916; right?
- 7 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 8 Q. And it's entitled "Smoking And Young People:
- 9 Where The Tobacco Industry Stands; " right?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 MR. FLYNN: It's dated '91.
- 12 Q. Sir, this document was sent to people who wrote
- 13 in asking for free cigarettes because of the
- 14 Doonesbury -- Doonesbury cartoon; right?
- 15 A. What was this? This --
- 16 I'm not sure I understand. You say this -- you
- 17 say this is an industry document?
- 18 Q. Well sir --
- 19 MR. FLYNN: Look at -- take a second. Let
- 20 the witness take a look.
- 21 MS. WIVELL: Okay. Why don't you take a
- 22 look at it.
- MR. FLYNN: Skim the document.
- 24 THE WITNESS: All right.
- 25 (Discussion between

- 1 the witness and his counsel.)
- 2 A. I've read it. I -- I've glanced through it. I
- 3 haven't read it word for word.
- 4 Q. Sir, is this document, Exhibit 754, the booklet
- 5 that was sent to people who wrote in requesting free
- 6 cigarettes as a result of the Doonesbury cartoon?
- 7 A. I don't know.
- 8 Q. Well it is a document that was put out by The
- 9 Tobacco Institute; right?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Now sir, it says on the second page, "The
- 12 tobacco industry does not want young people to
- 13 smoke." Right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And goes on to say, "That is why the industry,
- 16 in December 1990, launched a set of bold, new
- 17 initiatives designed to ensure that smoking remains
- 18 an adult custom." Right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And it goes on to say -- it goes on to talk
- 21 about the industry's long-standing commitment and
- 22 positive action to discourage youth smoking and to
- 23 reduce youth access to cigarettes; right?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Now sir, is it your testimony that the tobacco

- 1 industry has done everything it could to ensure that
- 2 kids don't smoke?
- 3 MR. FLYNN: I object to the frame -- the
- 4 question as vague and ambiguous, "everything it
- 5 could." But go ahead and answer.
- 6 A. I think to answer your question, you say "done
- 7 everything," I guess is sort of in the eye of the
- 8 beholder, but I feel that we have certainly done as
- 9 much as we can. I know the Institute, it's one of
- 10 our major programs that we've had going on for the
- 11 last four or five years.
- 12 Q. Well sir, my question is a little broader than
- 13 just the Institute going back four or five years. Do
- 14 you believe that the tobacco industry has done
- 15 everything it could to ensure that youths don't
- 16 smoke?
- 17 A. Well I'm troubled with "everything they could."
- 18 I don't -- again, I don't know how -- how you could
- 19 evaluate that. I know that each company is just --
- 20 not each and every company, but most of the companies
- 21 have had, either through the Institute or
- 22 individually, programs in the youth area.
- 23 Q. You're talking about youth prevention programs.
- 24 Is that what you're talking about in your last
- 25 answer?

- 1 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 2 Q. Sir, I'm going to show you what's previously
- 3 been marked in this litigation as Plaintiffs' Exhibit
- 4 602. Sir, following up on what you have just
- 5 previously testified, do you see, first of all, that
- 6 this is entitled "Houlihan, Lokey, Howard & Zukin,
- 7 Financial Advisors" on the first page?
- 8 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 9 Q. Have you seen this document before?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Would you turn to the second page.
- Do you see there the title of the document
- 13 beginning at the top of the page?
- 14 A. Second page?
- 15 Q. I'm sorry. No, no, no. You were on the right
- 16 page before. Go back one.
- 17 A. Okay.
- 18 Q. There do you see it, a heading that begins
- 19 "State of Minnesota?"
- 20 MR. FLYNN: The bigger print. She wants
- 21 you to look at the blue -- blue ink. There you go.
- 22 THE WITNESS: Oh, that's what she's calling
- 23 the title?
- MR. FLYNN: Yes.
- 25 Q. There, sir, it says "State of Minnesota and Blue

- 1 Cross and Blue Shield versus Philip Morris
- 2 Incorporated, et al, Amended Expert Analysis of
- 3 Advertising, R&D and Youth Prevention Expense by Paul
- 4 J. Much?"
- 5 A. Yes, I see that.
- 6 Q. Dated July 1997?
- 7 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 8 Q. Now sir, I have placed tabs on the top of
- 9 certain pages of that document, Exhibit 602. Would
- 10 you turn to the first one.
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. That is entitled "Philip Morris Youth -- Youth
- 13 Prevention Expenditures to Total Domestic Tobacco
- 14 Sales, 1983 through nineteen eighty -- '94;" right?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And this is a pie chart which compares the total
- 17 domestic tobacco sales for Philip Morris to the total
- 18 prevention -- youth prevention expenditures; right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And the total domestic tobacco sales is
- 21 portrayed here as the green part of the pie; right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And the youth prevention expenditures are
- 24 indicated by an orange line; right?
- 25 A. Yes. An orange line?

- 1 MR. FLYNN: A black line I believe.
- 2 There's a line anyway.
- 3 THE WITNESS: Yeah.
- 4 Q. All right. There's a line, and you can't tell
- 5 what color it is, whether it's orange or black;
- 6 right?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. All right. Sir, would you turn to the next page
- 9 that's tabbed.
- 10 A. Next tab. Oh, this is --
- 11 Q. All right. That is entitled "Philip Morris --
- 12 Morris Youth Prevention Expenditures to Total
- 13 Domestic Tobacco Sales, 1954 through 1994; "right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And in this particular graph --
- 16 Again it's a pie chart; right?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. The total domestic tobacco sales for Philip
- 19 Morris are indicated in green; right?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And the amount that was spent for youth
- 22 prevention expenditures is indicated by a line;
- 23 right?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Can you tell whether that line is orange or

- 1 black?
- 2 A. It's black.
- 3 Q. All right. But it's very small in comparison
- 4 with the total domestic tobacco sales for Philip
- 5 Morris during this period; right?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Would you turn to the next tabbed page, sir. Is
- 8 it entitled -- I'm sorry, the next tabbed page. Is
- 9 it entitled "Youth Prevention Expenditures to
- 10 Advertising, Market and Promotion, 1983 to 1994?"
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And do you understand that that pie chart
- 13 compares the total advertising and market and
- 14 promotional expenses from Philip Morris to their
- 15 total youth prevention expenditures?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And is the total prevention expenditures
- 18 indicated by a dark line?
- 19 A. This one's a red line.
- 20 Q. A red line.
- 21 And if we look down at the bottom, we see that
- 22 that red line is for youth prevention expenditures;
- 23 right?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And the total amount that Philip Morris spent

- 1 for advertising, marketing and promotion is indicated
- 2 in this document -- or in this chart as -- in green;
- 3 right?
- 4 A. The total amount of their advertising and
- 5 marketing and promotion is in green?
- 6 Q. Is green.
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. That's right.
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Would you turn to the next tabbed page, sir.
- 11 And there is a chart entitled "Youth Prevention
- 12 Expenditures to Advertising, Market and Promotion,
- 13 1969 through 1994?"
- MR. FLYNN: Which one?
- MS. WIVELL: I'm sorry.
- MR. FLYNN: I don't think it's the same
- 17 thing.
- 18 THE WITNESS: No, I'm not --
- MR. FLYNN: This is R&D expenditures.
- 20 MS. WIVELL: All right. I'm sorry, I must
- 21 have put it on the wrong page. Could you go back
- 22 one?
- THE WITNESS: Sure.
- 24 MS. WIVELL: Can you -- I'm sorry, can you
- 25 go back to the --

- 1 MR. FLYNN: Still on Philip Morris.
- 2 MS. WIVELL: -- charts concerning Philip
- 3 Morris?
- 4 THE WITNESS: Philip Morris.
- 5 Q. Do you have there a chart entitled "Youth
- 6 Prevention Expenditures to Advertising, Market and
- 7 Promotion, 1969 through 1994?"
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 MR. FLYNN: No, this is '83.
- 10 THE WITNESS: '83? 1983 through 1994?
- MS. WIVELL: All right, can you find one --
- MR. FLYNN: Is there a number on this
- 13 thing?
- MS. WIVELL: No, there's not.
- THE WITNESS: What number do you want?
- 16 Q. Can you find a chart that talks about youth
- 17 prevention expenditures compared to advertising,
- 18 marketing and promotion from 1969 to 1994?
- 19 A. 1969 --
- Here we are, right here.
- 21 Q. No.
- 22 A. 1969 to 1994?
- 23 Q. But that's research and development
- 24 expenditures; isn't it, sir?
- 25 A. Oh, okay. Yeah.
  - STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
    P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953

- 1 Q. Well why don't we go on to the next tabbed
- 2 chart.
- 3 A. I don't think it's in here.
- 4 Q. Here.
- 5 A. Okay. Which one do you want me to go to now?
- 6 Q. Do you have --
- 7 A. This one right here, is that the one you want me
- 8 on now?
- 9 Q. Why don't we go to --
- 10 Do you have a tab that's marked RJR?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Why don't we go to that one.
- 13 A. Okay.
- 14 Q. Do you have a chart there entitled "Youth
- 15 Prevention Expenditures to Total Domestic Tobacco
- 16 Sales, 1983 to 1994?"
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And that pie chart compares the amount that R.
- 19 J. Reynolds spent for -- or received for total
- 20 domestic tobacco sales, comparing it with what they
- 21 spent for youth prevention expenditures; right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And the total domestic tobacco sales are
- 24 indicated in green on this pie chart?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. And of that pie chart, how much of the pie chart
- 2 is devoted to youth prevention expenditures?
- 3 A. 19,099,617.
- 4 Q. And it's indicated as a line; isn't it?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. All right. So by far the majority -- I'm sorry.
- 7 Strike that.
- 8 MR. LAYDEN: Counsel, I'm --
- 9 Q. Can you go to the next page.
- 10 MR. LAYDEN: Objection. Counsel, on this
- 11 line of questioning, I just want the record to be
- 12 clear that you're asking this witness to confirm that
- 13 that's what this exhibit says, and that this witness
- 14 isn't testifying that these are in fact the
- 15 expenditures; correct?
- MS. WIVELL: That's correct.
- 17 MR. LAYDEN: Okay.
- 18 Q. Can you turn to the next tabbed page, sir.
- MS. WIVELL: I would like, however, the
- 20 record to reflect that the numbers came from
- 21 defendants' answers to interrogatories in this case.
- MR. FLYNN: I don't know that that's
- 23 accurate.
- MR. LAYDEN: I don't believe that's -- I
- 25 don't believe that's correct for all the numbers,

- 1 counsel.
- 2 Q. All right, sir, do you have there a chart that
- 3 says "Youth Prevention Expenditures to Total Domestic
- 4 Tobacco Sales 1954 to 1994" for R. J. Reynolds?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. All right. And again, the total domestic
- 7 tobacco sales that R. J. Reynolds received during
- 8 that period are indicated in green; right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And the total youth prevention expenditures,
- 11 according to this pie chart, are indicated by a
- 12 straight line; right?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Is that line orange or black, sir?
- 15 A. Black.
- 16 Q. Can you go to the next tabbed page.
- 17 Now there is a chart entitled "R. J. Reynolds
- 18 Youth Prevention Expenditures to Advertising,
- 19 Marketing and Promotional Expenditures as Dated
- 20 1983-1994;" right?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And this pie chart compares the advertising,
- 23 marketing and promotional expenditures made by R. J.
- 24 Reynolds with the amount that it spent for youth
- 25 prevention during that same period; right?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And the total that R. J. Reynolds spent for
- 3 advertising, marketing and promoting its cigarettes
- 4 is shown in green; right?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And the total that R. J. Reynolds spent during
- 7 the period 1983 to 1994 for keeping youth from
- 8 smoking is shown in orange.
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 MR. FLYNN: We can stipulate to all this if
- 11 you want.
- 12 Q. Could you turn to the next --
- MR. FLYNN: Just do you want to go through
- 14 it one by one?
- MS. WIVELL: I do.
- 16 MR. FLYNN: They all -- they all show these
- 17 pie charts and they're marked. Have him say, yeah,
- 18 there's a line and there's a green piece. We'll
- 19 stipulate so it. But if you want to labor through
- 20 it, labor through it.
- 21 Q. Sir, you've now turned to the next tabbed page?
- 22 A. This --
- 23 Right, yes.
- 24 Q. Okay. It's entitled "Youth Prevention
- 25 Expenditures to Estimated Advertising, Marketing and

- 1 Promotion Expenditures, 1983 to 1994; "right?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And this chart compares the amount that R. J.
- 4 Reynolds spent on -- or estimated to spend on
- 5 advertising, marketing and promotional expenditures
- 6 during that period compared to what it spent on youth
- 7 prevention expenditures; right?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And again, the estimated advertising, marketing
- 10 and promotion expenditures are shown in green?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And the amount of youth prevention expenditures
- 13 made by R. J. Reynolds from 1983 to 1994 is shown in
- 14 orange?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And it's the line on the graph -- on the pie
- 17 chart; isn't it?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Could you turn to the next page.
- There is a graph entitled "Youth Prevention
- 21 Expenditures to Advertising, Marketing and
- 22 Promotional Expenditures as Dated 1954 through 1994"
- 23 for R. J. Reynolds; right?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And this is --

- 1 This is a graph that compares advertising and
- 2 marketing and promotional expenditures for that
- 3 period versus youth prevention expenditures; right?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And the total that R. J. Reynolds spent for
- 6 advertising, marketing and promotion for cigarettes
- 7 during this period is shown in green; right?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And the total amount that it spent for youth
- 10 prevention during that same period is shown as a
- 11 small portion of the graph which is orange; right?
- 12 A. Maybe I'm colorblind, but you've been saying
- 13 orange and mine's red.
- 14 Q. All right.
- 15 A. But it doesn't make any difference.
- 16 Q. Okay, fair enough.
- Now sir, if we turn to the next page, there is
- 18 another pie chart which compares the youth prevention
- 19 expenditures for R. J. Reynolds with estimated
- 20 advertising, marketing and promotional expenditures
- 21 during the years 1954 to 1994; right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And again the total that RJR is estimated to
- 24 have spent in advertising, marketing and promotional
- 25 expenditures is shown in green; right?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And the total of youth prevention expenditures
- 3 is shown in the red line?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Could you turn to the next tabbed page, please.
- 6 Do you have a chart there entitled "Youth
- 7 Prevention Expenditures to Total Domestic Tobacco
- 8 Sales 1983-1994" for Brown & Williamson?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And again, this is a pie graph which compares
- 11 the total domestic tobacco sales received by Brown &
- 12 Williamson with the total that it spent on youth
- 13 prevention; right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And the total domestic tobacco sales are shown
- 16 as the green portion of the graph; right?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And the youth prevention expenditures are shown
- 19 as the line portion of the graph; right?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Could you turn to the next page, sir that's
- 22 tabbed.
- Do you have a chart there entitled "Brown &
- 24 Williamson Youth Prevention Expenditures to Total
- 25 Domestic Tobacco Sales, 1954 to 1994?"

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And again, this is a pie graph that compares the
- 3 total domestic tobacco sales for that period versus
- 4 the total amount that Brown & Williamson expended to
- 5 keep youth from smoking; right?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And the green portion shows the total domestic
- 8 tobacco sales.
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And the line shows the youth prevention
- 11 expenditures; right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Sir, would you turn to the next tabbed page.
- 14 There is a graph entitled "Brown & Williamson
- 15 Youth Prevention Expenditures, " comparing it to
- 16 advertising, marketing and promotional expenditures
- 17 from 1983 to 1994; right?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And again, this is a graph which compares -- or
- 20 I'm sorry.
- 21 Again, this is a pie chart which compares the
- 22 total that Brown & Williamson spent for advertising,
- 23 marketing and promotional expenses, comparing it with
- 24 what they spent for youth prevention expenditures;
- 25 right?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And the total that they spent for advertising
- 3 and marketing and promotion is the green portion of
- 4 the graph.
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And the youth prevention expenditures are the
- 7 line; right?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Would you turn to the next page, sir.
- 10 There is a graph entitled "Brown & Williamson
- 11 Youth Prevention Expenditures to Advertising,
- 12 Marketing and Promotional Expenditures 1958 to 1994."
- 13 Right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And this is a graph that -- I'm sorry.
- 16 This is a pie chart that compares the
- 17 advertising, marketing and promotional expenditures
- 18 Brown & Williamson made during that period with the
- 19 youth prevention expenditures; right?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And the total that Brown & Williamson spent for
- 22 advertising, marketing and promotional expenditures
- 23 is depicted by the green portion of the graph; isn't
- 24 it, sir?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. And the part of the expenditures that it made to
- 2 prevent youth from smoking are indicated by a line;
- 3 right?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Would you turn to the next tabbed page.
- 6 There is a graph concerning information relating
- 7 to The American Tobacco Company; right?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And that graph is entitled "Youth Prevention
- 10 Expenditures to Total Domestic Tobacco Sales 1983 to
- 11 1994." Right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And again, it's a pie chart that compares the
- 14 total domestic tobacco sales for American Tobacco
- 15 with what it spent to keep youth from smoking; right?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And the total domestic tobacco sales are
- 18 indicated in green?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. What American Tobacco spent for youth prevention
- 21 of smoking is indicated by a line.
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Would you turn to the next tabbed page, sir.
- 24 This is a graph entitled "American Tobacco Youth
- 25 Prevention Expenditures to Total Domestic Tobacco

- 1 Sales, 1954 to 1994; "right?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And again, it's a pie chart that compares the
- 4 total amount that American Tobacco made from its
- 5 domestic tobacco sales, comparing it with what it
- 6 spent to try and keep youth from smoking; right?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And the total domestic tobacco sales are shown
- 9 in green on this graph; aren't they, sir?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And the totals that American Tobacco spent for
- 12 youth prevention expenditures are shown by a line;
- 13 right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Now sir, would you turn to the next tabbed page.
- There is a graph entitled "American Tobacco
- 17 Youth Prevention Expenditures to Advertising,
- 18 Marketing and Promotion Expenditures, 1983 to 1994;"
- 19 right?
- 20 A. I think I might have the wrong tab here.
- 21 Q. All right.
- 22 A. What was -- would you repeat -- youth --
- 23 Q. What's the title of the graph you have, sir?
- 24 A. "American Tobacco Youth Prevention Expenditures
- 25 to Advertising, Marketing and Promotional Expense,

- 1 1983 to 1994."
- 2 Q. Okay. I thought --
- 3 MR. FLYNN: You were on the same page.
- 4 Q. -- that's what I said.
- 5 A. Okay. I lost track.
- 6 Q. And this is another pie chart that compares what
- 7 American Tobacco spent to advertise, market and
- 8 promote its products versus what it spent to prevent
- 9 youth from smoking; right?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Now the total that American spent for
- 12 advertising, marketing and promotional expenditures
- 13 is depicted in green; right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And the total that American spent during this
- 16 same period to try and keep youth from smoking is a
- 17 straight line; isn't it, sir?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Now would you turn to the next tabbed page.
- 20 This is entitled "American Tobacco Youth
- 21 Prevention Expenditures to Advertising, Marketing and
- 22 Promotion Expenditures, 1954 to 1994; "right?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. And again it compares the advertising
- 25 expenditures versus the youth prevention expenditures

- 1 made on behalf of American Tobacco; right?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And the total spent for advertising, marketing
- 4 and promoting American's products is shown in green;
- 5 isn't it?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And the total youth prevention expenditures made
- 8 during the period 1954 to 1994 are shown as a
- 9 straight line; right?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Would you turn to the next tabbed page, sir.
- 12 This is another pie chart which talks about
- 13 youth prevention expenditures and compares it to
- 14 total domestic tobacco sales for the period 1983 to
- 15 1994 for the Liggett Company; right?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Now sir, the total domestic tobacco sales that
- 18 Liggett receives are indicated in green; right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. The total youth prevention expenditures are
- 21 indicated by a straight line; aren't they?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Would you turn to the next page.
- 24 This chart compares the youth prevention
- 25 expenditures to total domestic tobacco sales for

- 1 Liggett between 1954 and 1994; right?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And again it's a pie chart; right?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And it compares the total domestic tobacco sales
- 6 for that 50-year period -- 40-year period, pardon me,
- 7 to what Liggett spent on preventing youth from
- 8 smoking during that same 40 years; right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And the total domestic tobacco sales which
- 11 Liggett received during that period are shown in the
- 12 green portion of the graph.
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And the total amount they spent on preventing
- 15 youth from smoking is shown with a straight line;
- 16 right?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Could you turn to the next tabbed page, sir.
- 19 Now this one is entitled "Liggett Youth
- 20 Prevention Expenditures to Advertising, Marketing and
- 21 Promotional Expenditures, 1983 to 1994; "right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And in this graph or pie chart, the amount that
- 24 Liggett spent on advertising, marketing and promotion
- 25 for its products is compared with the amount that it

- 1 spent during the same period on youth prevention
- 2 expenditures; right?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And the total amount spent on advertising,
- 5 marketing and promotion of its cigarette products is
- 6 shown in green; right?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And the total of -- that it spent during that
- 9 period on preventing youth from smoking is shown as a
- 10 straight line; isn't it?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Could you turn to the next tabbed page, sir.
- 13 This graph is entitled "Youth Prevention
- 14 Expenditures to Advertising, Marketing and
- 15 Promotional Expenditures, 1954 to 1994; "right?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Again, it's a pie chart that compares
- 18 advertising, marketing and promotional expenditures
- 19 made by Liggett with what Liggett spent on youth
- 20 prevention expenditures; right?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. What it --
- 23 What Liggett spent advertising, marketing and
- 24 promoting its cigarettes is shown in green.
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. What Liggett spent during the period 1954 to
- 2 1994 on preventing youth from smoking is a straight
- 3 line; isn't it, sir?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Could you turn to the next tabbed page.
- 6 There is information concerning the Lorillard
- 7 Company which is similar to what we have looked at
- 8 for the other companies; isn't that true?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. This graph is entitled "Youth Prevention
- 11 Expenditures to Total Domestic Tobacco Sales, 1983 to
- 12 1994."
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And it again is a pie chart that compares the
- 15 total domestic tobacco sales made -- or received by
- 16 Lorillard with the amount that it spent during that
- 17 period on preventing youth from smoking; right?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Sir, could you turn to the next tabbed page.
- 20 This is a pie chart entitled "Lorillard Youth
- 21 Prevention Expenditures to Total Domestic Tobacco
- 22 Sales, 1954 to 1994; "right?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. And this compares the total that Lorillard
- 25 received from its domestic tobacco sales versus what

- 1 it spent in youth prevention expenditures; right?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And again, the total domestic tobacco sales are
- 4 in green; right?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. The total amount that Lorillard spent during
- 7 that 40-year period on preventing youth from smoking
- 8 is shown as a straight line; right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Could you turn to the next tabbed page, sir.
- 11 There is a graph entitled "Lorillard Youth
- 12 Prevention Expenditures to Advertising, Marketing and
- 13 Promotional Expenditures, 1983 to 1994; "right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And this is a graph which compares the amount
- 16 that Lorillard spent for advertising, marketing and
- 17 promotional expenditures for its cigarettes versus
- 18 what it spent to try and keep youth from smoking;
- 19 right?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And the total amount that was spent for
- 22 advertising and marketing and promotion of
- 23 Lorillard's cigarettes is shown in green; right?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And the total amount that was spent by the

- 1 companies -- by Lorillard to prevent youth from
- 2 smoking is a straight line; isn't it, sir?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Could you turn to the last tabbed page.
- 5 This is a graph entitled "Youth Prevention
- 6 Expenditures to Advertising, Marketing and
- 7 Promotional Expenditures, 1954 to 1994; "right?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. It's for the Lorillard Company?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And the advertising, marketing and promotional
- 12 expenditures in this graph are shown in green; right?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And compared with that, the youth prevention
- 15 expenditures are a straight line; right?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Now sir, keeping in mind these various graphs,
- 18 let me ask you: Do you believe that the tobacco --
- 19 or I'm sorry. Strike that.
- 20 Keeping in mind these various graphs, sir, do
- 21 you believe that the cigarette manufacturers who make
- 22 up The Tobacco Institute did everything in their
- 23 power to ensure that youths did not smoke?
- 24 MR. LAYDEN: Objection.
- 25 MR. FLYNN: There's really no foundation

- 1 for this.
- 2 A. I have no -- no basis to comment. I just know
- 3 what The Tobacco Institute's done, which I said has
- 4 been by my standards a major investment.
- 5 Q. What do you mean by "major," sir?
- 6 A. It's been a major program, not only taking up
- 7 dollar amounts for youth program, but also just in
- 8 terms of my personnel time.
- 9 Q. Well how much money was spent by The Tobacco
- 10 Institute on youth prevention expenditures?
- 11 A. Last year I think it was pretty close to 10
- 12 million dollars for the We Card program.
- 13 Are you -- are you familiar with the We Card
- 14 program?
- 15 Q. Well what --
- 16 Why don't you explain to us what the We Card
- 17 program is.
- 18 A. It's a program of outreach to all of the
- 19 retailers throughout the United States, training
- 20 programs for the clerks in the stores, convenience
- 21 stores, grocery stores, to make them aware that they
- 22 should not be selling their product to anyone under
- 23 the legal age, and then that's supplemented with card
- 24 programs through the store, placards, different
- 25 information to keep this paramount in their mind, and

- 1 also the school customers -- for the customers that
- 2 come in.
- 3 Q. Sir, do you know if the tobacco manufacturers
- 4 include the amount that they contribute to The
- 5 Tobacco Institute for its youth prevention programs
- 6 as part of the money that was depicted in these
- 7 graphs?
- 8 MR. LAYDEN: Objection, foundation.
- 9 A. Well no, I -- I do not.
- 10 Q. Sir, when you became president of The Tobacco
- 11 Institute back in 1981, did you learn that -- how
- 12 important young smokers were to the cigarette
- 13 industry in the United States?
- MR. FLYNN: Objection, it's argumentative,
- 15 assumes a state of facts he hasn't admitted. But --
- 16 A. Did --
- No, I did not learn of it. At that time it was
- 18 a program that I thought the cigarette manufacturers
- 19 ought to be involved in based upon my experience with
- 20 another trade association where I got -- tried to get
- 21 them involved in alcohol education so far as
- 22 consumption of alcoholic beverages by -- by minors,
- 23 which was a successful program.
- 24 Q. Well sir, did --
- When you became president of The Tobacco

- 1 Institute, did you learn that if a person was still a
- 2 non-smoker at age 20, he was -- he or she was
- 3 unlikely to take up the habit after that?
- 4 A. I didn't learn that. I find that hard to
- 5 believe. As I said, I told you I started smoking in
- 6 my late thirties. I don't know when people start
- 7 smoking.
- 8 MR. FLYNN: The answer is you didn't learn
- 9 that?
- 10 THE WITNESS: No, did not learn that.
- 11 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 755 was marked
- for identification.)
- 13 BY MS. WIVELL:
- 14 Q. Sir, showing you what's been marked as
- 15 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 755, this is a document that
- 16 begins with the Bates number 680096095; right?
- 17 A. Yes, ma'am.
- MR. FLYNN: It's also a B&W document.
- 19 Q. It's entitled "SECONDARY SOURCE DIGEST;" right?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. All right. Now sir, would you take a few
- 22 moments and read to yourself the section entitled
- 23 "MARKET PLANNING APPROACH," and then also the
- 24 section on the page that ends with Bates number 099
- 25 entitled "RESEARCH RESULTS, Smoking by Children and

- 1 Adults."
- 2 A. All right. You mean --
- 3 Is your copy like mine, it's sort of hard to
- 4 read? Is that right?
- 5 Q. My copy is like yours.
- 6 A. Is it?
- 7 Q. And I would be more than happy to give you my
- 8 highlighted copy.
- 9 A. I'm having trouble reading this.
- 10 Q. That's fine.
- 11 A. Okay.
- MS. WIVELL: Why don't we go off the record
- 13 then.
- MR. FLYNN: Keep it on the record, because
- 15 when we go off --
- MS. WIVELL: That's fine. That's fine.
- 17 A. Should have brought my glasses here.
- 18 Ms. Wivell, you want me to read, then, over to
- 19 the next page; right, too?
- 20 Q. Actually why don't you turn to the page that
- 21 ends with 099 --
- 22 A. 099.
- 23 Q. -- and is entitled "RESEARCH RESULTS, Smoking by
- 24 Children and Adults."
- 25 A. 099.

- 1 Okay, I've read it.
- 2 Q. All right. Now according to the introduction to
- 3 this document, it was put together in order to
- 4 address the subject of the psycological and
- 5 physiological aspects of smoking; right?
- 6 A. I don't see that. Where do you see that?
- 7 O. Well under "MARKET -- MARKETING PLANNING
- 8 APPROACH, " doesn't it say, "Key articles and reports
- 9 were furnished by R&D on the subject of the
- 10 psycological and physiological aspects of smoking --
- 11 smoking. Marketing Planning edited these documents
- 12 and -- and assembled excerpts from them to help
- 13 answer questions about future incidence and
- 14 consumption?"
- 15 A. That's what this document says.
- 16 Q. All right. And this document, if we go to the
- 17 page that ends with 099, gives us some information on
- 18 youth smoking; doesn't it?
- 19 MR. FLYNN: It's self-evident. It says
- 20 what it says. Whether it's accurate or not --
- 21 A. That's -- that's what this document says.
- 22 Q. It says, according to the survey, if a youngster
- 23 were still a non-smoker at 20, he would be unlikely
- 24 to take up the habit; right?
- 25 A. I don't see that. It says --

- 1 Q. I'm looking --
- 2 A. It says "Those who start to smoke" -- is that
- 3 where you're talking about?
- 4 Q. Well I'm actually --
- 5 A. You're paraphrasing?
- 6 Q. No. Would you look at the end of the second
- 7 paragraph there, sir. There it says, "Conversely,
- 8 according to the survey, if a youngster were still a
- 9 non-smoker at twenty, he would be unlikely to take up
- 10 the habit." Right?
- 11 A. That's what the document says.
- 12 Q. And then in the first paragraph it talks about
- 13 youngsters who start to smoke at comparatively early
- 14 ages, at 10 or 11 years; right?
- MR. FLYNN: Wait. No, that's not --
- 16 Read the document. That's not what it says at
- 17 all. It says they go through a period of
- 18 experimenting with cigarettes, those who start at 10
- 19 to 12. Don't --
- 20 You didn't read it right, counsel. Just read to
- 21 it him. He can affirm it and we can move on. Or
- 22 read it back to her. Read the sentence.
- 23 A. "Those who start" --
- 24 Q. Well let me rephrase the question.
- 25 A. Okay.

- 1 Q. In the first paragraph it refers to smokers who
- 2 start smoking at a comparatively early age of 10 or
- 3 12 years; right?
- 4 MR. FLYNN: Well --
- 5 A. "Those who start to smoke at a comparatively" --
- 6 MR. FLYNN: Read the sentence.
- 7 A. -- "early age, at ten or twelve years," that's
- 8 what it says.
- 9 Q. And then it says they go through a long period
- 10 of experimenting with cigarettes before taking up
- 11 smoking seriously; right?
- 12 A. Yes, that's what the document says.
- 13 Q. Now sir, when you became president of The
- 14 Tobacco Institute, did you understand that it was
- 15 important for the tobacco industry to know as much as
- 16 possible about youth smoking patterns and attitudes?
- 17 A. It was never discussed. It was something that
- 18 we had no concern with.
- 19 Q. Sir, showing you what's been marked previously
- 20 as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 358 --
- 21 A. Would you like this --
- 22 Q. Thank you.
- 23 A. -- back? And I'll bring that other one over
- 24 here. Okay, thank you.
- 25 Q. This is a document --

- 1 A. Oh, is this --
- 2 Are we through with this?
- 3 Q. Yes, sir.
- 4 A. Okay.
- 5 Q. Exhibit 358 is a document entitled "PHILIP
- 6 MORRIS U.S.A. RESEARCH CENTER," and the report title
- 7 is "Young Smokers, Prevalence, Trend, Implications
- 8 and Related Demographic Trends."
- 9 MR. FLYNN: Dated March '91 -- March '81.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. For the record, the document begins with the
- 12 Bates number 1003636640; right?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Would you take a moment and review the page that
- 15 ends with the Bates number 642.
- 16 A. 642.
- 17 THE WITNESS: What year is this one?
- MR. FLYNN: This is '81.
- 19 THE WITNESS: Where do you see that?
- 20 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 21 Q. All right. Why don't you just take a moment and
- 22 read that summary page to yourself.
- 23 A. Yes, ma'am, I've read it.
- 24 Q. Now sir, it says at the beginning of this
- 25 summary page, "It is important to know as much as

- 1 possible about teenage smoking patterns and
- 2 attitudes. Today's teenager is tomorrow's potential
- 3 regular customer, and the overwhelming majority of
- 4 smokers first begin to smoke while still in their
- 5 teens." Did I read that correctly?
- 6 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 7 Q. Well sir, let me ask you this: When you became
- 8 president of The Tobacco Institute, did you know that
- 9 Philip Morris was concerned about teenage smoking
- 10 patterns and attitudes?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Did you learn at any time that Philip Morris's
- 13 view was today -- today's teenager is tomorrow's
- 14 potential regular customer?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. Did you at any time learn that the overwhelming
- 17 majority of smokers first begin to smoke while still
- 18 in their teens, as it says here in Exhibit --
- 19 MS. WIVELL: What is the exhibit number?
- 20 I'm sorry.
- 21 MR. FLYNN: 358.
- MS. WIVELL: 358. Let me repeat the
- 23 question.
- 24 Q. Did you at any time learn that the overwhelming
- 25 majority of smokers first begin to smoke while still

- 1 in their teens, as it says here in Exhibit 358?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. So this information was just never shared with
- 4 you at any time when you were -- have been involved
- 5 with The Tobacco Institute?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. Now sir, it goes on to say, "In addition, the
- 8 ten years following the teenage years is the period
- 9 during which average daily consumption per smoker
- 10 increases to the average adult level; " right?
- 11 A. You're talking about the third paragraph now?
- 12 Q. No, sir.
- MR. FLYNN: She's -- just the next
- 14 sentence.
- THE WITNESS: Oh, the second sentence.
- MR. FLYNN: She read it already.
- 17 Q. And that sentence says -- let me begin again.
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. The next sentences says, "In addition, the ten
- 20 years following the teenage years is the period
- 21 during which average daily consumption per smoker
- 22 increases to the average adult level."
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Is that information you've been aware of while
- 25 you were involved with The Tobacco Institute?

- 1 A. No.
- 2 Q. Goes on to say in the beginning of the second
- 3 paragraph, "Furthermore, it is during the teenage
- 4 years that the initial brand choice is made. At
- 5 least a part of the success of Marlboro Red during
- 6 its most rapid growth period was made because" --
- 7 MR. FLYNN: You added a word.
- 8 Q. "...was because it became the brand of choice
- 9 among teenagers who then stuck with it as they grew
- 10 older...;" right?
- 11 A. That's what the -- the document says.
- 12 Q. Then it goes on to say, "this combined with the
- 13 rapid growth in the absolute number of teenagers;"
- 14 right?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Sir, while you have been involved with The
- 17 Tobacco Institute, were you aware that Philip Morris
- 18 thought that the success of Marlboro Red was due in
- 19 large part because it became the brand of choice
- 20 among teenagers?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. Now sir, the next paragraph talks about the
- 23 average daily consumption of young smokers
- 24 increasing; doesn't it, sir?
- MR. FLYNN: Again it speaks for itself,

- 1 but --
- 2 A. That's -- that's what this document says, yes.
- 3 Q. And it says specifically, "Average daily
- 4 consumption of these young smokers also increased, so
- 5 that between 1968 and 1974 the number of 12- to
- 6 18-year-olds who smoked ten or more cigarettes per
- 7 day more than doubled; " right?
- 8 A. Yes, that's what the document says.
- 9 Q. Sir, did you --
- 10 Were you aware of this information that Philip
- 11 Morris knew that the average daily consumption of
- 12 young smokers increased during that period 1968 to
- 13 1974?
- MR. LAYDEN: Objection, foundation.
- MR. FLYNN: Yeah.
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. Now sir, were you aware that there was concern
- 18 at Philip Morris about being able to replace -- I'm
- 19 sorry, strike that.
- 20 Isn't it true that Philip Morris looked at the
- 21 pool of teenagers as -- as a replacement pool for
- 22 smokers who were lost through normal attrition?
- MR. LAYDEN: Objection, foundation.
- 24 MR. FLYNN: This is just --
- 25 Forget the document. Just if you know the

- 1 answer to that question.
- 2 A. Would you repeat the question, please?
- 3 Q. Yes, sir.
- 4 Were you aware or have you become aware that
- 5 there was a concern at Philip Morris about looking at
- 6 the pool of teenagers as a replacement pool of
- 7 smokers who were lost through normal attrition?
- 8 MR. LAYDEN: Objection, foundation, vague.
- 9 A. I was aware that Philip Morris was concerned
- 10 about youth smoking at our meetings and wanted us to
- 11 try and develop programs to do something about
- 12 under-age smoking.
- 13 Q. Well sir, I'm not sure that that answers my
- 14 question. And I appreciate your answer. But isn't
- 15 it true that Philip Morris looked at teenagers as a
- 16 pool with which to replace smokers who were lost
- 17 because they quit or died?
- 18 MR. LAYDEN: Objection.
- 19 A. I never had any knowledge of Philip Morris doing
- 20 that.
- 21 Q. So if they had that opinion, they never shared
- 22 it with you; right?
- 23 A. That's right.
- 24 Q. Would you turn to the next page of Exhibit 358.
- 25 A. 358.

- 1 MR. FLYNN: Just the next page. This --
- 2 there we go.
- 3 THE WITNESS: Oh.
- 4 MR. FLYNN: You want him to read --
- 5 You haven't had him read that.
- 6 Q. Sir, the last sentence of the first paragraph
- 7 says, "We will no longer be able to rely on a rapidly
- 8 increasing pool of teenagers from which to replace
- 9 smokers lost through normal attrition." Right?
- 10 A. That's what the document says.
- 11 Q. Sir, and the folks at Philip Morris had never
- 12 shared that information with you the entire time
- 13 you've been involved with The Tobacco Institute?
- 14 A. No, ma'am.
- 15 Q. Now sir, could you turn to the last paragraph
- 16 and read it to yourself on that page.
- 17 A. I've read it.
- 18 Q. Now sir, if we -- I'm sorry, strike that.
- 19 It says there, "Because of our high share of
- 20 market among the youngest smokers, Philip Morris will
- 21 suffer more than the other companies from the decline
- 22 in the number of teenage smokers; " right?
- 23 A. That's what it says.
- 24 Q. Did you ever hear any of the tobacco companies
- 25 with which you were involved complain that they were

- 1 going to suffer with the decline of the number of
- 2 teenage smokers?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. Sir, if you turn to the next page, we see Philip
- 5 Morris has collected information on the percent of
- 6 current regular smokers by age; right?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And they have collected information showing that
- 9 in the period 1969 -- or '68 through 1974, they were
- 10 collecting information on smokers aged 12 to 14;
- 11 right?
- MR. LAYDEN: Objection, mischaracterizes
- 13 the document.
- 14 A. What it says comes from studies conducted by the
- 15 Chilton Research Services for the National
- 16 Clearinghouse on Smoking and Health. Is that the
- 17 question?
- 18 Q. Well sir, let me try and rephrase it.
- 19 Table 1 presents information on the percentage
- 20 of current regular smokers in the age group 12 to 14;
- 21 doesn't it?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And a current regular smoker is defined below
- 24 the table as someone who smoked one or more
- 25 cigarettes per week; right?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Now sir, isn't it true that not only Philip
- 3 Morris but also other members of The Tobacco
- 4 Institute were concerned about teenage smokers drying
- 5 up as a source of new smokers to replace old
- 6 smokers?
- 7 MR. FLYNN: Wait. I object -- I object to
- 8 the question as dual and argumentative. To answer
- 9 the question, he's got to affirm the statement you
- 10 made, which he's been denying. So I ask you to
- 11 separate the question and forget the statement.
- 12 Q. Well sir, are you aware that other members of
- 13 The Tobacco Institute were concerned that the pool of
- 14 teenage smokers was drying up?
- 15 A. No, I was not aware.
- 16 Q. Never heard a concern that the loss of youth
- 17 smokers was important to any of the member companies
- 18 because it provided a source of new smokers to
- 19 replace old smokers?
- 20 A. No, ma'am.
- 21 (Discussion off the stenographic record.)
- 22 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 756 was marked
- for identification.)
- 24 Q. Sir, showing you what's been marked as
- 25 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 756, this is a confidential

- 1 document dated October 6, 1982; right?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And it's to L. W. Hall from D. S. Burrows;
- 4 right?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. The subject is "NBER MODELS OF PRICE SENSITIVITY
- 7 BY AGE/SEX."
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. This document was written after you became
- 10 president of The Tobacco Institute; right?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Was the previous document also written after you
- 13 became president of The Tobacco Institute?
- MR. FLYNN: March of '81.
- 15 A. What -- what was the date of the other document?
- 16 Q. March 31st, 1981, sir.
- 17 A. No, I wasn't at The Tobacco Institute until -- I
- 18 think it was May.
- 19 Q. All right. Well turning your attention back to
- 20 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 756, for the record, this is a
- 21 document that begins with the Bates number 501988810;
- 22 right?
- 23 A. Yes
- 24 Q. Would you turn to the second page and read to
- 25 yourself the conclusion section, sir.

- 1 A. I have read the document.
- 2 Q. There it says in the second paragraph, "But, the
- 3 loss of younger adult sales and teenagers" --
- 4 MR. FLYNN: "Adult males."
- 5 MS. WIVELL: I'm sorry.
- 6 Q. There it says, "But, the loss of younger adult
- 7 males and teenagers is more important to the long
- 8 term, drying up the supply of new smokers to replace
- 9 the old." Right?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And you never heard anyone from R. J. Reynolds
- 12 express a concern that the loss of teenage smokers
- 13 would mean that a new source of smokers was drying
- 14 up?
- 15 A. No, I never did.
- MR. FLYNN: She's done with that.
- 17 THE WITNESS: Is this --
- 18 Q. Well sir, did you ever hear anyone from any of
- 19 the tobacco company members of The Tobacco Institute
- 20 express the view that the age -- 14-to-24 age group
- 21 represented tomorrow's cigarette business?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. Sir, showing you what's been previously marked
- 24 as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1035, this is a document with
- 25 a handwritten note on the front, "Mr. C. A. Tucker,

- 1 Present -- Presentation to RJRI B of D, 9/30/74
- 2 Marketing Plans;" right?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. For the record, the Bates number begins
- 5 501421310; right?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Why don't you take a few minutes and review the
- 8 document.
- 9 MR. FLYNN: Just skim the rest of it.
- 10 THE WITNESS: Gosh, you're a fast reader.
- 11 MR. FLYNN: Just skim it. I don't think
- 12 she wants you to read each page.
- MS. WIVELL: Well I want you to be
- 14 comfortable you know what this document is about,
- 15 sir, but I will tell you that most of my questions
- 16 will come from the first few pages.
- 17 THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.
- 18 Q. You've had the opportunity to look at the
- 19 document, sir?
- 20 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 21 Q. This is a 1975 marketing plan presentation;
- 22 isn't it?
- MR. LAYDEN: Objection.
- 24 A. Yes.
- MR. LAYDEN: Foundation.

- 1 Q. And it sets forth on the third page of the
- 2 document objectives for the 1975 year for R. J.
- 3 Reynolds; right?
- 4 MR. FLYNN: What page are you on?
- 5 MS. WIVELL: The third page of the
- 6 document.
- 7 MR. FLYNN: 312?
- 8 MS. WIVELL: No, 311. I'm sorry, the
- 9 second page of the document. Let me rephrase the
- 10 question.
- 11 Q. On the second page of the document it sets forth
- 12 marketing objectives for 1975 for R. J. Reynolds;
- 13 right?
- 14 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 15 Q. And the paramount objective was to re-establish
- 16 RJR's share of the marketing growth in the domestic
- 17 cigarette industry; right?
- 18 A. According to this document it was.
- 19 Q. And according to this document, the -- there
- 20 were four key opportunity areas that R. J. Reynolds
- 21 was intending to use to accomplish that goal; right?
- 22 A. The four referred to in the document, yes.
- 23 Q. And the first one that's referred to is -- is,
- 24 quote, "INCREASE OUR YOUNG ADULT FRANCHISE;" right?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Now sir, the young adult market is defined in
- 2 the paragraph immediately before the four points;
- 3 isn't it?
- 4 MR. FLYNN: Do you see where --
- 5 Do you see where she's referring?
- 6 THE WITNESS: No, I don't see what she's
- 7 talking about. Where is this?
- 8 Okay.
- 9 Q. Well sir, do you see where it says, "IN 1960,
- 10 THIS YOUNG ADULT" -- strike that.
- 11 Do you see where it says, "FIRST, LET'S LOOK AT
- 12 THE GROWING IMPORTANCE OF THE YOUNG ADULT IN THE
- 13 CIGARETTE MARKET?"
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And then doesn't it define the young adult
- 16 market as the 14-to-24 age group?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 MR. FLYNN: I object. I don't know if
- 19 that's a definition. It says what it says, but --
- 20 Q. The document goes on to say, concerning this
- 21 14-to-24-year age group, that they represent
- 22 tomorrow's cigarette business; right?
- 23 A. It says represents 21 percent of the population.
- 24 Q. And it goes on to say in the next paragraph,
- 25 "THEY REPRESENT TOMORROW'S CIGARETTE BUSINESS;"

- 1 right?
- 2 A. That's what the document says.
- 3 Q. And it also says that "AS THIS 14-TO-24 AGE
- 4 GROUP MATURES, THEY WILL ACCOUNT FOR A KEY SHARE OF
- 5 THE TOTAL CIGARETTE VOLUME -- FOR AT LEAST THE NEXT
- 6 25 YEARS; "right?
- 7 A. That's what the document says.
- 8 Q. Now the next page talks about the strength that
- 9 the Philip Morris and Brown & Williamson product have
- 10 had with young smokers; right?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And it says in the 24 to -- I'm sorry, strike
- 13 that.
- And it says "IN THE 14-TO-24 AGE CATEGORY,
- 15 PHILIP MORRIS HAS A 38 SHARE AND B&W A 21 SHARE;"
- 16 right?
- 17 A. That's what the document says.
- 18 Q. Now by 38 percent share and 21 percent share,
- 19 we're talking about market share of total domestic
- 20 sales; right?
- 21 MR. FLYNN: Objection. If you know that.
- 22 I don't know. It says that, but the document speaks
- 23 for itself.
- 24 A. I don't -- I don't know. I don't see domestic.
- 25 But anyway, I don't know what it refers to.

- 1 Q. If we look down toward the bottom of the
- 2 page, --
- 3 A. I see.
- 4 Q. -- there's reference to Winston and Salem and
- 5 how they compare in the 14-to-24-year age group with
- 6 Marlboro and Kool; right?
- 7 A. It says "COMPARATIVE WEAKNESSES," is that what
- 8 you mean, "AGAINST MARLBORO AND KOOL AMONG THESE" --
- 9 is that where you're referring to?
- 10 Q. Yes, sir.
- 11 A. Yes, that's what the document says.
- 12 Q. And in fact, so the record's clear, Marlboro is
- 13 the Philip Morris product; right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Kool is the Brown & Williamson product.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And Winston, compared to those products, was at
- 18 14 percent of the 14- to 24-year-old age group,
- 19 versus Marlboro at 33 percent; right?
- 20 A. That's what the document says.
- 21 Q. And at the bottom of the page it says, "THIS
- 22 SUGGESTS SLOW MARKET SHARE EROSION FOR US IN THE
- 23 YEARS TO COME UNLESS THE SITUATION IS CORRECTED."
- 24 Right?
- 25 A. Yes, that's what the document says.

- 1 Q. And sir, didn't RJR go on to enunciate a
- 2 strategy of targeting marketing directly to young
- 3 smokers in order to correct that problem?
- 4 MR. FLYNN: You're asking him to read the
- 5 document.
- 6 A. I -- I don't know what RJR did.
- 7 Q. All right.
- 8 A. I have no way of knowing.
- 9 Q. Could you turn to the next page. There it says,
- 10 "THUS, OUR STRATEGY BECOMES CLEAR FOR OUR
- 11 ESTABLISHED BRANDS:
- 12 "DIRECT ADVERTISING APPEAL TO THE YOUNGER
- 13 SMOKERS WHILE, " and then it goes on; right?
- 14 A. That's what the document says.
- 15 Q. Well sir, isn't it true that the Camel
- 16 advertising strategy involving Joe Camel was targeted
- 17 to do exactly that, directly appeal to young
- 18 smokers?
- 19 MR. FLYNN: Objection.
- 20 MR. LAYDEN: Objection.
- 21 MR. FLYNN: There's no foundation he has
- 22 any knowledge of any of that.
- 23 A. I don't --
- 24 Madam, I don't know what their strategy was. I
- 25 don't know what they were doing.

- 1 Q. All right. Well would you turn to the page that
- 2 ends with Bates number 314.
- 3 A. 314. Okay.
- 4 Q. Where it says "CHART 10." Do you see that, sir?
- 5 A. "CHART 10." Right.
- 6 Q. It says, "FURTHER YOUNG ADULT EMPHASIS IS BEING
- 7 PLACED THROUGH THE SUCCESSFUL SALEM BOX, quote,
- 8 DENIM, quote, CAMPAIGN; "right?
- 9 A. That's what the document says.
- 10 Q. Now sir, on the next page there is reference to
- 11 the Meet the Turk advertising campaign; right?
- 12 A. Next page.
- 13 Yes, I see that in the document.
- 14 Q. All right, sir. And do --
- 15 Did you understand that the Meet the Turk
- 16 campaign was devoted to young male smokers?
- 17 A. As I said before, I don't know anything about
- 18 these documents. I'm not involved in marketing the
- 19 brand. It's not the function of The Tobacco
- 20 Institute. I have no knowledge of this at all.
- 21 Q. Well sir, so you don't have any knowledge about
- 22 the purpose of the Young Turk advertising -- or I'm
- 23 sorry, the Meet the Turk advertising campaign; right?
- 24 A. No, ma'am.
- 25 Q. Now sir, isn't it true that this document also

- 1 talks about increasing media efforts directed toward
- 2 young adult smokers?
- 3 MR. FLYNN: Do you want to focus him on
- 4 where it is?
- 5 A. I didn't pick that up. Is there a reference
- 6 page you want me to look at?
- 7 Q. Sir, could you turn to the page Bates number --
- 8 or that ends with Bates number 316.
- 9 A. 316. Okay. Where would you like me to look?
- 10 Q. Where it says "CHART 14, MEDIA."
- 11 A. "CHART 14, MEDIA."
- 12 Q. There it says, "WE HAVE ALSO INCREASED OUR MEDIA
- 13 EFFORTS TOWARD YOUNG ADULTS FOR OUR BRANDS; " right?
- 14 A. Yes, that's what the document says.
- 15 Q. It talks about --
- 16 It talks about placing advertising in
- 17 traditional young adult magazines like Sports
- 18 Illustrated, Playboy and Ms.; right?
- 19 A. That's what the document says.
- 20 Q. And sir, it also talked about placing
- 21 advertising in Road & Track and Motorcycling
- 22 magazines; right?
- 23 A. You're referring to adult special interest
- 24 magazines like Road & Track and Motorcycling?
- 25 Q. Yes. And it refers to placing advertising

- 1 there; doesn't it?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And it also refers to expanded outdoor
- 4 advertising in locations with maximum young adults
- 5 exposure; right?
- 6 A. Well --
- 7 MR. FLYNN: Read the rest of it.
- 8 A. "...POSTERS IN GREENWICH VILLAGE IN AREAS WITH
- 9 LARGE COLLEGE STUDENT POPULATIONS.
- 10 Q. Well sir, did -- were you aware -- I'm sorry,
- 11 strike that.
- 12 Since you became president of The Tobacco
- 13 Institute, have you become aware of this marketing
- 14 focus as described in -- in Exhibit 1035?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. No one from R. J. Reynolds ever shared this
- 17 information with you as president of The Tobacco
- 18 Institute?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. Well sir, did anyone from R. J. Reynolds ever
- 21 tell you that one of the reasons that it was engaging
- 22 in this advertising campaign was to ensure increased
- 23 and longer-term growth penetration among the 14- to
- 24 24-year-old age group?
- 25 A. No.

- 1 THE REPORTER: We have to change tape. Off
- 2 the record, please.
- 3 (Discussion off the record.)
- 4 BY MS. WIVELL:
- 5 Q. Sir, did you ever learn that R. J. Reynolds
- 6 wanted to increase rather than decrease its share of
- 7 smokers in the 14- to 24-year-old age group?
- 8 MR. FLYNN: I object as compound, but --
- 9 A. No, the Executive Committee and --
- 10 The Executive Committee members of RJR just
- 11 always articulated their stated policy that they were
- 12 against youth smoking.
- 13 Q. Sir, showing you what's previously been marked
- 14 in this litigation as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1037, --
- 15 A. This is mine.
- 16 Q. -- this is a document that bears the Bates
- 17 number 505775557; right?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And it's stamped at the top "RJR SECRET;" right?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 MR. FLYNN: Dated January --
- 22 Q. The date is January 23rd, 1975; right?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. This is a memo to Mr. C. A. Tucker from J. F.
- 25 Hind; right?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Why don't you take a moment and read it to
- 3 yourself.
- 4 A. I've read the document.
- 5 Q. Sir, in this document there's reference to the
- 6 Meet the Turk campaign that we saw referred to in the
- 7 last exhibit; right?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And it says that the Meet the Turk campaign was
- 10 another step to meet our marketing objective; right?
- 11 MR. FLYNN: Again it speaks for itself.
- 12 A. That's what the document says.
- 13 Q. And that marketing objective is listed as,
- 14 quote, "To ensure increased and longer-term growth
- 15 for CAMEL FILTER, the brand must increase its share
- 16 penetration among the 14-24 age group which have a
- 17 new set of more liberal values and which represent
- 18 tomorrow's cigarette business." Right?
- 19 A. That is what the document says.
- 20 Q. And no one from RJR ever told you that, as this
- 21 document says, they were trying to increase their
- 22 market share penetration among the 14- to 24-year-old
- 23 age group?
- 24 A. No, they did not.
- 25 Q. Now it goes on in the next paragraph to talk

- 1 about "While the 'Meet the Turk' campaign is designed
- 2 to shift the brand's age profile to the younger age
- 3 group, this won't come over night." So no one ever
- 4 shared that information with you either?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. Sir, looking at these last couple of documents
- 7 here and keeping in mind your former testimony about
- 8 what was said by RJR at the Executive Committee
- 9 meetings, does it appear to you that RJR was speaking
- 10 out of both sides of its mouth --
- 11 MR. FLYNN: Objection.
- 12 Q. -- when it came to the issue of youth smoking?
- 13 MR. FLYNN: Objection. He has no basis for
- 14 making those judgments and conclusions. There's no
- 15 foundation for him to talk about documents that he's
- 16 never seen, has no understanding of the context of.
- 17 MR. LAYDEN: Objection, argumentative.
- 18 A. As -- as I --
- 19 Repeat the question, will you, please?
- 20 Q. Yes, sir.
- 21 Assuming that the statements that we've looked
- 22 at concerning R. J. Reynolds' objective of increasing
- 23 brand penetration among the 14- to 24-year-old age
- 24 group are true, and keeping in mind your testimony
- 25 about what they have told you at the Executive

- 1 Committee meetings, does it appear to you that R. J.
- 2 Reynolds is speaking out of both sides of its mouth
- 3 when it comes to the youth prevention issue?
- 4 MR. FLYNN: Same objections.
- 5 MR. LAYDEN: Same objections.
- 6 MR. FLYNN: No basis.
- 7 A. RJ -- RJR's stated policy to me relative to
- 8 youth smoking is that they're concerned about it. I
- 9 have no way of knowing what they might have said
- 10 internally or what one person's feeling might have
- 11 been within the company as reflected by this memo.
- 12 You know, it's just one person's thought it would
- 13 appear to me.
- 14 Q. Well sir, if indeed it was the policy of R. J.
- 15 Reynolds at this time period to try and increase
- 16 rather than decrease smoking among 14-year-olds, for
- 17 example, in your opinion, sir, would the company be
- 18 speaking out of both sides of its mouth?
- MR. LAYDEN: Objection.
- 20 MR. FLYNN: Same objection.
- 21 A. I can only --
- Of course this goes back before my time, but I
- 23 can only tell you again that what their stated policy
- 24 is with me, and that is that they have been and are
- 25 concerned about youth smoking and wanted to do

- 1 something about it.
- 2 Q. Well sir, if the statements made in this exhibit
- 3 and the -- the last one we looked at are true,
- 4 they're saying one thing internally and one thing to
- 5 you; isn't that -- and a different thing to you;
- 6 right?
- 7 MR. FLYNN: Objection. It's just
- 8 argumentative. It's without foundation.
- 9 A. I would have to make a lot of assumptions based
- 10 upon what was happening back then and who had made
- 11 what statements, so I really can't -- I don't have
- 12 any opinion.
- 13 Q. Well sir, do the statements that we've seen in
- 14 Exhibit 1037 and Exhibit 1035 shock you?
- 15 A. I think --
- MR. LAYDEN: Objection, vague.
- 17 A. I -- I don't know if it shocks me or not. I
- 18 just think it's a matter of one person making a
- 19 statement or one person writing a memo. I don't know
- 20 what the basis was for the memo or what --
- 21 necessarily what research or anything else. I'm just
- 22 not familiar with it. It's just not my area. It's
- 23 not anything that we've ever been involved in.
- 24 Q. Well sir, you have been involved with The
- 25 Tobacco Institute's public pronouncements on youth

- 1 smoking; haven't you?
- 2 A. Yes, we have.
- 3 Q. Sir, have you seen the testimony that's been
- 4 given in this case about Exhibit 1035 and 1037 by Mr.
- 5 Nordine?
- 6 A. No, ma'am, I have not.
- 7 Q. No one's ever shared with you the testimony he
- 8 gave about these exhibits.
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Which exhibits now?
- 11 Q. Exhibits 1035 and 1037.
- 12 A. Ten --
- You mean this one I'm looking at right now?
- 14 Q. Yes, sir.
- 15 A. No, I've never seen this document before. And
- 16 the other one was -- was this one I guess? Is that
- 17 it?
- 18 Q. Yes, sir. Now --
- 19 A. I've never seen -- never seen either of these
- 20 documents before.
- 21 Q. All right. And no one has ever shared the
- 22 information that we've just discussed that was
- 23 included in these documents with you; right?
- 24 A. No, ma'am.
- 25 Q. Let's take a look and what's been marked as

- 1 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1121. This is a document that
- 2 bears the Bates number 508453894; right?
- 3 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 4 Q. This is an RJR document dated July 22nd, 1980?
- 5 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 6 Q. Would you read it to yourself.
- 7 A. I've read your document.
- 8 Q. Now in the first paragraph the document -- I'm
- 9 sorry, strike that.
- 10 In the first paragraph the document writer talks
- 11 about the Philip Morris market share increasing among
- 12 14- to 17-year-old smokers, right?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. And there was a concern expressed in this
- 15 document about that increase; right?
- MR. FLYNN: Speaks for itself.
- 17 MR. LAYDEN: Mischaracterization.
- 18 MR. FLYNN: If you want to read a portion
- 19 of this document --
- 20 A. It says, "Importantly, the report further
- 21 indicates that RJR continues to gradually
- 22 decline....".
- 23 Q. And then it says, "Hopefully, our various
- 24 planned activities that will be implemented this fall
- 25 will aid in some way in reducing or correcting these

- 1 trends;" right?
- 2 A. That's correct, that's what the document says.
- 3 Q. Now sir, did anyone from R. J. Reynolds ever
- 4 share with you the fact that the company had
- 5 implemented activities to try and increase its market
- 6 share among 14- to 17-year-olds?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. Nobody ever -- ever told you that information;
- 9 right?
- 10 A. No, they did not.
- 11 Q. Well did anyone ever tell you that R. J.
- 12 Reynolds had developed a youth appeal brand?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. Sir, showing you what's been marked previously
- 15 as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1061, this is a document that
- 16 is dated December 4th, 1973; right?
- 17 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 18 Q. And the subject of the memo is "CIGARETTE
- 19 CONCEPT TO ASSURE RJR A LARGER SEGMENT OF THE YOUTH
- 20 MARKET." Right?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Why don't you take a minute and review the
- 23 document, sir.
- MR. CARPENTER: Counsel, could you read the
- 25 Bates number of that document?

- 1 MS. WIVELL: Certainly. For the record
- 2 it's 501166152. I apologize for not doing that
- 3 earlier.
- 4 A. I've read the document.
- 5 Q. Now sir, according to this document it was
- 6 suggested that RJR develop a youth appeal brand of
- 7 cigarettes; right?
- 8 A. That's what the document says.
- 9 Q. In other words, from reading it you understand
- 10 that there was a concern or a -- an attempt to try
- 11 and develop a cigarette which would appeal to young
- 12 smokers.
- 13 MR. FLYNN: Again it speaks for itself. If
- 14 you have any knowledge about it beyond that, you can
- 15 tell her.
- 16 A. That's what the document refers to.
- 17 Q. And one of the ways that this would be done was
- 18 through technology; right?
- 19 MR. FLYNN: Again it speaks for itself.
- 20 A. I don't know. That's what the document says
- 21 here.
- 22 Q. All right. And it talks about going back to the
- 23 cigarettes of the '50s; right?
- 24 A. It says "it would be impossible to go back...to
- 25 the '55 type cigarette." Is that --

- 1 Instead of going back to it, it says -- the last
- 2 paragraph, first page?
- 3 Q. Well sir, doesn't it say in the summary, "It is
- 4 suggested to develop a new RJR youth-appeal brand
- 5 based on the concept of going back at least
- 6 halfway to the technological design of the WINSTON
- 7 and other filter cigarettes of the 1950's?"
- 8 A. Is that on the last page?
- 9 Q. No.
- 10 MR. FLYNN: She just read the first
- 11 paragraph.
- 12 THE WITNESS: Oh.
- 13 Q. That's the first paragraph; isn't it, sir?
- 14 A. First paragraph.
- Yes, that's what the document says.
- 16 Q. And according to the author, the cigarettes from
- 17 the '50s had three main distinguishing
- 18 characteristics from the cigarettes of -- of -- at
- 19 the time the document was written.
- 20 A. Yes, that's what the document says.
- 21 Q. And one of those distinguishing characteristics
- 22 was that the older-type cigarettes developed more
- 23 enjoyment or kicks, according to the author; right?
- MR. FLYNN: Delivered, not developed.
- 25 Delivered more enjoyment.
  - STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES
    P.O. BOX 18188, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55418 1-800-553-1953

- 1 Q. I'm sorry, let me rephrase the question.
- 2 And one of those distinguishing characteristics
- 3 was that the older-type cigarettes delivered more
- 4 enjoyment or kicks; right?
- 5 A. I don't see where that language is.
- 6 MR. FLYNN: Right there.
- 7 THE WITNESS: Oh, it's up here.
- 8 A. I see, okay.
- 9 Q. And it says --
- 10 A. That's what the document says.
- 11 Q. -- afterwards, or after the word "kicks" in
- 12 quotes, it says "(nicotine);" right?
- 13 A. That's what the document says.
- 14 Q. And the author suggested that it was possible to
- 15 achieve the -- to achieve a youth-oriented cigarette
- 16 that would develop more nicotine kick through pH
- 17 regulation; right?
- 18 MR. FLYNN: Again it speaks for itself. If
- 19 you got something to focus him on --
- 20 рн --
- 21 Q. Can you turn to the last paragraph -- or the
- 22 last sentence on the page.
- 23 A. That's what the document says.
- 24 Q. In other words, the author suggested it was
- 25 possible to achieve a youth-oriented cigarette that

- 1 would develop more nicotine kick through pH
- 2 regulation; right?
- 3 MR. FLYNN: I think you're paraphrasing
- 4 that now.
- 5 A. That isn't what it says. It says
- 6 additionally -- is that the word? "...any desired --
- 7 any desired additional, "isn't that what it says?
- 8 Q. It says, quote, "Still, with an old style
- 9 filter, any desired additional nicotine 'kick'" --
- 10 A. Right. That's right.
- 11 Q. -- "could easily -- could be easily obtained
- 12 through pH regulation; " right?
- 13 A. Right. We agree that's what the document says.
- 14 Q. Sir, do you understand that what the author is
- 15 talking about is manipulating nicotine in order to
- 16 give a kick that would be more attractive to a young
- 17 smoker?
- 18 MR. FLYNN: Objection, it speaks for
- 19 itself.
- 20 A. I don't -- yeah, I don't --
- 21 I don't know what the author was getting at
- 22 here.
- 23 Q. You just can't say as you sit here one way or
- 24 the other; right?
- 25 A. No, I can't.

- 1 Q. Sir, were you aware that tobacco manufacturers
- 2 at one time -- I'm sorry, strike that.
- 3 Were you aware of any of the cigarette
- 4 manufacturers ever considering root-beer flavored
- 5 cigarettes?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 MR. FLYNN: She's going to go to another
- 8 document.
- 9 MS. WIVELL: Can we go off the record for a
- 10 minute?
- MR. FLYNN: Sure.
- 12 THE REPORTER: Off the record, please.
- 13 (Discussion off the record.)
- 14 BY MS. WIVELL:
- 15 Q. Sir, showing you what's been marked previously
- 16 as Plaintiffs' Exhibit 722, this is a document that
- 17 begins with the Bates number 400649145; right?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. It's entitled "PROJECT KESTREL."
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Why don't you take a moment and read it.
- MR. FLYNN: Seems to be undated, too,
- 23 but --
- 24 A. Yes, I've read it.
- 25 Q. Sir, this document also talks about developing a

- 1 youth-oriented cigarette; doesn't it?
- 2 MR. FLYNN: It speaks for itself. But if
- 3 you got a --
- 4 A. Objective is new generation -- right. Yes.
- 5 Q. And the objective is a new generation of
- 6 cigarettes -- or I'm sorry, strike that.
- 7 The objective is, quote, "To develop a brand
- 8 which, quote, breaks the rules, quote, to appeal to a
- 9 new generation and shock their parents: to make
- 10 conventional brands look bland and weary; " right?
- 11 A. That's what the document says.
- 12 Q. And it talks about the fact that root-beer- and
- 13 Brazilian-fruit-juice-flavored cigarettes had been
- 14 considered in order to appeal to a younger
- 15 generation; right?
- 16 A. That's what the document says.
- 17 Q. Now this document also talks about a cigarette
- 18 which would incorporate a kick; right?
- 19 MR. FLYNN: Again it speaks for itself, but
- 20 if you can focus him where it is --
- 21 MS. WIVELL: On the first page, sir.
- 22 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, I was on the
- 23 second. I'm sorry.
- 24 Q. All right. Let me rephrase the question.
- 25 A. Okay, I -- I --

- 1 That's what the document says.
- 2 Q. All right. Just so we're clear here, Exhibit
- 3 722 also talks about developing a cigarette which
- 4 would have a sort of a kick; right?
- 5 A. That's what the document says.
- 6 Q. And it, too, talks about using ammonia -- I'm
- 7 sorry. Strike that.
- 8 It talks about using ammonia to generate
- 9 nicotine enhancement and using pH distortion to
- 10 liberate nicotine; right?
- 11 A. That's what the document says.
- 12 Q. Now do you know what AMTECH technology is?
- 13 A. No, ma'am, I do not.
- 14 Q. Do you know what role ammonia plays in the
- 15 nicotine ratio in cigarettes?
- 16 A. No, ma'am, I don't.
- 17 Q. Well sir, this document is talking about
- 18 developing a cigarette devoted to the literate youth
- 19 of today; right?
- 20 MR. FLYNN: Again it speaks for itself, but
- 21 if it's in there somewhere --
- 22 A. That's what the document says. I don't see it
- 23 right now, but it's fine; if you say so, I'll --
- 24 Q. Well sir, it says in the second complete
- 25 paragraph, "It was felt that the literate youth of

- 1 today, being very image oriented, would require a
- 2 brand of cigarettes which was not an attempt to match
- 3 any other brands, like Marlboro for instance, but
- 4 which was completely unconventional and set new
- 5 standards encouraging their rebellion, not
- 6 necessarily just against parents but certainly
- 7 against the market norm. It would respond to the
- 8 person's individuality with the possibility of being
- 9 an alternative to drugs." Right?
- 10 MR. FLYNN: Yes, you read it right.
- 11 A. That's what the document says.
- 12 Q. Well sir, did anyone ever share with you the
- 13 fact that cigarettes like this, that broke the rules
- 14 and appealed to a new generation, were being
- 15 considered?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. Does this document shock you, sir?
- 18 MR. FLYNN: Objection. I don't know what
- 19 you mean by that. That really has nothing to do with
- 20 anything, but --
- 21 Vague and ambiguous.
- 22 A. I just have to say that I don't know -- it's a
- 23 handwritten -- I --
- I just don't know anything about it. I don't
- 25 even know if it's a company document or not.

- 1 Q. Well sir, let me ask you this: Did any of the
- 2 members of The Tobacco Institute ever tell you that
- 3 the basis of their business was the high-school
- 4 student?
- 5 A. Absolutely not, no.
- 6 Q. So if -- if that is a position that the company
- 7 had, one of the companies had internally, that was
- 8 just something they didn't share with you.
- 9 A. That's correct. They have always said that
- 10 they're very concerned about youth smoking. That's
- 11 been the stated policy of the companies.
- 12 Q. Well sir, if a company said on one hand we're
- 13 very concerned about youth smoking, and then on the
- 14 other hand internally said the basis of our business
- 15 is the high-school student, they'd be speaking out of
- 16 both sides of their mouth; wouldn't they, sir?
- 17 MR. FLYNN: Objection.
- 18 A. I -- I can only go by what their stated position
- 19 is to me. They tell me what they're interested in in
- 20 this particular area, that they do want to stop youth
- 21 smoking, and they've stepped up to the plate and made
- 22 contributions to do just that. So I think actions
- 23 speak louder than words.
- 24 (Discussion off the stenographic record.)
- 25 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 757 was marked

- for identification.)
- 2 BY MS. WIVELL:
- 3 Q. Sir, showing you what's been marked as
- 4 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 757, this is a document that
- 5 begins with the Bates number 03537131; right?
- 6 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 7 Q. It's dated August 30th, 1978?
- 8 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 9 Q. And it's entitled "Product Information;" right?
- 10 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 11 Q. Exhibit 757 is to Curtis Judge.
- 12 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 13 Q. Who is Curtis Judge?
- 14 A. Curtis Judge was the chairman of Lorillard
- 15 Tobacco Company.
- 16 Q. And he was also on the Executive Committee of
- 17 The Tobacco Institute; wasn't he, sir?
- 18 A. Yes, he was.
- 19 Q. Now sir, if you take a look at the third
- 20 paragraph, it says there at the end of the
- 21 paragraph -- I'm sorry. It says there -- strike
- 22 that.
- 23 Sir, if you take a look at the third paragraph,
- 24 it begins, "The success of NEWPORT has been fantastic
- 25 during the past few years; " right?

- 1 A. That's what the document says.
- 2 Q. It says, "Our profile taken locally shows this
- 3 brand being pursued by black people" --
- 4 MR. FLYNN: "Purchased, purchased," not
- 5 "pursued."
- 6 MS. WIVELL: Pardon me.
- 7 Q. It goes on to say at the end of the sentence,
- 8 "the base of our business is the high school
- 9 student, doesn't it?
- 10 MR. FLYNN: Well it -- you're reading it
- 11 out of -- out of context now. You've got one phrase
- 12 of one sentence.
- 13 A. That's -- that's what that sentence says, yes,
- 14 ma'am.
- MR. FLYNN: Phrase.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Phrase.
- 17 Q. Sir, did Mr. Judge -- strike that.
- 18 You've spoken with Curtis Judge; haven't you?
- 19 A. Yes, I have.
- 20 Q. On numerous occasions; right?
- 21 A. Yes, I have.
- 22 Q. You've spoken to him on the subject of youth
- 23 smoking; haven't you?
- 24 A. I have. As far as his company position on youth
- 25 smoking when we were developing the programs, their

- 1 company would support it or not support the programs
- 2 that I've outlined to you earlier.
- 3 Q. Did he ever tell you that the base of his
- 4 company's cigarette base was the high -- the
- 5 high-school student?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. Never shared that information at all with you in
- 8 any way.
- 9 A. No.
- 10 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 758 was marked
- 11 for identification.)
- 12 BY MS. WIVELL:
- 13 Q. Sir, showing you what's been marked as
- 14 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 758, this is a document that
- 15 begins with the Bates number 2025862354; right?
- 16 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 17 Q. This is a press release from The Tobacco
- 18 Institute; isn't it?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And in this press release The Tobacco Institute
- 21 states that it has long -- I'm sorry. In this press
- 22 release --
- 23 A. Is there a reference --
- 24 Excuse me. Is there a reference to what year
- 25 this was?

- 1 Q. I don't have that information, sir.
- 2 A. We don't know when it was released.
- 3 Q. Why don't you take a moment and read it and see
- 4 if it will help you place it in context.
- 5 A. I have read the document.
- 6 Q. Sir, Exhibit 758 refers to several activities
- 7 which the tobacco industry has engaged in relating to
- 8 youth activities -- or youth smoking; right?
- 9 A. Yes, the document does.
- 10 Q. And it says here, "The tobacco industry has long
- 11 taken the position that smoking is an adult practice
- 12 to be considered solely by mature, informed persons."
- 13 Right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And it goes on to claim that the industry has
- 16 taken strict measures to address youth smoking;
- 17 right?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Sir, this document, when it was sent out by The
- 20 Tobacco Institute, you were president of The Tobacco
- 21 Institute; weren't you?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And we know that because, although it's not
- 24 dated, it refers to several activities that took
- 25 place after you became president of the Institute;

- 1 right?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. Now sir, this document, Exhibit 758, was it
- 4 approved by the various members of The Tobacco
- 5 Institute before it was sent out?
- 6 A. I don't know what the clearance process would
- 7 have been. I'm -- I'm certain that it was cleared by
- 8 our -- our counsel. I do not know if the companies
- 9 individually saw it or not.
- 10 Q. Well sir, this document doesn't tell the people
- 11 reading it that the basis of Lorillard's cigarette
- 12 business is the high-school student; right?
- 13 MR. FLYNN: It's just arguing with him. It
- 14 obviously speaks for itself.
- 15 A. I think the -- the --
- 16 I think the document fairly represents our
- 17 activity in the youth area during this timeframe.
- 18 Q. But it does not say anything about the basis of
- 19 Lorillard's cigarette business being the high-school
- 20 student; does it?
- 21 A. It talks about what the Institute and industry
- 22 has been doing in the youth period. I think that's
- 23 the purpose of the -- of the document.
- 24 Q. Just --
- 25 A. It says industry initiatives on youth smoking,

- 1 and we've talked about that before today, some of the
- 2 things we've been doing.
- 3 Q. I understand that, sir. But it says nothing
- 4 about the basis of Lorillard's cigarette business
- 5 being the high-school student; does it?
- 6 A. I don't know why it should. I -- I can't see
- 7 that -- it says it's --
- 8 This is about the tobacco industry's initiatives
- 9 against youth smoking. Is that not correct?
- 10 Q. Well sir, the answer to my question is "no," the
- 11 document, Exhibit 758, says nothing about the base of
- 12 Lorillard's business being the high-school student;
- 13 right?
- 14 A. No, that's not what I said. I said it talks
- 15 about what the industry -- the strict measures that
- 16 we've taken to address youth smoking. That's the
- 17 purpose of this. Right?
- 18 Q. All right. And sir, you would agree that it
- 19 says nothing in here about the basis of Lorillard's
- 20 business being the high-school student; right?
- 21 A. This talks --
- 22 This does not talk about any individual
- 23 companies.
- 24 Q. Now sir, it does not say anything about creating
- 25 a cigarette which breaks the rules --

- 1 MR. FLYNN: Just --
- 2 Q. -- by appealing to a new generation of youth
- 3 smokers; does it?
- 4 MR. FLYNN: This is just argumentative. It
- 5 says exactly what it says. You know it doesn't say
- 6 anything like that, the witness knows that.
- 7 A. This --
- 8 This, madam, just goes to the initiatives that
- 9 we have responsibility for at the Institute, --
- 10 Q. To be --
- 11 A. -- our program. As I've said already, we're not
- 12 involved in marketing or the production, we're only
- 13 involved in trade association, and this is a Tobacco
- 14 Institute trade association response and what we are
- 15 doing about youth initiatives and the programs that
- 16 we've made big investments in over the years.
- 17 Q. Well sir, let me ask you this: Does this
- 18 document say anything about trying to increase the
- 19 smokers in the 14- to 24-year-old age group?
- 20 MR. FLYNN: It's just argumentative. It
- 21 says what it says. Why do you keep beating this to
- 22 death?
- 23 A. Well, but the document does say about what we
- 24 are trying to do to try to discourage youth smoking.
- 25 I think that's the point of the document, what --

- 1 what's the industry doing to -- and --
- 2 And this lays it out.
- 3 Q. Well sir, earlier today you told me -- and
- 4 correct me if I'm wrong -- that one of the reasons
- 5 that the industry claimed it didn't want children
- 6 smoking was because they didn't have all the
- 7 information they needed. Is that right?
- 8 A. Well I -- I think you're misquoting what I
- 9 said. I -- what I -- what I meant to say is,
- 10 obviously, that smoking is an adult custom, and that
- 11 there are many activities that are adult customs,
- 12 that people ought to wait until they're older, 21
- 13 years of age, to engage in, be it sex or drinking or
- 14 smoking or a lot of other activities.
- 15 Q. Let me go back to my question.
- 16 Sir, is it true that one of the reasons that The
- 17 Tobacco Institute claims it doesn't want children to
- 18 smoke is because people have to have all the
- 19 information relative to tobacco? Is that right?
- 20 A. We want people to have all the information, that
- 21 is correct.
- 22 Q. All right. Well let me ask you one more time,
- 23 then, sir: Does Exhibit 758, The Tobacco Institute
- 24 press release entitled "...ON YOUTH SMOKING" tell the
- 25 person who's reading it that one of the companies was

- 1 trying to increase the cigarette smoking in the
- 2 ages -- 14- to 24-year-old age group?
- 3 MR. FLYNN: Your emotional intensity
- 4 doesn't change the objective nature of the question,
- 5 which is that it's still argumentative. The document
- 6 still speaks for itself.
- 7 A. Madam, people get information from a lot of
- 8 different sources, The Tobacco Institute being one
- 9 perspective. What we're doing is giving our
- 10 perspective relative to industry initiatives in the
- 11 youth area.
- 12 Q. Well sir, can you point out where it says "And
- 13 by the way, one of our companies is trying to
- 14 increase its smoking among 14- to 24-year-old age
- 15 group? Where can you point out where it says that?
- MR. FLYNN: You're just arguing with him.
- 17 You know it doesn't say that. He knows it doesn't
- 18 say it. You just keep asking the same thing and get
- 19 the same answer.
- 20 A. But madam, I would like you to accept that
- 21 people get information from a lot of different
- 22 places. Look at the pack, the information on a pack
- 23 of cigarettes. Look at the information you get every
- 24 day in the newspaper. I mean there's a lot of
- 25 different information about smoking that comes out.

- 1 Q. Well sir, earlier we looked at a Tobacco
- 2 Institute press release that said they were going to
- 3 get out all the facts; right?
- 4 A. How are we going to get out all the facts? What
- 5 are "all," all the facts on something? I mean it --
- 6 Q. Do you recall, sir, looking at a press release
- 7 that talked about how The Tobacco Institute was going
- 8 to get out all the facts?
- 9 MR. FLYNN: Objection, the document speaks
- 10 for itself. I don't know --
- I guess I'd ask the witness be shown a
- 12 document.
- THE WITNESS: Yeah, I can't recall.
- 14 MR. FLYNN: I don't think it says exactly
- 15 that.
- 16 A. When you say --
- 17 Q. Sir, do you recall seeing a press release that
- 18 said the industry will force -- will continue
- 19 forcefully its support of responsible research
- 20 efforts to establish the true facts?
- 21 A. May I see the -- see the document, please?
- 22 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 412 handed to the
- witness.)
- 24 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- MR. FLYNN: Exhibit 412.

- 1 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 2 A. Madam, this was back, I guess, in the '50s. I
- 3 don't even see a date on it. Back in 19 --
- 4 MR. FLYNN: No, '65.
- 5 A. '65, 1965. I don't know what the industry was
- 6 doing back in 1965. I didn't say anything at any
- 7 point in this conference that I knew anything that
- 8 happened in 1965. I didn't join the Institute until
- 9 the early '80s.
- 10 Q. Well sir, do you recall seeing a press release
- 11 earlier today that said we shall continue all
- 12 possible efforts to bring the facts to light? Do you
- 13 recall that?
- 14 A. Would you refresh my memory with the document,
- 15 please.
- 16 Here, is this yours? I'll give this back.
- 17 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 3504 handed to the
- 18 witness.)
- 19 A. Again, this document goes back to the '50s or
- 20 the '60s. I'm not sure I understand your point. I
- 21 don't even know if this --
- Okay, it's Tobacco Institute. This is back in
- 23 the '50s or '60s.
- 24 Q. And because it's back in the '50s and '60s --
- 25 A. I have no knowledge.

- 1 Q. All right.
- 2 A. I wasn't involved in it at that time.
- 3 Q. Well sir, let's take a look at Exhibit 758
- 4 again, something you were involved with.
- 5 A. 758.
- 6 MR. FLYNN: That's this one.
- 7 THE WITNESS: Okay, right.
- 8 Q. You were involved in the preparation of Exhibit
- 9 758; right?
- 10 A. I did not prepare this. I was president of The
- 11 Tobacco Institute. I am aware of this. And I think
- 12 that it speaks for itself. I think it's a pretty
- 13 good article, don't you?
- 14 Q. Well sir, when it says in the beginning, "The
- 15 tobacco industry has long taken the position that
- 16 smoking is an adult practice to be considered solely
- 17 by mature, informed persons," what did you do to make
- 18 sure that that that was an accurate statement when it
- 19 was sent out?
- 20 A. It was an accurate statement as reflected by the
- 21 policy of my board members, that they want their
- 22 product used by adults, not youngsters.
- 23 Q. And it certainly is at odds with the claim -- or
- 24 the intended purpose to increase market share among
- 25 14- to 24-year-old smokers; isn't it, sir?

- 1 A. But madam, I'm -- I'm not involved in the
- 2 sales. I'm not -- have no products. The Tobacco
- 3 Institute, that's not what we're all about. Ours is
- 4 youth initiatives, providing information, collecting
- 5 information. That's the sole purpose of the
- 6 Institute.
- 7 Q. Well sir, is it appropriate in your opinion to
- 8 claim publicly that the tobacco industry is doing
- 9 everything it can to prevent youth smoking on one
- 10 hand, and then to try and increase cigarette smoking
- 11 among 14-year-old smokers on the other?
- 12 MR. FLYNN: It's just argumentative. The
- 13 document doesn't say "everything it can," and he's
- 14 answered this question about 10 times.
- 15 A. I -- I'm really sorry that --
- 16 You know, I don't want to be argumentative, but
- 17 I -- I would -- after reflecting on the document, I
- 18 see there are some things in here that have been
- 19 missed that we've done relative to youth smoking,
- 20 like going out and changing most of the state laws to
- 21 increase the age in terms of purchase of product.
- 22 There's been a lot of activity that we've been
- 23 dedicated to over last few years in that area.
- 24 That's the reason I guess maybe I'm quite concerned
- 25 that you're not getting the purpose of this -- this

- 1 document.
- 2 Maybe I've got your document. Is this yours?
- 3 MR. FLYNN: Goes on that stack.
- 4 THE WITNESS: Oh, goes over here.
- 5 Q. Sir, isn't it a fact that The Tobacco Institute
- 6 opposed legislation in the state of Minnesota
- 7 relating to penalties for permitting tobacco to be
- 8 used by persons under the age of 18?
- 9 A. I don't -- I don't know. I'd have to --
- 10 If you've got something I can look at, why maybe
- 11 I can reflect on it.
- 12 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 759 was marked
- for identification.)
- 14 BY MS. WIVELL:
- 15 Q. Sir, showing you what's been marked as
- 16 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 759, this is a document that
- 17 begins with the Bates number 502052361; right?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. This is a document written by The Tobacco
- 20 Institute?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Dated February 4th, 1965; right?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. And on the first page there's a reference to
- 25 Minnesota; correct?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And it says, "The five bills introduced in
- 3 Minnesota are now pending before Committees. We, of
- 4 course, are diligently working toward keeping these
- 5 bills from getting out of Committees." Right?
- 6 A. That's what the document says.
- 7 Q. Now sir, if we turn to the third page of Exhibit
- 8  $\,$  759, it talks about those five bills at the bottom --
- 9 beginning at the bottom of the page; doesn't it?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And one of those five bills related to penalties
- 12 for permitting tobacco to be used by persons under
- 13 age 18; right?
- 14 A. I would guess I'm making a connection, ah --
- 15 it's --
- 16 Our earlier exchange, this goes back to 1965,
- 17 and it's -- it's not something I was involved with,
- 18 obviously, as we've gone over numerous times. But if
- 19 you also -- I -- I can't really tell you --
- 20 There's reference to the -- relating to the
- 21 penalties for permitting tobacco to be used by
- 22 persons under age 18. I don't know -- I certainly
- 23 don't know what that bill pertains to, if this is the
- 24 title of the bill and it's not a summary of the
- 25 bill. I don't know the specifics of -- of the

- 1 legislation.
- 2 Q. Well sir --
- 3 A. And --
- 4 Q. -- what we --
- 5 A. And it's back in 1965.
- 6 Q. What we do know about it is that The Tobacco
- 7 Institute opposed this measure relating to penalties
- 8 for permitting tobacco use -- for permitting tobacco
- 9 to be used by persons under age 18; right?
- 10 MR. LAYDEN: Objection, foundation.
- 11 A. But that doesn't tell the whole story. Maybe
- 12 the penalties were completely out of line with
- 13 surrounding states or, really, the reality of what
- 14 was happening in that marketplace.
- 15 Q. Sir, isn't it a fact that --
- 16 A. Do you know what that legislation said?
- 17 Q. Sir -- excuse me, sir. You know the rules of a
- 18 deposition.
- 19 A. I'm sorry.
- 20 Q. I get to ask the question --
- 21 A. Oh.
- 22 Q. -- and you have to answer.
- 23 A. All right.
- MR. FLYNN: Within reason.
- 25 Q. Now sir, --

- 1 (Laughter.)
- 2 A. I'm sorry.
- 3 Q. -- isn't it a fact that The Tobacco Institute
- 4 has also opposed vending machine bans in Minnesota
- 5 which were directed at trying to prevent children
- 6 from obtaining cigarettes?
- 7 MR. FLYNN: It's a dual question, opposing
- 8 it and the reason for it. But if you know the answer
- 9 to one or both, tell her.
- 10 A. I do not know the answer.
- 11 What year are we talking about? Is this in the
- 12 same year, same timeframe, '65, madam?
- 13 Q. Sir, are you aware of the fact that within the
- 14 last 10 years, The Tobacco Institute has opposed
- 15 vending machine bans in the state of Minnesota? I'm
- 16 sorry, strike that.
- 17 Are you aware of the fact that within the last
- 18 10 years, The Tobacco Institute has opposed vending
- 19 machine legislation in the state of Minnesota?
- 20 A. I think maybe you stated it right the first
- 21 time. If there was a ban, obviously we want to
- 22 have -- be able to sell our products there. I mean
- 23 the vending machine industry is very important in the
- 24 state. If there was a ban, we would like to  $\operatorname{--}$  to
- 25 have the access to vending machines, like combs or

- 1 candy bars or anything else.
- 2 Q. Well sir, isn't it a fact that if The Tobacco
- 3 Institute were serious about restricting youth
- 4 smoking, it would have worked for vending machine
- 5 bans?
- 6 MR. LAYDEN: Objection, argumentative.
- 7 A. We have gone for and promoted legislation in --
- 8 in some places to remove vending machines with any
- 9 access to youth, as we've been -- or close
- 10 proximity. There's been many cases like that.
- 11 Q. Sir, isn't it a fact that one of the Tobacco
- 12 Institute employees questioned how the Institute
- 13 could be serious about youth prevention and still --
- 14 strike that.
- 15 Sir, isn't it true that one of the Tobacco
- 16 Institute employees questioned the Institute's
- 17 seriousness about youth smoking by saying
- 18 shouldn't -- if we were serious about this, why not
- 19 discontinue vending-machine sales?
- 20 A. I don't know about that. There's -- I think --
- 21 I just don't know. I've -- I've never had anything
- 22 come up like this. Is there something I can refer
- 23 to?
- 24 Q. Sir, showing you --
- 25 A. Are we through with this?

- 1 Q. No, sir, we're not --
- Well yes, you can put that aside.
- 3 A. Put that aside.
- 4 Q. Sir, showing you what's been marked as
- 5 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 460, this is a memo -- I'm
- 6 sorry. Strike that.
- 7 Four sixty is a Tobacco Institute series of
- 8 memos; isn't it?
- 9 A. Four -- yes. Yes.
- 10 Wait. It's not a series of memos, it is one
- 11 memo. Is that correct?
- MR. FLYNN: No. Turn the page. There's
- 13 three documents stapled together numbered
- 14 sequentially, and they all are dated around August
- 15 1979.
- 16 THE WITNESS: All right.
- 17 MR. FLYNN: And that --
- 18 THE WITNESS: All right. What's the
- 19 question?
- 20 Q. The documents which comprise Exhibit 460 are
- 21 records from The Tobacco Institute; aren't they?
- 22 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 23 Q. You've seen them; haven't you, sir?
- 24 A. I have not seen them. This is 1979, 8/1/1979,
- 25 8/2/1979. I will take time to read them if you want

- 1 me to.
- 2 Q. Please do.
- 3 A. But I have not seen them, no.
- 4 MR. LAYDEN: Counsel, do you have an extra
- 5 copy of that one?
- 6 MS. WIVELL: No. Sorry.
- 7 MR. LAYDEN: That's okay.
- 8 A. I've read the -- I've read the documents.
- 9 Q. Sir, the documents that are the first two
- 10 pages --
- 11 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 12 Q. -- concern a pre-adult education program about
- 13 cigarette smoking; right?
- 14 MR. FLYNN: They speak for themselves, but
- 15 if that's what they convey to you, you can affirm it.
- 16 A. Yes, that's what the document says.
- 17 Q. And the third page of the document is a memo
- 18 from Jack Mills to Bill Kloepfer, who was then
- 19 vice-president of public relations for The Tobacco
- 20 Institute; right?
- 21 A. Bill Kloepfer was.
- 22 Q. Yes, sir.
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. And Jack Mills held what position, sir?
- 25 A. He was in our federal relations division.

- 1 Q. And he asks the question in the second paragraph
- 2 of the memo concerning vending-machine sales; doesn't
- 3 he?
- 4 A. Yes. That's what the document says.
- 5 Q. It says, "The second paragraph of your suggested
- 6 statement worries me. It could be asked, "How will
- 7 we control disbursement of cigarettes from vending
- 8 machines; and if we are serious about this, why not
- 9 discontinue vending machine sales?"
- 10 A. That's what the document says.
- 11 Q. And sir, what he's referring to is the second
- 12 paragraph of the draft which comprises the second
- 13 page of Exhibit 460; right?
- MR. FLYNN: Again, if you know.
- 15 A. I don't know if it was or not. Let's see, that
- 16 was August 2nd. I don't know. And this -- and you
- 17 say it refers to what paragraph?
- 18 Q. The second paragraph --
- MR. FLYNN: The next page.
- 20 Q. -- of the second page of Exhibit 460.
- 21 A. I don't know if it does or not.
- 22 Q. Well sir, let me ask you this: If The Tobacco
- 23 Institute was serious about controlling or preventing
- 24 youth -- strike that.
- 25 If The Tobacco Institute was serious about

- 1 preventing youth smoking, isn't it true one good way
- 2 to stop young people from buying cigarettes would be
- 3 to discontinue vending-machine sales?
- 4 MR. FLYNN: Objection, it's argumentative.
- 5 Again you got a statement he's got to affirm to
- 6 answer the question.
- 7 A. I don't know. There's been a lot of discussion
- 8 about vending-machine sales. I think your state,
- 9 some other states, are -- are different. You know,
- 10 it's --
- 11 Q. I -- I understand --
- 12 A. But the fact is that vending-machine sales
- 13 constitute such a small part of the sale of the
- 14 product that -- it's less than one -- one and a half
- 15 percent or one percent, I don't know. It's a very
- 16 small amount.
- 17 Q. Well sir, if vending-machine sales comprise such
- 18 a small part, then why wouldn't it be a good idea --
- 19 strike that.
- 20 You agree that if a vending machine is in a
- 21 hallway someplace, there's nothing to prevent a young
- 22 smoker from coming up and putting his or her money in
- 23 and buying a pack of cigarettes; right?
- MR. FLYNN: Objection, it's vague and
- 25 ambiguous. Hallway in a bar? A hallway in a

- 1 school? Or a hallway in --
- 2 It's vague and ambiguous as phrased.
- 3 A. Well I don't -- I don't know where the -- the
- 4 location would be. I -- I don't -- I don't know.
- 5 I -- I don't know. I'm not into sales of the
- 6 product, as I said earlier.
- 7 Q. Well sir, you've seen vending machines that
- 8 dispense cigarettes in the halls of restaurants;
- 9 haven't you?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And there would be nothing there to prevent a
- 12 child -- I'm sorry. Strike that.
- 13 There would be nothing there to prevent a young
- 14 person from putting his or her money into that
- 15 machine and getting a pack of cigarettes; right?
- 16 A. But that same scenario that you just pointed
- 17 out, isn't that usually a cashier close by or it's on
- 18 the premise? I mean it's -- there are people around,
- 19 right?
- 20 Q. Well sir, let me ask you this: If -- if
- 21 cigarette vending-machine sales are such a small
- 22 proportion of total sales of cigarettes, why, then,
- 23 did The Tobacco Institute feel it was necessary in
- 24 Minnesota to oppose restrictions on vending-machine
- 25 sales?

- 1 A. Well madam, most of the stuff that you've showed
- 2 me -- most of the documents, and even this document,
- 3 goes back to 1979, and -- and the situations have
- 4 changed since 1979 and today.
- 5 Q. All right. Well let's talk about 1987.
- 6 A. Okay.
- 7 Q. Let's talk about the 1987 legislative session in
- 8 Minnesota. Isn't it true that The Tobacco Institute
- 9 lobbyists basically opposed vending-machine cigarette
- 10 bans?
- 11 A. Complete bans?
- 12 Q. Yes, sir.
- 13 A. I don't know. I -- I would suspect back in 1987
- 14 they wouldn't want to have a complete ban any more
- 15 than we want to have a prohibition against tobacco
- 16 throughout the United States.
- 17 Q. Well sir, --
- 18 A. That's --
- 19 Q. -- if vending-machine sales are such a small
- 20 part of total sales and banning cigarette sales via a
- 21 vending machine was a way to prevent young folks from
- 22 buying cigarettes, wouldn't it be a good idea to
- 23 simply ban cigarettes sales via vending machines?
- 24 MR. FLYNN: Objection, it's a dual --
- 25 A. Might be.

- 1 MR. FLYNN: It's a dual question.
- 2 A. Might be. I don't know. We'd have to --
- 3 Something we could look into, consider.
- 4 Q. Sir, let me ask you this: If the tobacco
- 5 industry was serious about preventing youth from
- 6 smoking, why did it oppose a -- a smoking restriction
- 7 in schools in the state of Minnesota?
- 8 A. You have two parts to that question. You
- 9 started off if we're serious about it. I want to say
- 10 that we are serious about youth smoking. Now the
- 11 second part of your question was relative to
- 12 restrictions in schools. Now where are you talking
- 13 about? Where is this coming from? I don't -- I
- 14 don't understand that.
- 15 Q. Well sir, while you were president of The
- 16 Tobacco Institute, isn't it true that Tobacco
- 17 Institute lobbyists opposed smoking restrictions in
- 18 schools in the state of Minnesota?
- 19 A. I don't know. Do you have something -- Maybe
- 20 this was a teacher smoking room or the --
- 21 I cannot imagine us not wanting to curtail youth
- 22 smoking, but smoking restrictions, how far does it
- 23 go? Is it a teacher's room, or what was the
- 24 legislation?
- 25 THE WITNESS: Am I through with this

- 1 document here? This?
- 2 MS. WIVELL: For the time being.
- 3 THE WITNESS: For the time being.
- 4 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 760 was marked
- for identification.)
- 6 BY MS. WIVELL:
- 7 Q. Sir, showing you what's been marked as
- 8 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 760, this is a Tobacco Institute
- 9 document Bates numbered 283315; right?
- 10 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 11 Q. It's entitled "1988 MINNESOTA LEGISLATIVE PLAN,
- 12 MINNESOTA 1987 LEGISLATIVE OVERVIEW; " right?
- 13 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 14 Q. Why don't you take a minute or two to review
- 15 this document.
- 16 A. I've read the document.
- 17 Q. Sir, Exhibit 760 concerns activities that took
- 18 place during the 1987 legislative session in the
- 19 state of Minnesota; right?
- 20 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 21 Q. It describes that session as, quote, the most
- 22 disturbing -- I'm sorry. Strike that.
- It describes that session as, quote, one of the
- 24 most disturbing and worrisome legislative sessions in
- 25 our industry's history; right?

- 1 A. According to the author of this, that's --
- 2 that's true. That's what it says.
- 3 Q. Do you know the author of this document?
- 4 A. No. Do you?
- 5 Q. Who was in charge of state legislative
- 6 activities for the Tobacco Institute in 1987?
- 7 A. Kurt Malgram, I think.
- 8 Q. Just so we're clear here, one of the purposes of
- 9 The Tobacco Institute is to lobby; isn't it?
- 10 A. Well it's -- .
- 11 One of the purposes, like any trade association,
- 12 is to inform the legislators --
- 13 Q. And sir --
- 14 A. -- on matters affecting the industry if they
- 15 want the information or if they -- or if we think
- 16 they ought to have an industry perspective.
- 17 Q. So the answer to my question is yes, one of the
- 18 activities of -- one of the purposes of The Tobacco
- 19 Institute is to lobby; right?
- 20 A. Just like everyone else, right.
- 21 Q. Okay.
- 22 A. Even lawyers.
- 23 Q. Now sir, would you turn to the second page of
- 24 Exhibit 760.
- 25 A. Seven sixty, second page.

- 1 MR. FLYNN: That's the one you have.
- 2 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 3 Q. And there's a discussion concerning the lobbying
- 4 efforts in Minnesota; right?
- 5 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 6 Q. And there is reference there to measures which
- 7 were being contained by the lobbyists; right?
- 8 A. I don't know if that's the language that I would
- 9 use, but that's what it says in the document.
- 10 Q. All right. It does say "other measures were
- 11 consistently being contained by this group." Right?
- 12 A. Well that's -- that's what the document says.
- 13 But you know how it could mean other things, right?
- 14 Q. Well you understand that that means that -- that
- 15 those particular measures which are listed thereafter
- 16 did not become law in the state of Minnesota; right?
- 17 A. For whatever reason. But I don't know if it was
- 18 because they were contained. Maybe the time ran out
- 19 in the legislative session.
- 20 Q. All right.
- 21 A. Whatever.
- 22 Q. One of the measures that was, according to this
- 23 document, being contained by the lobbyists on behalf
- 24 of the tobacco industry was a vending machine ban;
- 25 right?

- 1 MR. FLYNN: It speaks for itself. But do
- 2 you have House -- HF 32?
- 3 A. "Vending ban," yes, ma'am.
- 4 Q. And another one of the bills that was being
- 5 contained by the tobacco industry lobbyists was a
- 6 smoking restriction/schools bill; right?
- 7 A. It says -- that -- that's what the --
- 8 I guess it must be the title of the bill. I
- 9 don't know if that's the content of the bill. But
- 10 this is what the document says.
- 11 Q. All right. It refers to HF 227, "Smoking
- 12 restrictions/schools;" right?
- 13 A. Right.
- 14 Q. And you don't know as you sit here today exactly
- 15 what the purpose of that bill was.
- 16 A. No. As I said earlier, might have been the
- 17 teacher's lounge.
- 18 Q. Well sir, isn't it true that during the 1987
- 19 legislative session -- I'm sorry, strike that.
- 20 Isn't it true that during the next legislative
- 21 session, the tobacco industry was successful in
- 22 stopping a bill in the state of Minnesota which would
- 23 have prohibited cigarettes dispensing via vending
- 24 machines?
- MR. FLYNN: That is a different question.

- 1 A. It's not in this --
- 2 MR. FLYNN: Forget this document. She's
- 3 now in the next year.
- 4 A. No, I don't know -- I don't know about that. Do
- 5 you have something --
- It doesn't pertain to this document, obviously.
- 7 Q. No, sir.
- 8 A. Okay.
- 9 Q. But I'm asking you: Do you understand --
- 10 A. I don't know at what --
- I do not know what was being considered by the
- 12 state legislature in 1988 in the state of Minnesota.
- 13 Or --
- MR. FLYNN: You've answered it. She'll ask
- 15 you another one.
- 16 Q. Well sir, do you regularly get reports from the
- 17 lobbyists that The Tobacco Institute employs
- 18 throughout the country?
- 19 A. Yes, ma'am. But understand that, for example,
- 20 this -- this last year we had over 2,000 bills in the
- 21 state legislatures throughout the United States, and
- 22 I cannot remember every state.
- 23 Q. Fair enough.
- 24 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 761 was marked
- for identification.)

- 1 BY MS. WIVELL:
- 2 Q. Sir, showing you what's been marked as
- 3 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 761, this is a Tobacco Institute
- 4 document; isn't it, sir?
- 5 A. I recognize the names. But I do not know,
- 6 unless there's someplace on it --
- 7 I'm not familiar with the document, if it's a
- 8 Tobacco Institute document or not.
- 9 Q. All right. Well it starts with the Bates number
- 10 TIMN --
- 11 A. Where is that now?
- 12 Q. -- 457974; right?
- 13 A. Right. But that's not anything that we have at
- 14 The Tobacco Institute; is it? Is that your number?
- 15 Q. No, that's your number, sir.
- 16 A. It is. Okay.
- 17 Q. Now this is entitled "REVISED, ACTION-TRAC;"
- 18 right?
- MR. FLYNN: I'm not sure that's the title.
- 20 A. "ACTION-TRAC."
- MR. FLYNN: It says "REVISED" there.
- 22 A. It says ACTION-TRAC, REVISED. I don't know.
- 23 Q. It's a memo from Dan Nelson to Paul Emrick;
- 24 right?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Who is Dan Nelson?
- 2 A. I cannot remember.
- 3 Q. Who is Paul Emrick?
- 4 A. Paul Emrick was one of our vice-presidents in
- 5 the federal relations division. Dan --
- 6 I don't know what year.
- 7 Q. All right. Why don't you take a minute --
- 8 A. Dan may have been an employee of ours.
- 9 Q. Why don't you take a minute and review Exhibit
- 10 761.
- 11 A. Okay.
- Yes, ma'am, I've read -- well the front page.
- 13 Do you want me to read the back page too?
- 14 Q. Certainly.
- 15 A. Yes, ma'am, I've read it.
- 16 Q. All right. Exhibit 761 concerns efforts on
- 17 behalf of The Tobacco Institute to oppose a bill in
- 18 the Minnesota legislature that would ban
- 19 vending-machine sales of tobacco products; right?
- 20 MR. FLYNN: Well, it says for multi-product
- 21 vending machines. But anyway, it speaks for itself.
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And sir, it goes on to note that the industry
- 24 was successful in stopping Senate conference
- 25 committee's attempts to amend that bill into a total

- 1 vending machine ban; doesn't it?
- 2 A. That's what the --
- 3 That's what the document says.
- 4 Q. And sir, it was the industry's -- the tobacco --
- 5 I'm sorry, strike that.
- 6 It was the tobacco industry's objective to kill
- 7 the bill that's referred to in this document; wasn't
- 8 it?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Sir, isn't one of the reasons that The Tobacco
- 11 Institute tried to oppose this bill and other bills
- 12 that we've looked at in other documents in this
- 13 exhibit is that smoking prevention can be hazardous
- 14 to the tobacco industry's health?
- MR. LAYDEN: Objection, argumentative.
- 16 MR. FLYNN: That's just argumentative. Let
- 17 me --
- 18 Wait. Just don't answer that for a second.
- 19 "Isn't one of the reasons" -- it's just --
- I wish I could instruct him not to answer, but I
- 21 can't, so I guess you have to answer the question,
- 22 argumentative and mean as it is.
- 23 A. Well I think The Tobacco Institute and the
- 24 tobacco manufacturers are the manufacturers of a
- 25 legal product and they ought to be able to

- 1 merchandise and market their product like any other
- 2 product. If it's an illegal product we're talking
- 3 about, it's something else. If we're talking about
- 4 prohibition, then let's talk about prohibition. If
- 5 you talk about bans, you're talking about
- 6 prohibition. It's a legal product.
- 7 Q. Well sir, let me rephrase the question a little
- 8 bit differently. Isn't it true that The Tobacco
- 9 Institute viewed youth smoking prevention as
- 10 potentially hazardous to the tobacco industry's
- 11 health?
- 12 A. Absolutely not. As I've said earlier, we have
- 13 constantly tried to have strong programs in the youth
- 14 area relative to smoking by young people.
- 15 Q. Who is Lucy Suddreth?
- 16 A. I have no idea.
- 17 THE WITNESS: Are we through with this?
- 18 MS. WIVELL: Yes, sir.
- 19 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 762 was marked
- for identification.)
- 21 BY MS. WIVELL:
- 22 Q. Sir, showing you what's been marked as
- 23 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 762, this is a document entitled
- 24 "TEENAGE SMOKING," by Lucy D. Suddreth, The Tobacco
- 25 Institute, July 30th, 1982; right?

- 1 A. That's what the document says, yes.
- 2 Q. Now for the record, the Bates number is
- 3 TIMN0237382; right?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Sir, could you turn to the bottom of -- or I'm
- 6 sorry, the top of the page that begins -- begins --
- 7 I'm sorry. Strike that.
- 8 Could you turn to the bottom of the page that
- 9 ends with the Bates number 388.
- 10 A. 388. Okay. 388.
- 11 Q. And there at the top of the page do you see the
- 12 statement, "Smoking prevention strategy could be
- 13 hazardous to the tobacco industry's health?"
- 14 A. I see that statement.
- 15 Q. Do you know to what Ms. Suddreth was referring
- 16 when she made that statement?
- 17 A. I do not know to what she was referring when she
- 18 made that statement.
- 19 Q. Sir, could you turn to the top of the next
- 20 page. There it says in the -- at the end of the
- 21 paragraph, "But there could be serious repercussions
- 22 if education officials feel that teachers and other
- 23 personnel should be prohibited from smoking on school
- 24 grounds." Right?
- MR. FLYNN: Then it has handwriting "What

- 1 are those repercussions?"
- 2 But has she read it right?
- 3 A. She has read it right. But there is a
- 4 handwritten note there, "What are those
- 5 repercussions?"
- 6 Q. Sir, isn't it true that there could be serious
- 7 repercussions to the tobacco industry in the whole if
- 8 teachers and other personnel were prohibited from
- 9 smoking on school grounds?
- 10 A. Would -- would you ask that question again?
- 11 Q. Yes. Isn't it true that there could be serious
- 12 repercussions to the tobacco industry if teachers and
- 13 other personnel were prohibited from smoking on
- 14 school grounds?
- 15 A. I -- I don't --
- This is not any language I'd use. I don't know
- 17 what you mean by "serious repercussions." I can't
- 18 imagine any serious repercussions, but -- you know, I
- 19 think this is --
- 20 This is an outline? I don't -- I don't know
- 21 what this -- I've never -- I don't know what this --
- 22 handwritten notes on it. Are you saying this is --
- 23 what -- what's -- what's your point here, I guess?
- 24 This is an outline, or I  $\operatorname{\mathsf{--}}$  this isn't completed
- 25 staff work or --

- 1 Q. Move to strike.
- 2 A. I don't even know who the person is in -- in the
- 3 organization.
- 4 Q. Move to strike the non-responsive portion of the
- 5 answer.
- 6 A. What's that? I'm sorry.
- 7 MR. FLYNN: He has a right to assert some
- 8 defense. You've been throwing all these documents in
- 9 front of him, and if he's raising that despite the
- 10 description of a TI document, he's never seen it,
- 11 doesn't know the author, it's relevant in fairness to
- 12 the witness.
- MS. WIVELL: Well sir --
- MR. FLYNN: For the record, it's got to be
- 15 in, whether the jury ever hears it or not.
- 16 Don't worry about it.
- MS. WIVELL: Why don't we take a break.
- 18 MR. FLYNN: Okay.
- THE REPORTER: Off the record, please.
- 20 (Recess taken.)
- 21 BY MS. WIVELL:
- 22 Q. Sir, as president of the tobacco industry, you
- 23 have --
- MR. FLYNN: Institute.
- 25 Q. -- recognized --

- 1 MR. FLYNN: Institute.
- 2 MS. WIVELL: Sorry.
- 3 Q. As president of The Tobacco Institute, you have
- 4 recognized that there are those who do not believe
- 5 the industry's position on teenage smoking; right?
- 6 A. Yes. They're always entitled to their opinion.
- 7 Q. And you understand that -- I'm sorry, strike
- 8 that.
- 9 You understood in your early years as president
- 10 of The Tobacco Institute that the teenage smoking
- 11 issue had enormous negative potential for the tobacco
- 12 industry; didn't you, sir?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And you worked at trying to contain the teenage
- 15 smoking issue; didn't you, sir?
- 16 A. How do you mean "contain?" I'm not sure what
- 17 you mean by --
- 18 Q. Well sir, haven't you written that "Though we
- 19 have been somewhat successful in containing it, the
- 20 teenage smoking issue continues to pose serious
- 21 negative legislative and public relations potential?"
- 22 A. If I said that, I think what I would -- would be
- 23 referring to is the fact that this teenage smoking --
- Well in your own state, for example, have a tax
- 25 increase, you know, tie it into teenage smoking; tie

- 1 in a ban and tie it into teenage smoking. It's just
- 2 sort of like the people, rather than decide it on the
- 3 merits, they want to involve teenage smoking rather
- 4 than what it is. If there's a prohibition against
- 5 advertising, it's because of teenage smoking.
- 6 Q. Well sir, you understand that youth smoking is a
- 7 considerable interest to the American population;
- 8 don't you?
- 9 A. It is to the American population and also to The
- 10 Tobacco Institute, yes, as I've testified earlier.
- 11 Q. Now sir, let me go back and see if I can get an
- 12 answer to my previous question.
- 13 Haven't you said that "Though we have been
- 14 somewhat successful in containing it, the teenage
- 15 smoking issue continues to pose serious negative
- 16 legislative and public relations potential?
- 17 A. I can't remember saying that, but I -- I can --
- 18 I think that says succinctly what I said, that that's
- 19 sort of what -- the engine that's driving so many of
- 20 these different problems.
- 21 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 763 was marked
- for identification.)
- 23 BY MS. WIVELL:
- 24 Q. Sir, showing you what's been marked as
- 25 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 763, this is a letter you wrote

- 1 to Edward Horrigan, who was then chair of the board
- 2 of R. J. Reynolds Tobacco Company; right?
- 3 A. That's -- that's correct.
- 4 Q. For the record, the Bates number is 503907468;
- 5 right?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And in the first paragraph you say, "Though we
- 8 have been somewhat successful in containing it, the
- 9 teenage smoking issue continues to pose serious
- 10 negative legislative and public relations potential;"
- 11 right?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 Q. Now sir, what did you mean by the fact that
- 14 teenage smoking posed a potential public relations --
- 15 a negative public relations potential?
- MR. FLYNN: He just answered it. But go
- 17 ahead.
- 18 A. I -- I answered it by saying that many times the
- 19 teenage smoking issue, you know, introduce
- 20 legislation that this is going to curb teenage
- 21 smoking, and when you get right down to it, it has
- 22 very little to do with teenage smoking, it's just a
- 23 vehicle to get legislation through.
- Now as it relates to this particular letter, had
- 25 to do with a conversation that I had had with Dr.

- 1 Carl Turner, who at the time was running a program
- 2 for First Lady Nancy Reagan on drug abuse, and he was
- 3 very complimentary at that time about what the
- 4 tobacco industry had been doing and was not -- and
- 5 because of what the tobacco industry had been
- 6 doing -- you can go back and check this -- Dr. Turner
- 7 did not include in his concern for -- as a gateway
- 8 drug or being part of the problems with teenagers.
- 9 That's what's all that about. But there was the
- 10 potential for youth -- for teenage smoking to cause
- 11 that to be the result of legislative action.
- 12 Q. Now sir, you also refer here to those -- or the
- 13 people in the administration who view tobacco as an
- 14 addictive drug; right?
- MR. FLYNN: Again it speaks for itself.
- 16 What -- does it --
- What's the question? Does it say that?
- 18 A. It says --
- MR. FLYNN: It refers there to people who
- 20 view --
- 21 A. -- "...there are those in the Administration" --
- 22 "Waxman's bill," "there are those in the
- 23 Administration who view tobacco as 'an addictive
- 24 drug....'"
- 25 Q. And sir, you went on to say "and who plainly do

- 1 not believe our position against teenage smoking;"
- 2 right?
- 3 A. Yes, that's true. I think that's probably
- 4 referring to Surgeon General Koop at that point.
- 5 Q. And the Surgeon General did not believe the
- 6 tobacco industry's position on youth prevention; did
- 7 he?
- 8 A. Well that's correct. Surgeon General Koop's
- 9 position is well documented about tobacco.
- 10 Q. And sir, isn't it a fact that the Surgeon
- 11 General of the United States eventually came out with
- 12 a report concerning youth smoking?
- 13 A. I -- I don't recall it. Probably did.
- 14 THE WITNESS: Are we through with this?
- MS. WIVELL: Put it aside.
- 16 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 764 was marked
- for identification.)
- 18 BY MS. WIVELL:
- 19 Q. Sir, showing you what's been marked as
- 20 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 764, this is a document that
- 21 begins with the Bates number 514502202B; right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. It's entitled "AGENDA, Meeting with TI
- 24 Representatives."
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. It refers to the 1993 Surgeon General's report,
- 2 which had not at the time of this memo been issued
- 3 yet; right?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Now despite the fact that the 1993 Surgeon
- 6 General's report had not been issued at the time
- 7 Exhibit 764 was written, the industry knew that the
- 8 report was going to focus on youth smoking; right?
- 9 A. They must have, yes.
- 10 Q. And we know that that's the case because it
- 11 says, "The 1993 Surgeon General's Report will focus
- 12 on youth smoking."
- 13 A. Don't know if it's --
- 14 We don't know that that was the case, but it was
- 15 thought that --
- I don't see where it says that we know. It says
- 17 it will focus.
- 18 Q. Fair enough.
- 19 And it goes on to say, "As an industry, we need
- 20 to be prepared to demonstrate that we are a)
- 21 concerned about youth smoking; b) successfully
- 22 working to reduce smoke -- youth smoking rates; and
- 23 c) responsibly marketing adult products; " right?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And the meeting was to try and develop a

- 1 strategy to communicate those messages.
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. Now sir, isn't it true that the year before, the
- 4 youth smoking budget had gone down at The Tobacco
- 5 Institute?
- 6 A. I don't know.
- 7 Q. Maybe I should rephrase the question and ask it
- 8 this way: Isn't it true that the same year that this
- 9 document, Exhibit 764, was written, the budget at The
- 10 Tobacco Institute devoted to youth programs went
- 11 down?
- 12 A. I don't know. What --
- 13 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 765 was marked
- for identification.)
- 15 BY MS. WIVELL:
- 16 Q. Sir, showing you what's been marked as
- 17 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 765, this is a memo that you
- 18 wrote to members of The Tobacco Institute management
- 19 committee; right?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. It's dated November 27th, 1991?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. For the record, it begins with the Bates number
- 24 TIMN0049121; right?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. And it talks about two initiatives which had
- 2 been undertaken by The Tobacco Institute, Helping
- 3 Youth Say No, and It's the Law; right?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Now it talks about placing ads concerning these
- 6 two initiatives; right?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Now sir, taking a look at the placement that was
- 9 suggested in this memo for ads concerning these two
- 10 campaigns, do you see any placement in youth-oriented
- 11 magazines?
- 12 A. No. And I wouldn't -- wouldn't expect to
- 13 because this -- "Tobacco: Helping Youth Say No," I
- 14 mean the whole purpose of that program was to
- 15 communicate to the parents about it, get them
- 16 involved. I mean there's enough -- I mean the
- 17 schools are telling the kids about it, peer pressure
- 18 and other things. So this --
- 19 Why would it be in a youth magazine if we're
- 20 trying to communicate with parents?
- 21 Q. Well sir, isn't the It's the Law campaign also
- 22 directed at children -- at youth?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. All right. Is there any indication that the
- 25 It's the Law campaign was going to be put in

- 1 youth-oriented magazines?
- 2 A. Well I don't know what the mix would be from --
- 3 from this, I mean, but you'd have to -- I mean you'd
- 4 have to know what -- which ads are running. If it's
- 5 Helping Youth Say No, you're also saying that kids
- 6 don't read Parade, for example, or the U.S.A.
- 7 Weekend. I'm not -- I don't know.
- 8 MR. FLYNN: Look on the next page. I think
- 9 it talks about it.
- 10 THE WITNESS: Does it?
- MR. FLYNN: I don't know what that means,
- 12 but "major retail trade publications."
- 13 Q. Well sir, certainly --
- 14 A. Okay. I understand. I understand. I can
- 15 clarify that.
- 16 Q. Well let me --
- 17 A. It's -- It's the Law, see, that's a program that
- 18 goes to the retailers. That's a program for the --
- 19 the training of the retailers, the people that are
- 20 working in the store and that are selling the
- 21 products, so that wouldn't be any sense in -- in
- 22 sending out newspaper ads relative to training of
- 23 people that work in a convenience store or grocery
- 24 store.
- 25 Q. Now the last paragraph of Exhibit 765 refers to

- 1 the advertising plans for those two programs in 1992;
- 2 right?
- 3 A. Uh-huh.
- 4 THE REPORTER: Your answer?
- 5 Q. You have to answer out loud, sir.
- 6 MR. FLYNN: Say yes. You just said
- 7 "uh-huh."
- 8 A. Yes. Yes.
- 9 Q. All right. Let me rephrase the question so we
- 10 have a clear record.
- 11 And the last paragraph of Exhibit 765 refers to
- 12 the advertising plans for those two programs in 1992;
- 13 right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And the 1992 advertising plan for those two
- 16 programs was down \$700,000 from the 1991 budget;
- 17 right?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Now sir, isn't it true that you personally have
- 20 written to legislators in the state of Minnesota
- 21 claiming that the tobacco industry does not want
- 22 young people to smoke?
- 23 MR. FLYNN: I object to the argu --
- You keep using the word "claiming" like there's
- 25 some implication to it. But I object, it's

- 1 argumentative in that phrase.
- 2 A. I can't remember if I've written members of the
- 3 legislature or not. I would suspect that if we
- 4 were -- like It's the Law program, some states where
- 5 we wanted them to pass legislation to raise the law
- 6 or strengthen the penalties for sales to buyers, why
- 7 yes, we've let the state legislature know about
- 8 programs, our youth programs.
- 9 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 766 was marked
- for identification.)
- 11 BY MS. WIVELL:
- 12 Q. Sir, before we go to Plaintiffs' Exhibit 766,
- 13 let me ask you this: You would agree that whether
- 14 you wrote to the legislature or -- legislators or
- 15 not, that -- no, strike that.
- 16 If you wrote to the legislators in a particular
- 17 state, you would agree that it would be important to
- 18 be accurate in what you claimed in that
- 19 communication; right?
- 20 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 21 Q. Because it would be improper to misrepresent the
- 22 tobacco industry's intentions when communicating with
- 23 a state legislator who might be involved with bills
- 24 that affect, for example, youth smoking.
- MR. LAYDEN: Objection, vague.

- 1 A. I'm not sure I understand the question.
- 2 Q. All right. Well let's put it this way: If,
- 3 while trying to promote the position of the tobacco
- 4 industry, you had written to a state legislator in
- 5 the state of Minnesota, you would agree it would be
- 6 important to speak accurately --
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. -- and not -- and not mislead that legislator;
- 9 right?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Now sir, Exhibit 766 is a letter that bears your
- 12 name at the bottom of the page; right?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. For the record, it's Bates number TIMN218446.
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 MR. FLYNN: Appears undated, but --
- 17 Q. This says "LETTER FROM MINNESOTA" at the top of
- 18 the page; doesn't it?
- MR. FLYNN: "FOR."
- 20 A. "FOR." It says "LETTER FOR MINNESOTA" at the
- 21 top.
- 22 I don't know if this was sent out. There's no
- 23 date on it. I don't know if it was for in-house.
- 24 Maybe you can shed some light on it. Was this
- 25 something that was given to me? Was it sent out? I

- 1 don't know.
- 2 Q. Well sir, let me ask you: Was this document
- 3 sent out?
- 4 A. I do not know.
- 5 Q. It says "LETTER FOR MINNESOTA" at the top;
- 6 doesn't it?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 MR. FLYNN: It says "FOR MINNESOTA."
- 9 THE WITNESS: "FOR MINNESOTA."
- 10 MS. WIVELL: I think I said that.
- 11 MR. FLYNN: You said "FROM."
- 12 MS. WIVELL: Why don't you take a look at
- 13 the transcript.
- 14 Q. Just so we're clear, it says "LETTER FOR
- 15 MINNESOTA" at the top; doesn't it?
- 16 A. I can tell this is a -- a draft, because I would
- 17 put down "Dear Governor" or "State Legislator." If I
- 18 was writing the Governor of the state, I would say
- 19 "Dear Governor." If I was writing the speaker, I'd
- 20 say that. So this must be a draft.
- 21 Q. Well do you believe that you wrote to the
- 22 Governor or the legislators of the state of Minnesota
- 23 concerning the tobacco industry's attempts to
- 24 discourage young people from smoking?
- 25 A. I don't know, but I will find out and get back

- 1 to you.
- 2 Q. I would appreciate that, sir. Thank you.
- 3 A. I do not know.
- 4 Q. Now sir, here it says in the first paragraph of
- 5 Exhibit 766 that the tobacco industry is taking new
- 6 steps to discourage young people from smoking;
- 7 right?
- 8 MR. FLYNN: That's part of what it says.
- 9 A. Where are we on this letter? You have to help
- 10 me here. We're on which -- which --
- 11 Q. In the first paragraph, sir.
- 12 A. First paragraph. All right.
- 13 Q. It says "the tobacco industry is taking new
- 14 steps to discourage young people from smoking;"
- 15 right?
- 16 A. That is what it says.
- 17 Q. It says "and to prevent their access to
- 18 cigarettes; right?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 Q. Now you go on in the second paragraph to ask the
- 21 rhetorical question "Why?" Isn't that true?
- 22 A. Yes, that's true. That's what the document
- 23 says.
- 24 Q. And you say, "The bottom line is, the tobacco
- 25 industry does not want young people to smoke."

- 1 A. That's correct.
- 2 Q. Now sir, do you remember sending a letter that
- 3 said substantially the same thing to Senator Elect
- 4 Paul Wellstone?
- 5 A. Well as I -- as I said earlier, if we were
- 6 rolling out a campaign, we would probably send the
- 7 letter to the elected representatives, the Governor,
- 8 the people, so that they would be aware of it, so it
- 9 wouldn't surprise me if it went to Senator Elect
- 10 Wellstone. Great American.
- 11 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 767 was marked
- for identification.)
- 13 BY MS. WIVELL:
- 14 Q. Sir, showing you what's been marked as
- 15 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 767, this is a letter that you
- 16 wrote December 11th, 1990 to Paul Wellstone in the
- 17 United States Senate; right?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And sir, if you take a moment to review it, you
- 20 would agree that it is substantially the same format
- 21 as the letter that's addressed "Dear Governor or
- 22 State Legislator" which we were just looking at,
- 23 Exhibit 766; right?
- 24 A. Much shorter. Right?
- 25 Q. Substantially the same format though; right?

- 1 A. Much shorter.
- 2 Format? Well let's see. I'd have to do a
- 3 side-by --
- 4 No, I wouldn't say so. I mean it's much
- 5 shorter.
- 6 Q. All right.
- 7 A. But that's all right.
- 8 Q. Let's do it this way.
- 9 A. All right.
- 10 Q. In the first paragraph you told Senator Elect
- 11 Wellstone that the tobacco industry is taking a
- 12 number of new additional steps to discourage young
- 13 people from smoking and to prevent their access to
- 14 cigarettes.
- 15 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 16 Q. Right?
- 17 And then, again in the second paragraph, you ask
- 18 the rhetorical question "Why?" Right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And then you say, "Because the tobacco industry
- 21 does not want young people to smoke." Right?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 Q. Now sir, you actually sent this letter to
- 24 Senator Wellstone; didn't you?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. (Coughing.) Pardon me.
- 2 Did you tell Senator Wellstone that one of the
- 3 member companies of the tobacco industry that you
- 4 referred to here in Exhibit 767 was trying to focus
- 5 its marketing activities on young adult smokers?
- 6 MR. FLYNN: I object, it's argumentative,
- 7 it speaks for itself. Obviously that was not in
- 8 here; it's self-evident.
- 9 A. Madam, as I -- as I said earlier, I had no
- 10 knowledge of it. I was speaking here only as The
- 11 Tobacco Institute. I'm not aware of those other
- 12 documents you're talking about.
- 13 Q. Well sir, actually you were speaking on behalf
- 14 of the tobacco industry when you wrote this letter to
- 15 Senator Wellstone; weren't you?
- 16 A. The tobacco industry does have a policy that
- 17 they did want to take additional steps to prevent the
- 18 access of cigarettes to the youth, to young people,
- 19 and i.e., that's the reason for the program. They
- 20 paid for the program. It's their program through The
- 21 Tobacco Institute.
- 22 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 768 was marked
- for identification.)
- 24 BY MS. WIVELL:
- 25 Q. Sir, showing you what's been marked as

- 1 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 768, this is a document that
- 2 begins with the Bates number 507241613; right?
- 3 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 4 Q. And it's entitled "CAMEL Y&R ORIENTATION."
- 5 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 6 Q. All right. Now, sir, would you turn to the
- 7 second page of Exhibit 768.
- 8 MR. FLYNN: For the record, it looks like
- 9 it's a Reynolds document. Talks about 1990. But
- 10 okay.
- 11 A. Second --
- 12 It's what, 1980?
- MR. FLYNN: "Strategic Importance."
- 14 A. I don't see any --
- 15 Q. Sir, why don't you just turn to the second page
- 16 of the document.
- 17 A. I don't see any date.
- 18 Oh, okay. Yes.
- 19 Q. All right. And there, the fourth point is
- 20 "CAMEL 1999 Over" -- 19 -- I'm sorry.
- 21 A. "1990."
- 22 Q. There you see reference to "CAMEL 1990
- 23 Overview; "right?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. So sir, it would be fair to say that this

- 1 document is relatively contemporaneous with the
- 2 letter that you wrote to Paul Wellstone; isn't that
- 3 true?
- 4 A. They both were done in the year 1990.
- 5 Q. All right. Let's take a look at Exhibit 768,
- 6 and why don't you page through the first half of
- 7 it --
- 8 A. Page through the first half.
- 9 Q. -- so you can get a flavor for the document.
- 10 A. Do you want me to do the whole first half?
- 11 Q. Well since it's relatively -- since there's not
- 12 much on each page. I don't think it will take that
- 13 long.
- 14 A. Okay. I think I got sort of the sense of the
- 15 cigarette -- okay.
- 16 Q. Sir, turning back to the second page of the
- 17 document, --
- 18 A. All right.
- 19 Q. -- the exhibit -- or the second page of Exhibit
- 20 768 talks about the strategic importance of YAS and
- 21 summary of YAS learning; right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And it talks about guidelines for effective
- 24 marketing to YAS.
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Now sir, if you turn to the page that ends with
- 2 Bates number 616, it says "YOUNGER ADULT SMOKERS;"
- 3 doesn't it?
- 4 A. Six sixteen. I got my papers upside down.
- Yes, that's what the document says.
- 6 Q. And younger adult smokers abbreviated is YAS;
- 7 right?
- 8 A. I don't know, but I would assume so.
- 9 Q. All right. If you turn to the next page, it
- 10 refers to YAS as the only source of replacement
- 11 smokers; right?
- 12 A. That's what the document says.
- 13 Q. And it says that less than one-third of smokers
- 14 start after age 18.
- 15 A. That's what it says.
- 16 Q. And it goes on to say only five percent of
- 17 smokers start after age 24.
- 18 A. That's what it says.
- 19 Q. On the next page it talks about the young adult
- 20 smoker strategic importance; doesn't it?
- 21 A. The next page? That's what it says.
- 22 Q. And on the next page it talks about the amount
- 23 of business R. J. Reynolds would lose if its decline
- 24 among young adult smokers continued; right?
- 25 A. That's what it says.

- 1 Q. Now could you turn to the page that ends with
- 2 the Bates number 641.
- 3 A. Turn to the page of what --
- 4 Q. That ends with Bates number 641.
- 5 A. Six forty-one.
- 6 Q. There do you see a graph entitled "TOP TWO
- 7 INTERESTS?"
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And if you look at the graph, it -- it gives
- 10 information about the interests of this YAS group;
- 11 right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And according to this graph, the top interests
- 14 of the people in this category are rock and roll,
- 15 dancing parties, motorcycles and cars; right?
- MR. FLYNN: I'm not sure that's the way to
- 17 read it. But if it reads that way, you tell her.
- 18 A. It doesn't read that way to me. You're
- 19 saying -- what's the -- I don't know --
- 20 I'm not sure what the X and the Y axes are on
- 21 this. Do you know?
- 22 Q. All right. Well sir, the -- the chart going up
- 23 the page refers to the percentage of YAS; right?
- 24 A. Okay.
- 25 Q. And sir, the graph going to the left shows

- 1 smoking incidence; right?
- 2 MR. FLYNN: Or on that axis, whatever that
- 3 means, "SMOKING INCIDENCE."
- 4 A. I don't know what that --
- 5 Q. And at least what's graphed here, the top
- 6 interests were up in the upper right-hand corner of
- 7 the graph.
- 8 MR. FLYNN: Well --
- 9 A. Is that --
- 10 See, I don't know what this means. I don't know
- 11 what age group --
- MR. FLYNN: Tell her -- that's fine.
- 13 A. -- this is.
- I don't know. I don't know.
- MR. FLYNN: Somebody knows what it means.
- 16 A. Sorry. I don't know what it means.
- 17 Q. Now sir, do you understand from reviewing this
- 18 document that one of its purposes was to try and
- 19 determine how R. J. Reynolds could market its
- 20 cigarette products to this YAS group?
- 21 MR. FLYNN: Again, it speaks for itself.
- 22 A. I don't know. As -- as I said, I went through
- 23 about 20 pages of a 300-page document, so I don't
- 24 know what this document says.
- 25 Q. Well sir, the flavor of what you got from

- 1 looking through those pages was that this was a
- 2 marketing document trying to discern how to determine
- 3 the best way --
- 4 A. Yes, yes, this is a marketing document I would
- 5 think.
- 6 Q. And one of its purposes was -- was to develop
- 7 the profile for the young adult smoker so that
- 8 cigarettes could be marketed to that group; right?
- 9 A. I don't know what its purpose is.
- 10 Q. Why don't you put that document aside for a
- 11 moment, sir.
- 12 By the way, --
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. -- when you were working on your youth
- 15 prevention program, did anyone at R. J. Reynolds tell
- 16 you that they were working on a marketing program
- 17 directed toward young adult smokers?
- 18 A. R. J. Reynolds' statement of policy at the
- 19 Executive Committee has always encouraged us to go
- 20 forward on youth programs, and that is what we've
- 21 done. That's been their stated policy at the
- 22 Institute.
- 23 Q. Well did anyone ever tell you that R. J.
- 24 Reynolds wanted to leverage its cigarettes so that
- 25 they would be smoked more heavily by younger male

- 1 smokers?
- 2 A. No, ma'am.
- 4 for identification.)
- 5 BY MS. WIVELL:
- 6 Q. Sir, showing you what's been marked as
- 7 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 769, this is a document that
- 8 begins with the Bates number 507798137; right?
- 9 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 10 Q. It's entitled "U.S. CIGARETTE MARKET IN THE
- 11 1990S." Right?
- 12 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 13 Q. It's dated June 21st, 1990.
- 14 A. Yes.
- MR. FLYNN: Produced by Reynolds.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Where does it say produced by
- 17 Reynolds?
- MR. FLYNN: Well it's stamped on it.
- 19 THE WITNESS: I see.
- 20 MR. FLYNN: It appears that they put that
- 21 stamp on it.
- 22 THE WITNESS: All right.
- 23 Q. Sir, could you turn to the page that ends with
- 24 Bates number 194.
- 25 A. Yes, ma'am.

- 1 Q. There at the bottom of the page begins a
- 2 paragraph, "RJRT has decided to leverage the younger
- 3 male identity group with Camel because of its strong
- 4 appeal to this group; "right?
- 5 A. That's what the document says, yes.
- 6 Q. Sir, while you were working on your youth
- 7 prevention program, did anyone ever tell you that RJR
- 8 had decided to leverage its Camel cigarettes into
- 9 younger male smokers -- into the group of younger
- 10 male smokers?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Well sir, did anyone ever tell you that R. J.
- 13 Reynolds viewed its -- I'm sorry, strike that.
- 14 At the same time that you were developing your
- 15 youth prevention program, did anyone ever tell you
- 16 that R. J. Reynolds wanted to be a prop in the hands
- 17 of -- wanted its cigarettes to be a prop in the hands
- 18 of young smokers?
- 19 MR. FLYNN: I -- I object to the
- 20 implication. You're now transferring what they're
- 21 talking about as young smokers to under-age smokers,
- 22 and I don't think that's been established.
- 23 But if you can answer the question -- I don't
- 24 think --
- 25 A. The answer to the question is no, I've never

- 1 been communicated about this document.
- 2 Q. All right. Well that document aside, sir, did
- 3 anyone from R. J. Reynolds ever tell you that they
- 4 wanted their cigarettes to be props in the hands of
- 5 rockers or punkers or burnouts?
- 6 A. No --
- 7 Q. Young people?
- 8 A. No one has ever had that conversation with me.
- 9 Q. Sir, could you go back to Plaintiffs' Exhibit
- 10 768.
- 11 A. Seven sixty-eight.
- MR. FLYNN: That's this fat one here.
- 13 Q. Could you turn to the page that ends with Bates
- 14 number 676.
- 15 A. Is this --
- MR. FLYNN: That's it.
- 17 Q. I'm sorry.
- 18 A. 768.
- 19 Q. Let me rephrase the question.
- 20 A. 768.
- 21 Q. I don't think you'll -- I don't think you'll
- 22 find it, so let me restate the question.
- 23 A. I got 768.
- 24 Q. Oh, you do? Okay.
- 25 A. Is that Bud Light?

- 1 MR. FLYNN: A picture of Bud Light.
- 2 A. Bud Light and the dog?
- 3 Q. No, I'm sorry. I said it wrong. It's my
- 4 dyslexia acting up again. I apologize.
- 5 A. Sure.
- 6 Q. Could you turn to the page that ends with 677.
- 7 A. Six -- 677. "THEY KNOW THE ROLES."
- 8 Q. Sir, I think it begins --
- 9 A. Is that it?
- 10 Q. -- FUBYAS.
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Okay.
- 13 A. Okay.
- 14 Q. And it talks about "SOCIAL GROUP SPECTRUM;"
- 15 right?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And it says, "With regard to 'Social Group'
- 18 participation, FUBYAS tend to live in a MOVIE;"
- 19 right?
- 20 MR. FLYNN: You read it right. Has she
- 21 read it right? Is that what it says?
- 22 A. That's what it says. I don't know what it
- 23 means, but that's what it says.
- 24 Q. All right. It says "THEY KNOW THEIR ROLES, THEY
- 25 KNOW THE SCRIPT, THEY KNOW THE COSTUMES, THEY KNOW

- 1 THE PROPS."
- 2 A. That's what it says.
- 3 Q. And it goes on to say, "WE WANT TO SUPPLY ONE OF
- 4 THE PROPS -- THEIR BRAND -- THEIR BRAND OF
- 5 CIGARETTES."
- 6 A. That's what it says.
- 7 Q. And no one ever shared this marketing purpose
- 8 with you while you were working on your youth
- 9 prevention program; did they?
- 10 A. No, ma'am.
- 11 Q. Now sir, --
- MR. FLYNN: I think she's done with this.
- MS. WIVELL: Why don't we put that aside.
- 14 THE REPORTER: We have to change tape. Off
- 15 the record, please.
- 16 (Discussion off the record.)
- 17 BY MS. WIVELL:
- 18 Q. Sir, while you were working on your youth
- 19 prevention program for The Tobacco Institute, did
- 20 anyone from Brown & Williamson ever tell you that
- 21 they -- their marketing plan for Kool focussed on
- 22 attracting new, young smokers?
- 23 A. No, ma'am.
- 24 (Plaintiffs' Exhibit 770 was marked
- for identification.)

- 1 BY MS. WIVELL:
- 2 Q. Sir, showing you what's been marked as
- 3 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 770, this is a document that
- 4 begins with the Bates number B1546463; right?
- 5 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 6 Q. Why don't you take a minute and review the
- 7 document.
- 8 MR. FLYNN: This is a B.A.T. document.
- 9 Q. And sir, I'm only going to be focusing on the
- 10 first four pages.
- 11 A. First four pages.
- MR. FLYNN: With a date of January 20,
- 13 '94. Twenty -- 26-94.
- 14 THE WITNESS: This is '94 you say?
- MR. FLYNN: It's actually a fax date, but
- 16 it's a date anyway.
- 17 A. Okay. This is through page four, I think, point
- 18 34, is that -- is that as far as you'd like me to
- 19 go? Is that --
- 20 Q. I think if you've gone that far, that's fine.
- 21 A. Okay.
- 22 Q. Now sir, having reviewed this document, it
- 23 concerns a meeting that took place between Brown &
- 24 Williamson personnel and personnel from B.A.T
- 25 Industries; right?

- 1 A. I don't know. I really don't. I don't know.
- 2 Q. Okay. For the record, this document is dated
- 3 January 26, 1994; right?
- 4 A. Right.
- 5 Q. And it's on B.A.T Industries stationery on the
- 6 first page; right?
- 7 MR. FLYNN: A fax sheet, but whatever.
- 8 Q. On the first page, sir.
- 9 A. Yeah, it says "Brown & Williamson Plan 1994-
- 10 1998."
- 11 Q. I think you're on the second page, sir.
- 12 A. Oh.
- 13 Q. Could you turn to the first page.
- 14 A. Okay.
- 15 Q. The first page --
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. -- is on B.A.T Industries' --
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. -- letterhead; right?
- 20 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 21 Q. All right. And if we turn to the second page,
- 22 the heading of the document is "Brown & Williamson
- 23 Plan 1994-1998; "right?
- 24 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 25 Q. And it refers to a meeting that was held to

- 1 discuss the Brown & Williamson plan; right?
- 2 A. Yes, ma'am.
- 3 Q. Now if we turn to point fifteen, there is
- 4 reference to the plan concerning Kool cigarettes;
- 5 right?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And it says there, "The Plan assumes that the
- 8 marketing focus for Kool continues to be to attract
- 9 new young smokers, while existing -- while meanwhile
- 10 existing" -- strike that.
- 11 And it says there, "The Plan assumes that the
- 12 marketing focus for Kool continues to be to attract
- 13 new young smokers, meanwhile existing consumers are
- 14 being lost; "right?
- 15 A. Yes, ma'am, that's what the document says.
- 16 Q. All right. Did anyone at Brown & Williamson
- 17 ever share with you an objective or a plan that
- 18 focussed marketing for Kool cigarettes on attracting
- 19 new young smokers?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 MS. WIVELL: All right. Why don't we pause
- 22 for the day.
- THE REPORTER: Off the record, please.
- 24 (Deposition recessed at 5:05 o'clock p.m.)

25

1	CERTIFICATE
2	I, Richard G. Stirewalt, hereby certify
3	that I am qualified as a verbatim shorthand reporter
4	that I took in stenographic shorthand the testimony
5	of SAMUEL D. CHILCOTE at the time and place
6	aforesaid; and that the foregoing transcript
7	consisting of pages 1 through 264 is a true and
8	correct, full and complete transcription of said
9	shorthand notes, to the best of my ability.
10	Dated at Washington, D.C., this 18th day of
11	September, 1997.
12	
13	
14	
15	RICHARD G. STIREWALT
16	Registered Professional Reporter
17	Notary Public
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	I, SAMUEL D. CHILCOTE, the deponent, hereby
3	certify that I have read the foregoing transcript
4	consisting of pages 1 through 264, and that said
5	transcript is a true and correct, full and complete
6	transcription of my deposition except:
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	SAMUEL D. CHILCOTE
16	Deponent
17	
18	Sworn and subscribed to before me this day
19	of , 1997.
20	
21	
22	
23	Notary Public
24	
25	My commission expires .
	STIREWALT & ASSOCIATES